Our model consists of five ordinary differential equations, which specify the rate of change over time of five categories of individuals: susceptible individuals (who are unvaccinated or vaccine failures) (X), "successfully" vaccinated individuals (V), HIV-infected individuals who were previously "successfully" vaccinated (Y_V) , HIV-infected individuals who were never vaccinated or who were vaccine failures (Y_U) , and AIDS cases (A). The size of the sexually active community is specified by N (where $N = X + V + Y_V + Y_U$). New susceptible individuals enter the sexually active community at rate π , and they leave at an average rate μ . A fraction p of newly susceptible individuals are vaccinated, and the vaccine "takes" (i.e., produces a protective immunological response) in a fraction ε of those vaccinated; therefore, the fraction of new susceptibles that enter the susceptible pool is $(1 - \varepsilon p)$, and the fraction that is "successfully" vaccinated is εp . Susceptible individuals become HIV-infected at rate λ c X, where λ is the average per capita risk of infection and c is the average number of new sex partners acquired per unit time. The average per capita risk of infection (λ) is calculated as the product of two factors: the per partnership transmission probability and the fraction of the community that is infected and infectious. Thus, $\lambda = [\beta_U(Y_U/N) + \beta_V(Y_V/N)]$, where β_U represents the per partnership transmission probability of Y_U individuals and β_V represents the per partnership transmission probability of Y_V individuals. Vaccine-induced immunity in the "successfully" vaccinated wanes at a rate ω ; thus the average duration of vaccine-induced immunity is $1/\omega$ years, and the number of "successfully" vaccinated individuals entering the susceptible pool (per unit time) is ωV . Hence, the rate of change in the number of susceptible individuals (X) per unit time is specified by:

$$dX/dt = (1 - \varepsilon p) \pi - \mu X - \lambda cX + \omega V. \tag{1}$$

The fraction of new susceptibles in whom the vaccine "takes" enter the "successfully" vaccinated state at rate $\varepsilon p\pi$. They may leave this state for one of three reasons: they may leave the community (at average rate μ), their vaccine-induced immunity may wane (at an average rate ω), or they acquire HIV-infection. The degree of vaccine-induced protection against HIV infection is ψ ; thus, their probability of becoming HIV-infected is $\lambda c(1-\psi)$. Hence, the rate of change in the number of "successfully" vaccinated individuals (V) per unit time is specified by:

$$dV/dt = \varepsilon p\pi - \mu V - \omega V - (1 - \psi) c\lambda V. \tag{2}$$

"Successfully" vaccinated individuals (i.e., individuals in whom the vaccine "took" and did not wane) who become HIV-infected enter the infectious class Y_V . Individuals leave this class if they leave the sexually active community (at average rate μ) or as they progress to AIDs (at average rate γ_V). Thus the rate of change in the number of infectious individuals (Y_V) per unit time is specified by:

$$dY_V/dt = \lambda c (1 - \psi) V - (\mu + \gamma_V) Y_V. \tag{3}$$

Unvaccinated individuals and vaccine failures (i.e., individuals in whom the vaccine either did not "take," or in whom the vaccine "took" but waned) who become HIV-infected enter the infectious class Y_U . Individuals leave this infectious class if they leave the sexually active community (at average rate μ) or if they progress to AIDS (at average rate γ_U). Thus the rate of change in the number of infectious individuals (Y_U) per unit time is specified by:

$$dY_U/dt = \lambda c X - (\mu + \gamma_U) Y_U. \tag{4}$$

The number of AIDS cases (A) per unit time increases at rate $\gamma_V Y_V + \gamma_U Y_U$ (due to the incidence of disease) and decreases as AIDS patients leave the community (at average rate μ) or die of AIDS (at rate α):

$$dA/dt = \gamma_V Y_V + \gamma_U Y_U - (\mu + \alpha) A. \tag{5}$$

At the disease-free equilibrium, $\lambda = 0$, since $Y_U = Y_V = 0$. Clearly $dY_V/dt = dY_U/dt = dA/dt = 0$. Thus, the remaining nonzero equations satisfy

$$dX/dt = (1 - \epsilon p)\pi - \mu X + \omega V$$

$$dV/dt = \epsilon p\pi - \mu V - \omega V.$$

From the second equation,

$$\bar{V} = \frac{\epsilon p\pi}{\mu + \omega}.$$

We then have

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[(1 - \epsilon p)\pi + \frac{\epsilon p\pi\omega}{\mu + \omega} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\frac{\pi\mu - \epsilon p\pi\mu + \pi\omega}{\mu + \omega} \right]$$

$$\bar{X} + \bar{V} = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\frac{\pi\mu - \epsilon p\pi\mu + \pi\omega + \epsilon p\pi\mu}{\mu + \omega} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{\mu}.$$

Thus, the probability of "successful" vaccination is

$$S = \frac{\bar{V}}{\bar{X} + \bar{V}} \\ = \frac{\epsilon p\mu}{\mu + \omega}.$$

If there is no vaccination, then (ignoring the AIDS equation, which decouples from the others), the system

simplifies to

$$dX/dt = (1 - \epsilon p)\pi - \mu X - \Lambda cX$$

$$dY_U/dt = \lambda cX - (\mu + \gamma_U)Y_U.$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial X} = \frac{N - X}{N^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial Y_U} = \beta_U \frac{N - Y_U}{N^2}.$$

Then the Jacobian is

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu - \lambda \frac{N-X}{N^2} cX - \lambda c & -\beta_U \frac{N-Y_U}{N^2} cX \\ \lambda c + \lambda \frac{N-X}{N^2} cX & \beta_U \frac{N-Y_U}{N_2} cX - \mu - \gamma_U \end{bmatrix}.$$

At the disease-free equilibrium, $Y_U=0,\ \lambda=0$ and X=N. Thus

$$J|_{\text{\tiny DFE}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu & -\beta_U c \\ 0 & \beta_U c - \mu - \gamma_U \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$R_0 = \frac{\beta_U c}{\mu + \gamma_U}.$$

For the entire system, we have

$$J\big|_{\text{DFE}} \ = \ \begin{bmatrix} -\mu & \omega & -\beta_V c_N^X & -\beta_U c_N^X & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu - \omega & -(1-\psi)\beta_V c_N^V & -(1-\psi)\beta_U c_N^V & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1-\psi)\beta_V c_N^V - \mu - \gamma_V & (1-\psi)\beta_U c_N^V & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_V c_N^X & \beta_U c_N^X - \mu - \gamma_V & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma_V & \gamma_U & -\mu - \alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

The disease-free equilibrium is stable if

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} (1-\psi)\beta_V c_{\overline{N}}^V - \mu - \gamma_V & (1-\psi)\beta_U c_{\overline{N}}^V \\ \beta_V c_{\overline{N}}^X & \beta_U c_{\overline{N}}^X - \mu - \gamma_V \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$-(1-\psi)\beta_V c \frac{V}{N}(\mu + \gamma_U) - \beta_U c \frac{X}{N}(\mu + \gamma_V) + (\mu + \gamma_U)(\mu + \gamma_V) < 0$$

$$R_p \equiv \frac{\beta_U c}{\mu + \gamma_U} \cdot \frac{X}{N} + \frac{(1-\psi)\beta_V c}{\mu + \gamma_V} \cdot \frac{V}{N} < 1.$$

We have

$$S = \frac{V}{X+V} = \frac{V}{N}$$
$$1 - S = \frac{X+V-V}{X+V} = \frac{X}{N}.$$

It follows that

$$R_p = S(1 - \psi)R_V + (1 - S)R_0.$$

For perversity where the infected unvaccinated change their behavior by a multiplicative factor m_U and the infected vaccinated change their behavior by a multiplicative factor m_V , we require

$$S(1-\psi)R_V m_V + (1-S)R_0 m_U > R_0$$

$$f > \frac{1-m_U + m_U S}{S(1-\psi)m_V} \equiv z.$$

Note that

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial S} = \frac{m_U - 1}{S^2 (1 - \psi) m_V}.$$

Thus if $m_U > 1$ then z is increasing with respect to S, whereas if the reverse inequality holds, then z is decreasing with respect to S. Thus, the behavior changes in the unvaccinated are a critical determinant of the epidemic control strategy for vaccines that offer a low degree of protection.