

Rate laws

 $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$ u Ottawa

• if a substrate is protonated *during* the rls, this appears as a dependence on [HA] in the rate law :

 $-$ e.g.: $v = k[R] \times [HA]$ \rightarrow $= k_{obs}[R]$ where $k_{obs} = k[HA]$

• if a substrate is deprotonated *during* the rls, this appears as a dependence on [B] in the rate law:

- e.g.:
$$
v = k[R] \times [B] \rightarrow = k_{obs}[R]
$$
 where $k_{obs} = k[B]$

General acid-base catalysis plots • pH dependence : $\frac{2}{\pi}$
 s slope = 0 \rightarrow -1;

general acid

catalysis

pH

at constant pH, dependence on [HA] or [B]: log *k*obs $slope = 0 \rightarrow -1$; **general acid** $slope = 1 \rightarrow 0;$ **general acid catalysis catalysis pH pH** log *k*obs log *k*obs **slope = 1; slope = -1; general acid general base catalysis catalysis [HA] [B] 44** $\hat{=}$ uOttawa

43

Strain and distortion

• *destabilisation of the ground state* induced in the substrate *or* in the catalyst (such as an enzyme)

Koshland :

- *induced complementarity* hypothesis: the approach of substrate serves to provoke a conformational change in the enzyme, to adopt a form that better binds the substrate, but in a higher energy (strained) form and/or to better orient reactive groups (*"orbital steering"*)
- the substrate can also be deformed to adopt a strained form

Jencks :

- strain and distortion in the substrate are *essential* for the catalysis
- TSs are stabilised, rather than E•S and E•P complexes (so as not to form overly stable intermediates)
- *binding energy must therefore be used to destabilise* the E•S and E•P complexes

iuOttawa

46

