

Simultaneous rational approximation to successive powers of a real number (Part II)

Anthony Poëls (Nihon University)
Damien Roy (University of Ottawa)

Webinar on Diophantine approximation and homogeneous dynamics



8th October 2021

Introduction

Fix $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Recall that for each $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$L_\xi(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{|x_0\xi - x_1|, \dots, |x_0\xi^n - x_n|\}.$$

Introduction

Fix $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Recall that for each $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$L_\xi(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{|x_0\xi - x_1|, \dots, |x_0\xi^n - x_n|\}.$$

$\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ is the supremum of the reals numbers $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq X \quad \text{and} \quad L_\xi(\mathbf{x}) \leq X^{-\lambda}$$

has a non-zero solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ for each large enough X .

Introduction

Fix $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Recall that for each $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

$$L_\xi(\mathbf{x}) = \max\{|x_0\xi - x_1|, \dots, |x_0\xi^n - x_n|\}.$$

$\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ is the supremum of the reals numbers $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq X \quad \text{and} \quad L_\xi(\mathbf{x}) \leq X^{-\lambda}$$

has a non-zero solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ for each large enough X .

Theorem (P.-Roy, 2021)

$$\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{n/2 + a\sqrt{n} + 1/3} \quad \text{where } a = (1 - \log 2)/2 \cong 0.153 \text{ for } n \geq 2.$$

Truncated points

Given $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, define

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{x}^{(0,\ell)} = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-\ell}) \\ \mathbf{x}^{(1,\ell)} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-\ell+1}) \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{x}^{(\ell,\ell)} = (x_\ell, \dots, x_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-\ell+1}$$

and $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x}^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(\ell,\ell)} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-\ell+1}$.

Truncated points

Given $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, define

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{(0,\ell)} &= (x_0, \dots, x_{n-\ell}) \\ \mathbf{x}^{(1,\ell)} &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n-\ell+1}) \\ &\dots \\ \mathbf{x}^{(\ell,\ell)} &= (x_\ell, \dots, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned} \right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-\ell+1}$$

and $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x}^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(\ell,\ell)} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-\ell+1}$.

Fix $(\mathbf{x}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ sequence of minimal points and set $X_i = \|\mathbf{x}_i\|$ and $L_i = L_\xi(\mathbf{x}_i)$.

Truncated points

Given $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, define

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{(0,\ell)} &= (x_0, \dots, x_{n-\ell}) \\ \mathbf{x}^{(1,\ell)} &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n-\ell+1}) \\ &\dots \\ \mathbf{x}^{(\ell,\ell)} &= (x_\ell, \dots, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned} \right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-\ell+1}$$

and $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x}^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(\ell,\ell)} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-\ell+1}$.

Fix $(\mathbf{x}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ sequence of minimal points and set $X_i = \|\mathbf{x}_i\|$ and $L_i = L_\xi(\mathbf{x}_i)$.

Fix $\lambda < \hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ (arbitrarily close to $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$).

Truncated points

Given $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, define

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{x}^{(0,\ell)} = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-\ell}) \\ \mathbf{x}^{(1,\ell)} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-\ell+1}) \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{x}^{(\ell,\ell)} = (x_\ell, \dots, x_{n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-\ell+1}$$

and $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x}^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(\ell,\ell)} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-\ell+1}$.

Fix $(\mathbf{x}_i)_{i \geq 0}$ sequence of minimal points and set $X_i = \|\mathbf{x}_i\|$ and $L_i = L_\xi(\mathbf{x}_i)$.

Fix $\lambda < \hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ (arbitrarily close to $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$). In particular $L_i \ll X_{i+1}^{-\lambda}$.

A first estimate - Case of one minimal point

Fix $\ell \leq n/2$.

A first estimate - Case of one minimal point

Fix $\ell \leq n/2$.

Natural expectation: the blocks of $n - \ell + 1$ consecutive coordinates extracted from \mathbf{x}_i are linearly independent, *i.e.* $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.

A first estimate - Case of one minimal point

Fix $\ell \leq n/2$.

Natural expectation: the blocks of $n - \ell + 1$ consecutive coordinates extracted from \mathbf{x}_i are linearly independent, *i.e.* $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.

Proposition (Badziahin-Schleischitz, 2021)

Suppose that $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > 1/(n - \ell + 1)$ for some integer ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq n/2$. Then $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$ for each sufficiently large i .

A first estimate - Case of one minimal point

Fix $\ell \leq n/2$.

Natural expectation: the blocks of $n - \ell + 1$ consecutive coordinates extracted from \mathbf{x}_i are linearly independent, *i.e.* $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.

Proposition (Badziahin-Schleischitz, 2021)

Suppose that $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > 1/(n - \ell + 1)$ for some integer ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq n/2$. Then $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$ for each sufficiently large i .

Suppose $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$ for infinitely many i .

A first estimate - Case of one minimal point

Fix $\ell \leq n/2$.

Natural expectation: the blocks of $n - \ell + 1$ consecutive coordinates extracted from \mathbf{x}_i are linearly independent, *i.e.* $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.

Proposition (Badziahin-Schleischitz, 2021)

Suppose that $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > 1/(n - \ell + 1)$ for some integer ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq n/2$. Then $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$ for each sufficiently large i .

Suppose $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$ for infinitely many i . Then

$$1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)) \leq \|\mathbf{x}_i^{(0,\ell)} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{x}_i^{(\ell,\ell)}\| \ll X_i L_i^\ell \ll X_i^{1-\ell\lambda}.$$

A first estimate - Case of one minimal point

Fix $\ell \leq n/2$.

Natural expectation: the blocks of $n - \ell + 1$ consecutive coordinates extracted from \mathbf{x}_i are linearly independent, *i.e.* $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.

Proposition (Badziahin-Schleischitz, 2021)

Suppose that $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > 1/(n - \ell + 1)$ for some integer ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq n/2$. Then $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$ for each sufficiently large i .

Suppose $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$ for infinitely many i . Then

$$1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)) \leq \|\mathbf{x}_i^{(0,\ell)} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{x}_i^{(\ell,\ell)}\| \ll X_i L_i^\ell \ll X_i^{1-\ell\lambda}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq 1/\ell.$$

A first estimate - Case of one minimal point

Fix $\ell \leq n/2$.

Natural expectation: the blocks of $n - \ell + 1$ consecutive coordinates extracted from \mathbf{x}_i are linearly independent, *i.e.* $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.

Proposition (Badziahin-Schleischitz, 2021)

Suppose that $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > 1/(n - \ell + 1)$ for some integer ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq n/2$. Then $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$ for each sufficiently large i .

Suppose $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$ for infinitely many i . Then

$$1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)) \leq \|\mathbf{x}_i^{(0,\ell)} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{x}_i^{(\ell,\ell)}\| \ll X_i L_i^\ell \ll X_i^{1-\ell\lambda}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq 1/\ell.$$

By the proposition $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq \max\{1/(n - \ell + 1), 1/\ell\}$

A first estimate - Case of one minimal point

Fix $\ell \leq n/2$.

Natural expectation: the blocks of $n - \ell + 1$ consecutive coordinates extracted from \mathbf{x}_i are linearly independent, *i.e.* $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.

Proposition (Badziahin-Schleischitz, 2021)

Suppose that $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > 1/(n - \ell + 1)$ for some integer ℓ with $0 \leq \ell \leq n/2$. Then $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$ for each sufficiently large i .

Suppose $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$ for infinitely many i . Then

$$1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)) \leq \|\mathbf{x}_i^{(0,\ell)} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{x}_i^{(\ell,\ell)}\| \ll X_i L_i^\ell \ll X_i^{1-\ell\lambda}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq 1/\ell.$$

By the proposition $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq \max\{1/(n - \ell + 1), 1/\ell\}$, so $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq 1/\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ (Davenport-Schmidt, 1969).

Our strategy: consider several points

Goal: increase the dimension by considering $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j)$, get an estimate for its height, and thus a smaller upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.

Our strategy: consider several points

Goal: increase the dimension by considering $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j)$, get an estimate for its height, and thus a smaller upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.

remark. For $\ell = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, the space $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1-\ell}$ is the whole space (n even) or an hyperplane (n odd).

Our strategy: consider several points

Goal: increase the dimension by considering $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j)$, get an estimate for its height, and thus a smaller upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.

remark. For $\ell = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, the space $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1-\ell}$ is the whole space (n even) or an hyperplane (n odd).

\Rightarrow need to decrease ℓ to “make room” (we take $\ell \approx n/2 - b\sqrt{n}$).

Our strategy: consider several points

Goal: increase the dimension by considering $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j)$, get an estimate for its height, and thus a smaller upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.

remark. For $\ell = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, the space $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1-\ell}$ is the whole space (n even) or an hyperplane (n odd).

\Rightarrow need to decrease ℓ to “make room” (we take $\ell \approx n/2 - b\sqrt{n}$).

Main difficulties

Our strategy: consider several points

Goal: increase the dimension by considering $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j)$, get an estimate for its height, and thus a smaller upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.

remark. For $\ell = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, the space $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1-\ell}$ is the whole space (n even) or an hyperplane (n odd).

\Rightarrow need to decrease ℓ to “make room” (we take $\ell \approx n/2 - b\sqrt{n}$).

Main difficulties

- How to know that $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j)$ is “big” enough (compared to $\dim \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle$)? 🌶️


Our strategy: consider several points

Goal: increase the dimension by considering $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j)$, get an estimate for its height, and thus a smaller upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.

remark. For $\ell = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, the space $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1-\ell}$ is the whole space (n even) or an hyperplane (n odd).

\Rightarrow need to decrease ℓ to “make room” (we take $\ell \approx n/2 - b\sqrt{n}$).

Main difficulties

- How to know that $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j)$ is “big” enough (compared to $\dim \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle$)? 
- How to estimate $H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j))$?



Our strategy: consider several points

Goal: increase the dimension by considering $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j)$, get an estimate for its height, and thus a smaller upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.

remark. For $\ell = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, the space $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1-\ell}$ is the whole space (n even) or an hyperplane (n odd).

\Rightarrow need to decrease ℓ to “make room” (we take $\ell \approx n/2 - b\sqrt{n}$).

Main difficulties

- How to know that $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j)$ is “big” enough (compared to $\dim \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle$)? 
- How to estimate $H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j))$?
- How to control the size of the points $\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{j+1}$? 

Definitions

Recall that $\langle \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_{j+1}, \dots \rangle = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Definitions

Recall that $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \dots \rangle = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Definition

For $j = 0, \dots, n-1$, let $q \geq i$ be the largest index for which $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ has dimension $j+1$. We set

$$A_j(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j(i) = X_{q+1}.$$

By convention $A_n(i) = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $Y_{-1}(i) = X_i$.

Definitions

Recall that $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \dots \rangle = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Definition

For $j = 0, \dots, n-1$, let $q \geq i$ be the largest index for which $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ has dimension $j+1$. We set

$$A_j(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j(i) = X_{q+1}.$$

By convention $A_n(i) = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $Y_{-1}(i) = X_i$.

- $A_0(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i \rangle$ and $Y_0(i) = X_{i+1}$.

Definitions

Recall that $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \dots \rangle = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Definition

For $j = 0, \dots, n-1$, let $q \geq i$ be the largest index for which $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ has dimension $j+1$. We set

$$A_j(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j(i) = X_{q+1}.$$

By convention $A_n(i) = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $Y_{-1}(i) = X_i$.

- $A_0(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i \rangle$ and $Y_0(i) = X_{i+1}$.
- $A_1(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ and $Y_1(i) = X_{q+1}$.

Definitions

Recall that $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \dots \rangle = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Definition

For $j = 0, \dots, n-1$, let $q \geq i$ be the largest index for which $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ has dimension $j+1$. We set

$$A_j(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j(i) = X_{q+1}.$$

By convention $A_n(i) = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $Y_{-1}(i) = X_i$.

- $A_0(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i \rangle$ and $Y_0(i) = X_{i+1}$.
- $A_1(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ and $Y_1(i) = X_{q+1}$.
- $A_{j+1}(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q, \mathbf{x}_{q+1} \rangle$.

Definitions

Recall that $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \dots \rangle = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Definition

For $j = 0, \dots, n-1$, let $q \geq i$ be the largest index for which $\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ has dimension $j+1$. We set

$$A_j(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad Y_j(i) = X_{q+1}.$$

By convention $A_n(i) = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $Y_{-1}(i) = X_i$.

- $A_0(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i \rangle$ and $Y_0(i) = X_{i+1}$.
- $A_1(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ and $Y_1(i) = X_{q+1}$.
- $A_{j+1}(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q, \mathbf{x}_{q+1} \rangle$.

Main goal

Study of the spaces $\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))$ (Dimension? Height?)

Properties $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Preliminaries.

- $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is generated by $\ell + 1$ points. Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.

Properties $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Preliminaries.

- $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is generated by $\ell + 1$ points. Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.
- $A_m(i)$ is generated by $\mathbf{x}_i + m$ other linearly independent points.
Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_m(i)) \geq \ell + 1 + m$.

Properties $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Preliminaries.

- $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is generated by $\ell + 1$ points. Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.
- $A_m(i)$ is generated by $\mathbf{x}_i + m$ other linearly independent points.
Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_m(i)) \geq \ell + 1 + m$.

Definition

Let $j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. We say that property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds if

$$\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_m(i)) \geq \ell + 1 + m \quad (m = 0, \dots, j)$$

for each sufficiently large integer $i \geq 0$.

Properties $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Preliminaries.

- $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is generated by $\ell + 1$ points. Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.
- $A_m(i)$ is generated by $\mathbf{x}_i + m$ other linearly independent points.
Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_m(i)) \geq \ell + 1 + m$.

Definition

Let $j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. We say that property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds if

$$\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_m(i)) \geq \ell + 1 + m \quad (m = 0, \dots, j)$$

for each sufficiently large integer $i \geq 0$.

- $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(j - 1, \ell)$ if $j > 0$.

Properties $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Preliminaries.

- $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is generated by $\ell + 1$ points. Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.
- $A_m(i)$ is generated by $\mathbf{x}_i + m$ other linearly independent points. Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_m(i)) \geq \ell + 1 + m$.

Definition

Let $j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. We say that property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds if

$$\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_m(i)) \geq \ell + 1 + m \quad (m = 0, \dots, j)$$

for each sufficiently large integer $i \geq 0$.

- $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(j - 1, \ell)$ if $j > 0$.
- $\mathcal{P}(n, 0)$ holds since $\mathcal{U}^0(A_m(i)) = A_m(i)$ has dimension $m + 1$.

Properties $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Preliminaries.

- $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is generated by $\ell + 1$ points. Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.
- $A_m(i)$ is generated by $\mathbf{x}_i + m$ other linearly independent points. Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_m(i)) \geq \ell + 1 + m$.

Definition

Let $j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. We say that property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds if

$$\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_m(i)) \geq \ell + 1 + m \quad (m = 0, \dots, j)$$

for each sufficiently large integer $i \geq 0$.

- $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(j - 1, \ell)$ if $j > 0$.
- $\mathcal{P}(n, 0)$ holds since $\mathcal{U}^0(A_m(i)) = A_m(i)$ has dimension $m + 1$.
- If $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > 1/(n - \ell + 1)$, then $\mathcal{P}(0, \ell)$ holds (BS, 2021).

Properties $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Preliminaries.

- $\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is generated by $\ell + 1$ points. Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i) = \ell + 1$.
- $A_m(i)$ is generated by $\mathbf{x}_i + m$ other linearly independent points. Expectation: $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_m(i)) \geq \ell + 1 + m$.

Definition

Let $j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. We say that property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds if

$$\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_m(i)) \geq \ell + 1 + m \quad (m = 0, \dots, j)$$

for each sufficiently large integer $i \geq 0$.

- $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(j - 1, \ell)$ if $j > 0$.
- $\mathcal{P}(n, 0)$ holds since $\mathcal{U}^0(A_m(i)) = A_m(i)$ has dimension $m + 1$.
- If $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > 1/(n - \ell + 1)$, then $\mathcal{P}(0, \ell)$ holds (BS, 2021).
- $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}(j + 1, \ell - 1)$ (properties of $\ell \mapsto \dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A)$).

First general height estimates

Proposition D

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds (with $j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$). Then

$$H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} (Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots Y_0(i))^{-\lambda}.$$

First general height estimates

Proposition D

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds (with $j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$). Then

$$H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} (Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots Y_0(i))^{-\lambda}.$$

- For $j = 0$, we get $H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)) \ll Y_{-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} = X_i^{1-\ell\lambda}$.

First general height estimates

Proposition D

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds (with $j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$). Then

$$H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} (Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots Y_0(i))^{-\lambda}.$$

- For $j = 0$, we get $H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)) \ll Y_{-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} = X_i^{1-\ell\lambda}$.
- For $\ell = 0$, we get $H(A_j(i)) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)(Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots Y_0(i))^{-\lambda}$.

First general height estimates

Proposition D

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds (with $j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$). Then

$$H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} (Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots Y_0(i))^{-\lambda}.$$

- For $j = 0$, we get $H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)) \ll Y_{-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} = X_i^{1-\ell\lambda}$.
- For $\ell = 0$, we get $H(A_j(i)) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)(Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots Y_0(i))^{-\lambda}$.

Sketch of the proof (for $j = 3$). Write

$$A_1(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle,$$

$$A_2(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q, \mathbf{x}_{q+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r \rangle,$$

$$A_3(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x}_{r+1} \rangle.$$

First general height estimates

Proposition D

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds (with $j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$). Then

$$H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} (Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots Y_0(i))^{-\lambda}.$$

- For $j = 0$, we get $H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)) \ll Y_{-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} = X_i^{1-\ell\lambda}$.
- For $\ell = 0$, we get $H(A_j(i)) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)(Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots Y_0(i))^{-\lambda}$.

Sketch of the proof (for $j = 3$). Write

$$A_1(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle,$$

$$A_2(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q, \mathbf{x}_{q+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r \rangle,$$

$$A_3(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x}_{r+1} \rangle.$$

Note that $(Y_0(i), Y_1(i), Y_2(i)) = (X_{i+1}, X_{q+1}, X_{r+1})$.

First general height estimates

Proposition D

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds (with $j, \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\}$). Then

$$H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} (Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots Y_0(i))^{-\lambda}.$$

- For $j = 0$, we get $H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i)) \ll Y_{-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} = X_i^{1-\ell\lambda}$.
- For $\ell = 0$, we get $H(A_j(i)) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)(Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots Y_0(i))^{-\lambda}$.

Sketch of the proof (for $j = 3$). Write

$$A_1(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_{i+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle,$$

$$A_2(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q, \mathbf{x}_{q+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r \rangle,$$

$$A_3(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x}_{r+1} \rangle.$$

Note that $(Y_0(i), Y_1(i), Y_2(i)) = (X_{i+1}, X_{q+1}, X_{r+1})$.

Since $\mathbf{x}_{r+1} \notin \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r \rangle$, we have $\dim \langle \mathbf{x}_q, \mathbf{x}_{q+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1} \rangle \geq 3$.

Proof of the proposition (end)

By $\mathcal{P}(3, \ell)$, we have $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_{r+1}) = \ell + 1$, as well as

- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) \geq \ell + 2$,
- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_q, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) \geq \ell + 3$,
- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) = \dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_3(i)) \geq \ell + 4$.

Proof of the proposition (end)

By $\mathcal{P}(3, \ell)$, we have $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_{r+1}) = \ell + 1$, as well as

- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) \geq \ell + 2$,
- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_q, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) \geq \ell + 3$,
- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) = \dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_3(i)) \geq \ell + 4$.

We get linearly independent points by taking $\mathbf{x}_{r+1}^{(0, \ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}^{(\ell, \ell)}$ and

Proof of the proposition (end)

By $\mathcal{P}(3, \ell)$, we have $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_{r+1}) = \ell + 1$, as well as

- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) \geq \ell + 2$,
- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_q, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) \geq \ell + 3$,
- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) = \dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_3(i)) \geq \ell + 4$.

We get linearly independent points by taking $\mathbf{x}_{r+1}^{(0, \ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}^{(\ell, \ell)}$ and

- one point \mathbf{y} among $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0, \ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r^{(\ell, \ell)} \Rightarrow L_\xi(\mathbf{y}) \leq L_r$,
- one point \mathbf{z} among the points $\mathbf{x}_k^{(0, \ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k^{(\ell, \ell)}$ ($q \leq k \leq r$),
 $\Rightarrow L_\xi(\mathbf{z}) \leq L_q$,
- one point \mathbf{t} among the points $\mathbf{x}_k^{(0, \ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k^{(\ell, \ell)}$ ($i \leq k \leq r$),
 $\Rightarrow L_\xi(\mathbf{t}) \leq L_i$.

Proof of the proposition (end)

By $\mathcal{P}(3, \ell)$, we have $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_{r+1}) = \ell + 1$, as well as

- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) \geq \ell + 2$,
- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_q, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) \geq \ell + 3$,
- $\dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(\mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}) = \dim \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_3(i)) \geq \ell + 4$.

We get linearly independent points by taking $\mathbf{x}_{r+1}^{(0, \ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{r+1}^{(\ell, \ell)}$ and


- one point \mathbf{y} among $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0, \ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r^{(\ell, \ell)} \Rightarrow L_\xi(\mathbf{y}) \leq L_r$,
- one point \mathbf{z} among the points $\mathbf{x}_k^{(0, \ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k^{(\ell, \ell)}$ ($q \leq k \leq r$),
 $\Rightarrow L_\xi(\mathbf{z}) \leq L_q$,
- one point \mathbf{t} among the points $\mathbf{x}_k^{(0, \ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k^{(\ell, \ell)}$ ($i \leq k \leq r$),
 $\Rightarrow L_\xi(\mathbf{t}) \leq L_i$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) &\ll X_{r+1} L_{r+1}^\ell L_r L_q L_i \ll X_{r+1}^{1-\ell\lambda} X_{r+1}^{-\lambda} X_{q+1}^{-\lambda} X_{i+1}^{-\lambda} \\ &\ll Y_2(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} Y_2(i)^{-\lambda} Y_1(i)^{-\lambda} Y_0(i)^{-\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Remaining problems


Problem 1. 🌶️ Growth of the quantities $Y_m(i)$?

Remaining problems

Problem 1.  Growth of the quantities $Y_m(i)$?

“Ideal” situation: $Y_0(i) \asymp \cdots \asymp Y_{j-1}(i)$.


Remaining problems

Problem 1.  Growth of the quantities $Y_m(i)$?

“Ideal” situation: $Y_0(i) \asymp \cdots \asymp Y_{j-1}(i)$. In that case (if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds), Proposition D yields

$$1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-(\ell+j)\lambda},$$

Remaining problems


Problem 1.  Growth of the quantities $Y_m(i)$?

“Ideal” situation: $Y_0(i) \asymp \cdots \asymp Y_{j-1}(i)$. In that case (if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds), Proposition D yields

$$1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-(\ell+j)\lambda},$$

and so $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq 1/(\ell + j)$ (with the condition $2\ell + j \leq n$).

Remaining problems

Problem 1.  Growth of the quantities $Y_m(i)$?


“Ideal” situation: $Y_0(i) \asymp \cdots \asymp Y_{j-1}(i)$. In that case (if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds), Proposition D yields

$$1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-(\ell+j)\lambda},$$

and so $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq 1/(\ell + j)$ (with the condition $2\ell + j \leq n$).

In general, we prove that $Y_m(i)^\theta \ll Y_{m-1}(i)$ for a given θ “close” to 1.

Remaining problems

Problem 1.  Growth of the quantities $Y_m(i)$?

“Ideal” situation: $Y_0(i) \asymp \cdots \asymp Y_{j-1}(i)$. In that case (if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds), Proposition D yields

$$1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-(\ell+j)\lambda},$$


and so $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq 1/(\ell + j)$ (with the condition $2\ell + j \leq n$).

In general, we prove that $Y_m(i)^\theta \ll Y_{m-1}(i)$ for a given θ “close” to 1. This implies that

$$1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\lambda(\ell+1+\theta+\theta^2+\cdots+\theta^{j-1})}$$

which yields $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq 1/(\ell + 1 + \theta + \cdots + \theta^{j-1})$.

Remaining problems

Problem 1.  Growth of the quantities $Y_m(i)$?

“Ideal” situation: $Y_0(i) \asymp \cdots \asymp Y_{j-1}(i)$. In that case (if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds), Proposition D yields


$$1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-(\ell+j)\lambda},$$

and so $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq 1/(\ell + j)$ (with the condition $2\ell + j \leq n$).

In general, we prove that $Y_m(i)^\theta \ll Y_{m-1}(i)$ for a given θ “close” to 1. This implies that

$$1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\lambda(\ell+1+\theta+\theta^2+\cdots+\theta^{j-1})}$$

which yields $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq 1/(\ell + 1 + \theta + \cdots + \theta^{j-1})$.

Problem 2.  How to ensure that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds (for a large j)?

Growth's estimates

Proposition E

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds for some integers $1 \leq j \leq \ell < n$. Then, for each $i \geq 0$, we have

$$Y_j(i)^{(\ell-j+1)\lambda} \ll Y_{j-1}(i) \left(\prod_{m=1}^{j-1} Y_m(i)^{-2\lambda} \right) Y_0(i)^{-\lambda}.$$

Growth's estimates

Proposition E

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds for some integers $1 \leq j \leq \ell < n$. Then, for each $i \geq 0$, we have

$$Y_j(i)^{(\ell-j+1)\lambda} \ll Y_{j-1}(i) \left(\prod_{m=1}^{j-1} Y_m(i)^{-2\lambda} \right) Y_0(i)^{-\lambda}.$$

Corollary

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds for some integers $1 \leq j \leq \ell < n$, and that $\theta^{j-1} + \theta^j \geq 1$, where $\theta = \ell\lambda/(1-\lambda)$. Then we have $Y_m(i)^\theta \ll Y_{m-1}(i)$ for each $i \geq 0$ and each $m = 0, 1, \dots, j$.

Growth's estimates

Proposition E

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds for some integers $1 \leq j \leq \ell < n$. Then, for each $i \geq 0$, we have

$$Y_j(i)^{(\ell-j+1)\lambda} \ll Y_{j-1}(i) \left(\prod_{m=1}^{j-1} Y_m(i)^{-2\lambda} \right) Y_0(i)^{-\lambda}.$$

Corollary

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds for some integers $1 \leq j \leq \ell < n$, and that $\theta^{j-1} + \theta^j \geq 1$, where $\theta = \ell\lambda/(1-\lambda)$. Then we have $Y_m(i)^\theta \ll Y_{m-1}(i)$ for each $i \geq 0$ and each $m = 0, 1, \dots, j$.

Ingredients for Proposition E: an alternative height estimate.

Ideas of the proof of Proposition E

Naive approach.

Ideas of the proof of Proposition E

Naive approach. Write $A_{j-1}(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ and

$$A_j(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{q+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r \rangle,$$

with q and r maximal.

Ideas of the proof of Proposition E

Naive approach. Write $A_{j-1}(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ and

$$A_j(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{q+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r \rangle,$$

with q and r maximal. In particular $Y_{j-1}(i) = X_{q+1}$ and $Y_j(i) = X_{r+1}$.

Ideas of the proof of Proposition E

Naive approach. Write $A_{j-1}(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ and

$$A_j(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{q+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r \rangle,$$

with q and r maximal. In particular $Y_{j-1}(i) = X_{q+1}$ and $Y_j(i) = X_{r+1}$.

We construct a basis of $\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))$ by starting with the points $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r^{(\ell,\ell)}$ and by completing as previously.

Ideas of the proof of Proposition E

Naive approach. Write $A_{j-1}(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ and

$$A_j(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{q+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r \rangle,$$

with q and r maximal. In particular $Y_{j-1}(i) = X_{q+1}$ and $Y_j(i) = X_{r+1}$.

We construct a basis of $\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))$ by starting with the points $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r^{(\ell,\ell)}$ and by completing as previously. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) &\ll X_r L_r^\ell L_q \cdots \ll X_r X_{r+1}^{-\ell\lambda} X_{q+1}^{-\lambda} \cdots \\ &= X_r Y_j(i)^{-\ell\lambda} Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Ideas of the proof of Proposition E

Naive approach. Write $A_{j-1}(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_q \rangle$ and

$$A_j(i) = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{q+1} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}_i, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r \rangle,$$

with q and r maximal. In particular $Y_{j-1}(i) = X_{q+1}$ and $Y_j(i) = X_{r+1}$.

We construct a basis of $\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))$ by starting with the points $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r^{(\ell,\ell)}$ and by completing as previously. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))) &\ll X_r L_r^\ell L_q \cdots \ll X_r X_{r+1}^{-\ell\lambda} X_{q+1}^{-\lambda} \cdots \\ &= X_r Y_j(i)^{-\ell\lambda} Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Problem. Factor X_r potentially way bigger than $Y_{j-1}(i)$. How to get rid of it?

Ideas of the proof

Solution. Schmidt's inequality $H(U + V)H(U \cap V) \ll H(U)H(V)$.

Ideas of the proof

Solution. Schmidt's inequality $H(U + V)H(U \cap V) \ll H(U)H(V)$.

Construct U and V such that:

Ideas of the proof

Solution. Schmidt's inequality $H(U + V)H(U \cap V) \ll H(U)H(V)$.

Construct U and V such that:

- $U + V = \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i));$

Ideas of the proof

Solution. Schmidt's inequality $H(U + V)H(U \cap V) \ll H(U)H(V)$.

Construct U and V such that:

- $U + V = \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))$;
- V has $m + 1$ (with m as large as possible) points among $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r^{(\ell,\ell)}$ to make L_r (and thus $Y_j(i)^{-\lambda}$) appear in the upper bound for $H(V)$;

Ideas of the proof

Solution. Schmidt's inequality $H(U + V)H(U \cap V) \ll H(U)H(V)$.

Construct U and V such that:

- $U + V = \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))$;
- V has $m + 1$ (with m as large as possible) points among $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r^{(\ell,\ell)}$ to make L_r (and thus $Y_j(i)^{-\lambda}$) appear in the upper bound for $H(V)$;
- $U \cap V = \langle \mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \rangle$ (to control the height of the intersection).

Ideas of the proof

Solution. Schmidt's inequality $H(U + V)H(U \cap V) \ll H(U)H(V)$.

Construct U and V such that:

- $U + V = \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))$;
- V has $m + 1$ (with m as large as possible) points among $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r^{(\ell,\ell)}$ to make L_r (and thus $Y_j(i)^{-\lambda}$) appear in the upper bound for $H(V)$;
- $U \cap V = \langle \mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \rangle$ (to control the height of the intersection).

Write $g = \gcd$ of the coordinates of $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}$.

Ideas of the proof

Solution. Schmidt's inequality $H(U + V)H(U \cap V) \ll H(U)H(V)$.

Construct U and V such that:

- $U + V = \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))$;
- V has $m + 1$ (with m as large as possible) points among $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r^{(\ell,\ell)}$ to make L_r (and thus $Y_j(i)^{-\lambda}$) appear in the upper bound for $H(V)$;
- $U \cap V = \langle \mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \rangle$ (to control the height of the intersection).

Write $g = \gcd$ of the coordinates of $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}$. Then we will have

$$H(U \cap V) \asymp g^{-1} X_r \quad \text{and} \quad H(V) \ll g^{-1} X_r L_r^m \dots \ll g^{-1} X_r Y_j(i)^{-m\lambda} \dots$$

Ideas of the proof

Solution. Schmidt's inequality $H(U + V)H(U \cap V) \ll H(U)H(V)$.

Construct U and V such that:

- $U + V = \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))$;
- V has $m + 1$ (with m as large as possible) points among $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r^{(\ell,\ell)}$ to make L_r (and thus $Y_j(i)^{-\lambda}$) appear in the upper bound for $H(V)$;
- $U \cap V = \langle \mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \rangle$ (to control the height of the intersection).

Write $g = \gcd$ of the coordinates of $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}$. Then we will have

$$H(U \cap V) \asymp g^{-1}X_r \quad \text{and} \quad H(V) \ll g^{-1}X_r L_r^m \dots \ll g^{-1}X_r Y_j(i)^{-m\lambda} \dots$$

Using Schmidt's inequality, it gives

$$H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i)))g^{-1}X_r \ll H(U)g^{-1}X_r Y_j(i)^{-m\lambda} \dots,$$

Ideas of the proof

Solution. Schmidt's inequality $H(U + V)H(U \cap V) \ll H(U)H(V)$.

Construct U and V such that:

- $U + V = \mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i))$;
- V has $m + 1$ (with m as large as possible) points among $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_r^{(\ell,\ell)}$ to make L_r (and thus $Y_j(i)^{-\lambda}$) appear in the upper bound for $H(V)$;
- $U \cap V = \langle \mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \rangle$ (to control the height of the intersection).

Write $g = \gcd$ of the coordinates of $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}$. Then we will have

$$H(U \cap V) \asymp g^{-1}X_r \quad \text{and} \quad H(V) \ll g^{-1}X_r L_r^m \dots \ll g^{-1}X_r Y_j(i)^{-m\lambda} \dots$$

Using Schmidt's inequality, it gives

$$H(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_j(i)))g^{-1}X_r \ll H(U)g^{-1}X_r Y_j(i)^{-m\lambda} \dots,$$

hence $1 \ll H(U)Y_j(i)^{-m\lambda} \dots$.

Ideas of the proof

Problem. We want to estimate $H(U)$ in function of $Y_0(i), \dots, Y_{j-1}(i)$ only, but $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \in U \dots$

Ideas of the proof

Problem. We want to estimate $H(U)$ in function of $Y_0(i), \dots, Y_{j-1}(i)$ only, but $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \in U \dots$

Solution. Choose U of the form $U = \langle \mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \mathbf{y}_1^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_j^{(0,\ell)} \rangle$ where $\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_j$ is a basis of $A_j(i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$.

Ideas of the proof

Problem. We want to estimate $H(U)$ in function of $Y_0(i), \dots, Y_{j-1}(i)$ only, but $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \in U \dots$

Solution. Choose U of the form $U = \langle \mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \mathbf{y}_1^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_j^{(0,\ell)} \rangle$ where $\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_j$ is a basis of $A_j(i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$.

Difficulty. Ensure that $\dim(U) = j + 1$ (technical issue).

Ideas of the proof

Problem. We want to estimate $H(U)$ in function of $Y_0(i), \dots, Y_{j-1}(i)$ only, but $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \in U \dots$

Solution. Choose U of the form $U = \langle \mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \mathbf{y}_1^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_j^{(0,\ell)} \rangle$ where $\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_j$ is a basis of $A_j(i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$.

Difficulty. Ensure that $\dim(U) = j + 1$ (technical issue). If so, then

$$H(U) \leq \|\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \wedge \mathbf{y}_1^{(0,\ell)} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{y}_j^{(0,\ell)}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}_r \wedge \mathbf{y}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{y}_j\| = H(A_j(i)).$$

Ideas of the proof

Problem. We want to estimate $H(U)$ in function of $Y_0(i), \dots, Y_{j-1}(i)$ only, but $\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \in U \dots$

Solution. Choose U of the form $U = \langle \mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)}, \mathbf{y}_1^{(0,\ell)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_j^{(0,\ell)} \rangle$ where $\mathbf{x}_r, \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_j$ is a basis of $A_j(i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$.

Difficulty. Ensure that $\dim(U) = j + 1$ (technical issue). If so, then

$$H(U) \leq \|\mathbf{x}_r^{(0,\ell)} \wedge \mathbf{y}_1^{(0,\ell)} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{y}_j^{(0,\ell)}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}_r \wedge \mathbf{y}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{y}_j\| = H(A_j(i)).$$

We conclude with the estimate $H(A_j(i)) \ll Y_{j-1}(i)(Y_{j-1}(i) \cdots Y_0(i))^{-\lambda}$ combined with $1 \ll H(U) Y_j(i)^{-m\lambda} \dots$.

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Problem. Find a condition so that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds.

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Problem. Find a condition so that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds.

Proposition F (Corollary of Proposition C)

Let j, ℓ with $2\ell + j \leq n$. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$. Then, there are infinitely many i such that

$$1 \ll H(\mathcal{U}^{\ell-1}(A_j((i))))L_{i-1}^m \quad (1)$$

where $m = n - j - 2\ell + 2$.

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Problem. Find a condition so that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds.

Proposition F (Corollary of Proposition C)

Let j, ℓ with $2\ell + j \leq n$. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$. Then, there are infinitely many i such that

$$1 \ll H(\mathcal{U}^{\ell-1}(A_j(i)))L_{i-1}^m \quad (1)$$

where $m = n - j - 2\ell + 2$.

Since $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$, we can use our estimate $H(\mathcal{U}^{\ell-1}(A_j(i)))$ as well as $Y_k(i)^\theta \ll Y_{k-1}(i)$ for $k = 0, \dots, j - 1$.

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Problem. Find a condition so that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds.

Proposition F (Corollary of Proposition C)

Let j, ℓ with $2\ell + j \leq n$. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$. Then, there are infinitely many i such that

$$1 \ll H(\mathcal{U}^{\ell-1}(A_j(i))) L_{i-1}^m \quad (1)$$

where $m = n - j - 2\ell + 2$.

Since $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$, we can use our estimate $H(\mathcal{U}^{\ell-1}(A_j(i)))$ as well as $Y_k(i)^\theta \ll Y_{k-1}(i)$ for $k = 0, \dots, j - 1$. By (1)

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\ll \left(Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} Y_{j-2}(i)^{-\lambda} \dots Y_0(i)^{-\lambda} \right) Y_{-1}^{-m\lambda} \\ &\ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\lambda(\ell+\theta+\theta^2+\dots+\theta^{j-1}+m\theta^j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Problem. Find a condition so that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds.

Proposition F (Corollary of Proposition C)

Let j, ℓ with $2\ell + j \leq n$. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$. Then, there are infinitely many i such that

$$1 \ll H(\mathcal{U}^{\ell-1}(A_j(i))) L_{i-1}^m \quad (1)$$

where $m = n - j - 2\ell + 2$.

Since $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$, we can use our estimate $H(\mathcal{U}^{\ell-1}(A_j(i)))$ as well as $Y_k(i)^\theta \ll Y_{k-1}(i)$ for $k = 0, \dots, j - 1$. By (1)

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\ll \left(Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\ell\lambda} Y_{j-2}(i)^{-\lambda} \dots Y_0(i)^{-\lambda} \right) Y_{-1}^{-m\lambda} \\ &\ll Y_{j-1}(i)^{1-\lambda(\ell+\theta+\theta^2+\dots+\theta^{j-1}+m\theta^j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq 1/(\ell + \theta + \dots + \theta^{j-1} + m\theta^j)$.

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Conclusion.

- If $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow$ upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Conclusion.

- If $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow$ upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.
- So, if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds and $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ is “large” enough, then $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds.

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Conclusion.

- If $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow$ upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.
- So, if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds and $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ is “large” enough, then $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds. This gives another upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ (height estimate of Proposition D).

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Conclusion.

- If $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow$ upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.
- So, if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds and $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ is “large” enough, then $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds. This gives another upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ (height estimate of Proposition D).

By induction on j and with our choice of parameters, we show that there are ρ_n, ρ'_n such that

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Conclusion.

- If $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow$ upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.
- So, if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds and $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ is “large” enough, then $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds. This gives another upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ (height estimate of Proposition D).

By induction on j and with our choice of parameters, we show that there are ρ_n, ρ'_n such that

- if $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > \rho_n$, then $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds;

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Conclusion.

- If $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow$ upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.
- So, if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds and $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ is “large” enough, then $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds. This gives another upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ (height estimate of Proposition D).

By induction on j and with our choice of parameters, we show that there are ρ_n, ρ'_n such that

- if $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > \rho_n$, then $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds;
- if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds, then $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq \rho'_n$.

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Conclusion.

- If $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow$ upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.
- So, if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds and $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ is “large” enough, then $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds. This gives another upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ (height estimate of Proposition D).

By induction on j and with our choice of parameters, we show that there are ρ_n, ρ'_n such that

- if $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > \rho_n$, then $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds;
- if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds, then $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq \rho'_n$.

So $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq \max\{\rho_n, \rho'_n\}$.

Property $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$

Conclusion.

- If $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell) \Rightarrow$ upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$.
- So, if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds and $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ is “large” enough, then $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds. This gives another upper bound for $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi)$ (height estimate of Proposition D).

By induction on j and with our choice of parameters, we show that there are ρ_n, ρ'_n such that

- if $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) > \rho_n$, then $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds;
- if $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$ holds, then $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq \rho'_n$.

So $\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq \max\{\rho_n, \rho'_n\}$. By optimizing the choice of ℓ and j , we get

$$\hat{\lambda}_n(\xi) \leq \frac{1}{n/2 + a\sqrt{n} + 1/3}.$$

Thank you.

Ideas of the proof of Proposition F

Since $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$, there are infinitely many i s.t.

$$\dim(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_i(j))) = \ell + j.$$

Ideas of the proof of Proposition F

Since $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$, there are infinitely many i s.t.

$$\dim(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_i(j))) = \ell + j.$$

By Proposition B (see first part of the talk), we have

$$H(\mathcal{U}^{\ell-1}(A_i(j))) \asymp H(V)^m,$$

where $m = n - j - 2\ell + 2$ and $V = \mathcal{U}^d(A_j(i))$ (with $d = n - \ell - j$) is an hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^{\ell+j+1}$.

Ideas of the proof of Proposition F

Since $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$, there are infinitely many i s.t.

$$\dim(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_i(j))) = \ell + j.$$

By Proposition B (see first part of the talk), we have

$$H(\mathcal{U}^{\ell-1}(A_i(j))) \asymp H(V)^m,$$

where $m = n - j - 2\ell + 2$ and $V = \mathcal{U}^d(A_j(i))$ (with $d = n - \ell - j$) is an hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^{\ell+j+1}$.

Without loss of generality (easy technical argument), we can assume $\mathcal{U}^d(\mathbf{x}_{i-1}) \not\subset V$, so that

$$1 \ll H(V)L_{i-1}.$$

Ideas of the proof of Proposition F

Since $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell - 1)$ holds but not $\mathcal{P}(j, \ell)$, there are infinitely many i s.t.

$$\dim(\mathcal{U}^\ell(A_i(j))) = \ell + j.$$

By Proposition B (see first part of the talk), we have

$$H(\mathcal{U}^{\ell-1}(A_i(j))) \asymp H(V)^m,$$

where $m = n - j - 2\ell + 2$ and $V = \mathcal{U}^d(A_j(i))$ (with $d = n - \ell - j$) is an hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^{\ell+j+1}$.

Without loss of generality (easy technical argument), we can assume $\mathcal{U}^d(\mathbf{x}_{i-1}) \not\subset V$, so that

$$1 \ll H(V)L_{i-1}.$$

Raising to the power m , we get $1 \ll H(\mathcal{U}^{\ell-1}(A_i(j)))L_{i-1}^m$.