

Fixed points of ternary involutions and applications

Richard M. Timoney
Trinity College Dublin

Joint work with Les Bunce

Ternary rings of operators (TROs)

Definition

A TRO is a norm closed linear subspace $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$x, y, z \in T \Rightarrow [x, y, z] := xy^*z \in T$$

Examples

$T = A$. $\mathbb{M}_n(T)$. $T = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$. $T = pAq$. $T^{\text{op}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{op}}$.

Notation

$$\mathcal{L}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in T\}}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{y^*z : y, z \in T\}}$$

Linking C^* -algebra of T :

$$\mathfrak{L}_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_T & T \\ T^* & \mathcal{R}_T \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ternary rings of operators (TROs)

Definition

A TRO is a norm closed linear subspace $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$x, y, z \in T \Rightarrow [x, y, z] := xy^*z \in T$$

Examples

$T = A$. $\mathbb{M}_n(T)$. $T = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$. $T = pAq$. $T^{\text{op}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{op}}$.

Notation

$$\mathcal{L}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in T\}}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{y^*z : y, z \in T\}}$$

Linking C^* -algebra of T :

$$\mathfrak{L}_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_T & T \\ T^* & \mathcal{R}_T \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ternary rings of operators (TROs)

Definition

A TRO is a norm closed linear subspace $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$x, y, z \in T \Rightarrow [x, y, z] := xy^*z \in T$$

Examples

$T = A$. $\mathbb{M}_n(T)$. $T = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$. $T = pAq$. $T^{\text{op}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{op}}$.

Notation

$$\mathcal{L}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in T\}}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{y^*z : y, z \in T\}}$$

Linking C^* -algebra of T :

$$\mathfrak{L}_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_T & T \\ T^* & \mathcal{R}_T \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ternary rings of operators (TROs)

Definition

A TRO is a norm closed linear subspace $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$x, y, z \in T \Rightarrow [x, y, z] := xy^*z \in T$$

Examples

$T = A$. $\mathbb{M}_n(T)$. $T = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$. $T = pAq$. $T^{\text{op}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{op}}$.

Notation

$$\mathcal{L}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in T\}}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{y^*z : y, z \in T\}}$$

Linking C^* -algebra of T :

$$\mathfrak{L}_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_T & T \\ T^* & \mathcal{R}_T \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ternary rings of operators (TROs)

Definition

A TRO is a norm closed linear subspace $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$x, y, z \in T \Rightarrow [x, y, z] := xy^*z \in T$$

Examples

$T = A$. $\mathbb{M}_n(T)$. $T = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$. $T = pAq$. $T^{\text{op}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{op}}$.

Notation

$$\mathcal{L}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in T\}}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{y^*z : y, z \in T\}}$$

Linking C^* -algebra of T :

$$\mathfrak{L}_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_T & T \\ T^* & \mathcal{R}_T \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ternary rings of operators (TROs)

Definition

A TRO is a norm closed linear subspace $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$x, y, z \in T \Rightarrow [x, y, z] := xy^*z \in T$$

Examples

$T = A$. $\mathbb{M}_n(T)$. $T = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$. $T = pAq$. $T^{\text{op}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{op}}$.

Notation

$$\mathcal{L}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in T\}}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{y^*z : y, z \in T\}}$$

Linking C^* -algebra of T :

$$\mathfrak{L}_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_T & T \\ T^* & \mathcal{R}_T \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ternary rings of operators (TROs)

Definition

A TRO is a norm closed linear subspace $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$x, y, z \in T \Rightarrow [x, y, z] := xy^*z \in T$$

Examples

$T = A$. $\mathbb{M}_n(T)$. $T = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$. $T = pAq$. $T^{\text{op}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{op}}$.

Notation

$$\mathcal{L}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in T\}}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{y^*z : y, z \in T\}}$$

Linking C^* -algebra of T :

$$\mathfrak{L}_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_T & T \\ T^* & \mathcal{R}_T \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ternary rings of operators (TROs)

Definition

A TRO is a norm closed linear subspace $T \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$x, y, z \in T \Rightarrow [x, y, z] := xy^*z \in T$$

Examples

$T = A$. $\mathbb{M}_n(T)$. $T = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$. $T = pAq$. $T^{\text{op}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{op}}$.

Notation

$$\mathcal{L}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in T\}}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_T = \overline{\text{span}\{y^*z : y, z \in T\}}$$

Linking C^* -algebra of T :

$$\mathfrak{L}_T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_T & T \\ T^* & \mathcal{R}_T \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Definition

$I \subseteq T$ is an *ideal* if it is a norm closed linear subspace with

$$[I, T, T] + [T, I, T] + [T, T, I] \subseteq I$$

Since $x \in I \Rightarrow x = [y, y, y]$ for some $y \in I$, can omit $[T, I, T]$ (or require only $[T, I, T] \subseteq I$).

Proposition

$I \subseteq T$ an ideal implies $\mathcal{R}_I \subseteq \mathcal{R}_T$ an ideal (and so is $\mathcal{L}_I \subseteq \mathcal{L}_T$).

Moreover

$$I = T\mathcal{R}_I = \mathcal{L}_IT$$

and $J \subseteq \mathcal{R}_T$ an ideal implies $I_J = TJ \subseteq T$ an ideal with $\mathcal{R}_{I_J} = J$.

Definition

$I \subseteq T$ is an *ideal* if it is a norm closed linear subspace with

$$[I, T, T] + [T, I, T] + [T, T, I] \subseteq I$$

Since $x \in I \Rightarrow x = [y, y, y]$ for some $y \in I$, can omit $[T, I, T]$ (or require only $[T, I, T] \subseteq I$).

Proposition

$I \subseteq T$ an ideal implies $\mathcal{R}_I \subseteq \mathcal{R}_T$ an ideal (and so is $\mathcal{L}_I \subseteq \mathcal{L}_T$).

Moreover

$$I = T\mathcal{R}_I = \mathcal{L}_IT$$

and $J \subseteq \mathcal{R}_T$ an ideal implies $I_J = TJ \subseteq T$ an ideal with $\mathcal{R}_{I_J} = J$.

Definition

$I \subseteq T$ is an *ideal* if it is a norm closed linear subspace with

$$[I, T, T] + [T, I, T] + [T, T, I] \subseteq I$$

Since $x \in I \Rightarrow x = [y, y, y]$ for some $y \in I$, can omit $[T, I, T]$ (or require only $[T, I, T] \subseteq I$).

Proposition

$I \subseteq T$ an ideal implies $\mathcal{R}_I \subseteq \mathcal{R}_T$ an ideal (and so is $\mathcal{L}_I \subseteq \mathcal{L}_T$).

Moreover

$$I = T\mathcal{R}_I = \mathcal{L}_IT$$

and $J \subseteq \mathcal{R}_T$ an ideal implies $I_J = TJ \subseteq T$ an ideal with $\mathcal{R}_{I_J} = J$.

Definition

$I \subseteq T$ is an *ideal* if it is a norm closed linear subspace with

$$[I, T, T] + [T, I, T] + [T, T, I] \subseteq I$$

Since $x \in I \Rightarrow x = [y, y, y]$ for some $y \in I$, can omit $[T, I, T]$ (or require only $[T, I, T] \subseteq I$).

Proposition

$I \subseteq T$ an ideal implies $\mathcal{R}_I \subseteq \mathcal{R}_T$ an ideal (and so is $\mathcal{L}_I \subseteq \mathcal{L}_T$).

Moreover

$$I = T\mathcal{R}_I = \mathcal{L}_IT$$

and $J \subseteq \mathcal{R}_T$ an ideal implies $I_J = TJ \subseteq T$ an ideal with $\mathcal{R}_{I_J} = J$.

Definition

$I \subseteq T$ is an *ideal* if it is a norm closed linear subspace with

$$[I, T, T] + [T, I, T] + [T, T, I] \subseteq I$$

Since $x \in I \Rightarrow x = [y, y, y]$ for some $y \in I$, can omit $[T, I, T]$ (or require only $[T, I, T] \subseteq I$).

Proposition

$I \subseteq T$ an ideal implies $\mathcal{R}_I \subseteq \mathcal{R}_T$ an ideal (and so is $\mathcal{L}_I \subseteq \mathcal{L}_T$).

Moreover

$$I = T\mathcal{R}_I = \mathcal{L}_IT$$

and $J \subseteq \mathcal{R}_T$ an ideal implies $I_J = TJ \subseteq T$ an ideal with $\mathcal{R}_{I_J} = J$.

Ternary morphisms (of TROs)

Definition

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is a *ternary homomorphism* if
 $\phi[x, y, z] = [\phi(x), \phi(y), \phi(z)]$ (or $\phi(xy^*z) = \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*\phi(z)$).

Proposition

Ternary homomorphisms are (completely) contractive.

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ induces *-homomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_\phi: \mathcal{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{T_2}$
($xy^* \mapsto \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*$) and $\mathcal{R}_\phi: \mathcal{R}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{T_2}$ and

$$\mathfrak{L}_\phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_\phi & \phi \\ (x^* \mapsto \phi(x)^*) & \mathcal{R}_\phi \end{pmatrix} : \mathfrak{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{T_2}$$

Definition

\rightsquigarrow Abstract TRO: $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$.

Ternary morphisms (of TROs)

Definition

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is a *ternary homomorphism* if
 $\phi[x, y, z] = [\phi(x), \phi(y), \phi(z)]$ (or $\phi(xy^*z) = \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*\phi(z)$).

Proposition

Ternary homomorphisms are (completely) contractive.

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ induces *-homomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_\phi: \mathcal{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{T_2}$
($xy^* \mapsto \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*$) and $\mathcal{R}_\phi: \mathcal{R}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{T_2}$ and

$$\mathfrak{L}_\phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_\phi & \phi \\ (x^* \mapsto \phi(x)^*) & \mathcal{R}_\phi \end{pmatrix} : \mathfrak{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{T_2}$$

Definition

\rightsquigarrow Abstract TRO: $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$.

Ternary morphisms (of TROs)

Definition

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is a *ternary homomorphism* if
 $\phi[x, y, z] = [\phi(x), \phi(y), \phi(z)]$ (or $\phi(xy^*z) = \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*\phi(z)$).

Proposition

Ternary homomorphisms are (completely) contractive.

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ induces *-homomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_\phi: \mathcal{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{T_2}$
($xy^* \mapsto \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*$) and $\mathcal{R}_\phi: \mathcal{R}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{T_2}$ and

$$\mathfrak{L}_\phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_\phi & \phi \\ (x^* \mapsto \phi(x)^*) & \mathcal{R}_\phi \end{pmatrix} : \mathfrak{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{T_2}$$

Definition

\rightsquigarrow Abstract TRO: $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$.

Ternary morphisms (of TROs)

Definition

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is a *ternary homomorphism* if
 $\phi[x, y, z] = [\phi(x), \phi(y), \phi(z)]$ (or $\phi(xy^*z) = \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*\phi(z)$).

Proposition

Ternary homomorphisms are (completely) contractive.

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ induces *-homomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_\phi: \mathcal{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{T_2}$
($xy^* \mapsto \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*$) and $\mathcal{R}_\phi: \mathcal{R}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{T_2}$ and

$$\mathfrak{L}_\phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_\phi & \phi \\ (x^* \mapsto \phi(x)^*) & \mathcal{R}_\phi \end{pmatrix} : \mathfrak{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{T_2}$$

Definition

\rightsquigarrow Abstract TRO: $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$.

Ternary morphisms (of TROs)

Definition

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is a *ternary homomorphism* if
 $\phi[x, y, z] = [\phi(x), \phi(y), \phi(z)]$ (or $\phi(xy^*z) = \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*\phi(z)$).

Proposition

Ternary homomorphisms are (completely) contractive.

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ induces *-homomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_\phi: \mathcal{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{T_2}$
($xy^* \mapsto \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*$) and $\mathcal{R}_\phi: \mathcal{R}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{T_2}$ and

$$\mathfrak{L}_\phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_\phi & \phi \\ (x^* \mapsto \phi(x)^*) & \mathcal{R}_\phi \end{pmatrix} : \mathfrak{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{T_2}$$

Definition

\rightsquigarrow Abstract TRO: $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$.

Ternary morphisms (of TROs)

Definition

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is a *ternary homomorphism* if
 $\phi[x, y, z] = [\phi(x), \phi(y), \phi(z)]$ (or $\phi(xy^*z) = \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*\phi(z)$).

Proposition

Ternary homomorphisms are (completely) contractive.

$\phi: T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ induces *-homomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_\phi: \mathcal{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{T_2}$
($xy^* \mapsto \phi(x)(\phi(y))^*$) and $\mathcal{R}_\phi: \mathcal{R}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{T_2}$ and

$$\mathfrak{L}_\phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{L}_\phi & \phi \\ (x^* \mapsto \phi(x)^*) & \mathcal{R}_\phi \end{pmatrix} : \mathfrak{L}_{T_1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}_{T_2}$$

Definition

\rightsquigarrow Abstract TRO: $(T, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot])$.

$$\mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}} & T \\ T^* & \mathfrak{R}_{T^{\sim}} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ex

For $p = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}}$, $q = 1 - p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$,

$$T \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = p(\mathfrak{L}_T)q$$

Definition

$e \in T$ is called a tripotent if $[e, e, e] = ee^*e = e$ ($\iff e$ a partial isometry)

$$T = ee^*Te^*e + \left((1-ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1-e^*e) \right) + (1-ee^*)T(1-e^*e)$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{T^\sim} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{L}_{T^\sim} & T \\ T^* & \mathfrak{R}_{T^\sim} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ex

For $p = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{L}_{T^\sim}$, $q = 1 - p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$,

$$T \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = p(\mathfrak{L}_T)q$$

Definition

$e \in T$ is called a tripotent if $[e, e, e] = ee^*e = e$ ($\iff e$ a partial isometry)

$$T = ee^*Te^*e + \left((1-ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1-e^*e) \right) + (1-ee^*)T(1-e^*e)$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}} & T \\ T^* & \mathfrak{R}_{T^{\sim}} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ex

For $p = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}}$, $q = 1 - p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$,

$$T \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = p(\mathfrak{L}_T)q$$

Definition

$e \in T$ is called a tripotent if $[e, e, e] = ee^*e = e$ (\iff e a partial isometry)

$$T = ee^*Te^*e + \left((1-ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1-e^*e) \right) + (1-ee^*)T(1-e^*e)$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}} & T \\ T^* & \mathfrak{R}_{T^{\sim}} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ex

$$\text{For } p = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}}, q = 1 - p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = p(\mathfrak{L}_T)q$$

Definition

$e \in T$ is called a tripotent if $[e, e, e] = ee^*e = e$ ($\iff e$ a partial isometry)

$$T = ee^*Te^*e + \left((1-ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1-e^*e) \right) + (1-ee^*)T(1-e^*e)$$

$$\mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}} & T \\ T^* & \mathfrak{R}_{T^{\sim}} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Ex

For $p = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{L}_{T^{\sim}}$, $q = 1 - p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$,

$$T \cong \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = p(\mathfrak{L}_T)q$$

Definition

$e \in T$ is called a tripotent if $[e, e, e] = ee^*e = e$ ($\iff e$ a partial isometry)

$$T = ee^*Te^*e + \left((1-ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1-e^*e) \right) + (1-ee^*)T(1-e^*e)$$

Peirce spaces for $e \in T$

$$T = ee^*Te^*e + \left((1 - ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1 - e^*e) \right) + (1 - ee^*)T(1 - e^*e)$$

Notation

$$T_\lambda(e) = \{x \in T : [e, e, x] + [x, e, e] = \lambda x\} \quad (\lambda = 0, 1, 2)$$

$$T_2(e) = ee^*Te^*e$$

$$T_1(e) = (1 - ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1 - e^*e)$$

$$T_0(e) = (1 - ee^*)T(1 - e^*e)$$

$T_2(e)$ “is” a C^* -algebra (with product $x \cdot y = [x, e, y]$).

But like projections in C^* -algebras, in general $\nexists e \in T \setminus \{0\}$.

Peirce spaces for $e \in T$

$$T = ee^*Te^*e + \left((1 - ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1 - e^*e) \right) + (1 - ee^*)T(1 - e^*e)$$

Notation

$$T_\lambda(e) = \{x \in T : [e, e, x] + [x, e, e] = \lambda x\} \quad (\lambda = 0, 1, 2)$$

$$T_2(e) = ee^*Te^*e$$

$$T_1(e) = (1 - ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1 - e^*e)$$

$$T_0(e) = (1 - ee^*)T(1 - e^*e)$$

$T_2(e)$ “is” a C^* -algebra (with product $x \cdot y = [x, e, y]$).

But like projections in C^* -algebras, in general $\nexists e \in T \setminus \{0\}$.

Peirce spaces for $e \in T$

$$T = ee^*Te^*e + \left((1 - ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1 - e^*e) \right) + (1 - ee^*)T(1 - e^*e)$$

Notation

$$T_\lambda(e) = \{x \in T : [e, e, x] + [x, e, e] = \lambda x\} \quad (\lambda = 0, 1, 2)$$

$$T_2(e) = ee^*Te^*e$$

$$T_1(e) = (1 - ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1 - e^*e)$$

$$T_0(e) = (1 - ee^*)T(1 - e^*e)$$

$T_2(e)$ “is” a C^* -algebra (with product $x \cdot y = [x, e, y]$).

But like projections in C^* -algebras, in general $\nexists e \in T \setminus \{0\}$.

Peirce spaces for $e \in T$

$$T = ee^*Te^*e + \left((1 - ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1 - e^*e) \right) + (1 - ee^*)T(1 - e^*e)$$

Notation

$$T_\lambda(e) = \{x \in T : [e, e, x] + [x, e, e] = \lambda x\} \quad (\lambda = 0, 1, 2)$$

$$T_2(e) = ee^*Te^*e$$

$$T_1(e) = (1 - ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1 - e^*e)$$

$$T_0(e) = (1 - ee^*)T(1 - e^*e)$$

$T_2(e)$ “is” a C^* -algebra (with product $x \cdot y = [x, e, y]$).

But like projections in C^* -algebras, in general $\nexists e \in T \setminus \{0\}$.

$$T = ee^*Te^*e + \left((1 - ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1 - e^*e) \right) + (1 - ee^*)T(1 - e^*e)$$

Notation

$$T_\lambda(e) = \{x \in T : [e, e, x] + [x, e, e] = \lambda x\} \quad (\lambda = 0, 1, 2)$$

$$T_2(e) = ee^*Te^*e$$

$$T_1(e) = (1 - ee^*)Te^*e + ee^*T(1 - e^*e)$$

$$T_0(e) = (1 - ee^*)T(1 - e^*e)$$

$T_2(e)$ “is” a C^* -algebra (with product $x \cdot y = [x, e, y]$).

But like projections in C^* -algebras, in general $\nexists e \in T \setminus \{0\}$.

Weak*-closed TROs and biduals

If we consider TROs $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that are weak*-closed (or are Banach dual spaces), all extreme points of the unit ball are tripotents.

Bidual or weak* closure of T can be U .

Use $\overline{\mathcal{L}_U} = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in U\}}^{w^*}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}_U}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{L}_U} & U \\ U^* & \overline{\mathcal{R}_U} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Proposition (Zettl)

Weak*-closed 'ideals' $I \subseteq U$ are in 1-1 correspondence with projections $z \in Z(\overline{\mathcal{R}_U})$ via $I = Uz$.

Definition

A W^* -TRO U is called a *left TRO* if U is TRO isomorphic to Wp for $p = p^* = p^2 \in W$, W a W^* -algebra.

U is called *square* if $U \cong W$.

U *square-free* if $\nexists I \subseteq U$ with $I \neq \{0\}$ square.

Weak*-closed TROs and biduals

If we consider TROs $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that are weak*-closed (or are Banach dual spaces), all extreme points of the unit ball are tripotents.

Bidual or weak* closure of T can be U .

Use $\overline{\mathcal{L}_U} = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in U\}}^{w^*}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}_U}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{L}_U} & U \\ U^* & \overline{\mathcal{R}_U} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Proposition (Zettl)

Weak*-closed 'ideals' $I \subseteq U$ are in 1-1 correspondence with projections $z \in Z(\overline{\mathcal{R}_U})$ via $I = Uz$.

Definition

A W^* -TRO U is called a *left TRO* if U is TRO isomorphic to Wp for $p = p^* = p^2 \in W$, W a W^* -algebra.

U is called *square* if $U \cong W$.

U *square-free* if $\nexists I \subseteq U$ with $I \neq \{0\}$ square.

Weak*-closed TROs and biduals

If we consider TROs $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that are weak*-closed (or are Banach dual spaces), all extreme points of the unit ball are tripotents.

Bidual or weak* closure of T can be U .

Use $\overline{\mathcal{L}_U} = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in U\}}^{w^*}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}_U}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{L}_U} & U \\ U^* & \overline{\mathcal{R}_U} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Proposition (Zettl)

Weak*-closed 'ideals' $I \subseteq U$ are in 1-1 correspondence with projections $z \in Z(\overline{\mathcal{R}_U})$ via $I = Uz$.

Definition

A W^* -TRO U is called a *left TRO* if U is TRO isomorphic to Wp for $p = p^* = p^2 \in W$, W a W^* -algebra.

U is called *square* if $U \cong W$.

U *square-free* if $\nexists I \subseteq U$ with $I \neq \{0\}$ square.

Weak*-closed TROs and biduals

If we consider TROs $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that are weak*-closed (or are Banach dual spaces), all extreme points of the unit ball are tripotents.

Bidual or weak* closure of T can be U .

Use $\overline{\mathcal{L}_U} = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in U\}}^{w^*}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}_U}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{L}_U} & U \\ U^* & \overline{\mathcal{R}_U} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Proposition (Zettl)

Weak*-closed 'ideals' $I \subseteq U$ are in 1-1 correspondence with projections $z \in Z(\overline{\mathcal{R}_U})$ via $I = Uz$.

Definition

A W^* -TRO U is called a *left TRO* if U is TRO isomorphic to Wp for $p = p^* = p^2 \in W$, W a W^* -algebra.

U is called *square* if $U \cong W$.

U *square-free* if $\nexists I \subseteq U$ with $I \neq \{0\}$ square.

Weak*-closed TROs and biduals

If we consider TROs $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that are weak*-closed (or are Banach dual spaces), all extreme points of the unit ball are tripotents.

Bidual or weak* closure of T can be U .

Use $\overline{\mathcal{L}_U} = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in U\}}^{w^*}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}_U}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{L}_U} & U \\ U^* & \overline{\mathcal{R}_U} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Proposition (Zettl)

Weak*-closed 'ideals' $I \subseteq U$ are in 1-1 correspondence with projections $z \in Z(\overline{\mathcal{R}_U})$ via $I = Uz$.

Definition

A W^* -TRO U is called a *left TRO* if U is TRO isomorphic to Wp for $p = p^* = p^2 \in W$, W a W^* -algebra.

U is called *square* if $U \cong W$.

U *square-free* if $\nexists I \subseteq U$ with $I \neq \{0\}$ square.

Weak*-closed TROs and biduals

If we consider TROs $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that are weak*-closed (or are Banach dual spaces), all extreme points of the unit ball are tripotents.

Bidual or weak* closure of T can be U .

Use $\overline{\mathcal{L}_U} = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in U\}}^{w^*}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}_U}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{L}_U} & U \\ U^* & \overline{\mathcal{R}_U} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Proposition (Zettl)

Weak*-closed 'ideals' $I \subseteq U$ are in 1-1 correspondence with projections $z \in Z(\overline{\mathcal{R}_U})$ via $I = Uz$.

Definition

A W^* -TRO U is called a *left TRO* if U is TRO isomorphic to Wp for $p = p^* = p^2 \in W$, W a W^* -algebra.

U is called *square* if $U \cong W$.

U *square-free* if $\nexists I \subseteq U$ with $I \neq \{0\}$ square.

Weak*-closed TROs and biduals

If we consider TROs $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that are weak*-closed (or are Banach dual spaces), all extreme points of the unit ball are tripotents.

Bidual or weak* closure of T can be U .

Use $\overline{\mathcal{L}_U} = \overline{\text{span}\{xy^* : x, y \in U\}}^{w^*}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}_U}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{L}_U} & U \\ U^* & \overline{\mathcal{R}_U} \end{pmatrix} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$$

Proposition (Zettl)

Weak*-closed 'ideals' $I \subseteq U$ are in 1-1 correspondence with projections $z \in Z(\overline{\mathcal{R}_U})$ via $I = Uz$.

Definition

A W^* -TRO U is called a *left TRO* if U is TRO isomorphic to Wp for $p = p^* = p^2 \in W$, W a W^* -algebra.

U is called *square* if $U \cong W$.

U *square-free* if $\nexists I \subseteq U$ with $I \neq \{0\}$ square.

Theorem

U a W^* -TRO implies

$$U = U_l \oplus U_r \oplus U_s$$

with U_l / U_r / U_s the largest square-free left/ square-free right/ square weak*-closed ideals of U .

Example

For $p \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ a projection ($p \neq 0$), $U = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ is a left TRO, $\overline{\mathcal{L}_U} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, no non-trivial (weak*-closed) ideals, square-free if $\dim p(\mathcal{H}) < \dim \mathcal{H}$.

For p rank one, $U = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ is a left TRO, isometric to \mathcal{H} as a Banach space, square-free if $\dim \mathcal{H} > 1$. (Column Hilbert space.)

Theorem

U a W^* -TRO implies

$$U = U_l \oplus U_r \oplus U_s$$

with U_l / U_r / U_s the largest square-free left/ square-free right/ square weak*-closed ideals of U .

Example

For $p \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ a projection ($p \neq 0$), $U = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ is a left TRO, $\overline{\mathcal{L}_U} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, no non-trivial (weak*-closed) ideals, square-free if $\dim p(\mathcal{H}) < \dim \mathcal{H}$.

For p rank one, $U = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ is a left TRO, isometric to \mathcal{H} as a Banach space, square-free if $\dim \mathcal{H} > 1$. (Column Hilbert space.)

Theorem

U a W^* -TRO implies

$$U = U_l \oplus U_r \oplus U_s$$

with U_l / U_r / U_s the largest square-free left/ square-free right/ square weak*-closed ideals of U .

Example

For $p \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ a projection ($p \neq 0$), $U = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ is a left TRO, $\overline{\mathcal{L}_U} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, no non-trivial (weak*-closed) ideals, square-free if $\dim p(\mathcal{H}) < \dim \mathcal{H}$.

For p rank one, $U = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ is a left TRO, isometric to \mathcal{H} as a Banach space, square-free if $\dim \mathcal{H} > 1$. (Column Hilbert space.)

Left/right/square decomposition

Theorem

U a W^* -TRO implies

$$U = U_l \oplus U_r \oplus U_s$$

with U_l / U_r / U_s the largest square-free left/ square-free right/ square weak*-closed ideals of U .

Example

For $p \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ a projection ($p \neq 0$), $U = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ is a left TRO, $\overline{\mathcal{L}_U} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, no non-trivial (weak*-closed) ideals, square-free if $\dim p(\mathcal{H}) < \dim \mathcal{H}$.

For p rank one, $U = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ is a left TRO, isometric to \mathcal{H} as a Banach space, square-free if $\dim \mathcal{H} > 1$. (Column Hilbert space.)

Involutions and fixed points

Definition

An *involution* of a C^* -algebra A is $\Phi: A \rightarrow A$ such that Φ is \mathbb{C} -linear, $\Phi(\Phi(a)) = a$, $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(b)\Phi(a)$, and $\Phi(a^*) = \Phi(a)^*$

Definition

A *ternary involution* of a TRO T is $\phi: T \rightarrow T$ \mathbb{C} -linear, $\phi(\phi(a)) = a$, $\phi[a, b, c] = [\phi(c), \phi(b), \phi(a)]$ (or $\phi(ab^*c) = \phi(c)(\phi(b))^*\phi(a)$).

Fixed points $T^\phi = \{x \in T : \phi(x) = x\} = \{x + \phi(x) : x \in T\}$.
 A^ϕ will be a (closed) Jordan $*$ -algebra of operators (JC^* -algebra).
 T^ϕ will be a JC^* -triple: closed under Jordan triple product

$$\{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ([a, b, c] + [c, b, a])/2 = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2$$

In fact T^ϕ is *reversible*:

$$x_1 x_2^* x_3 \cdots x_{2n}^* x_{2n+1} + x_{2n+1} x_{2n}^* \cdots x_3 x_2^* x_1 \in T^\phi$$

Involutions and fixed points

Definition

An *involution* of a C^* -algebra A is $\Phi: A \rightarrow A$ such that Φ is \mathbb{C} -linear, $\Phi(\Phi(a)) = a$, $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(b)\Phi(a)$, and $\Phi(a^*) = \Phi(a)^*$

Definition

A *ternary involution* of a TRO T is $\phi: T \rightarrow T$ \mathbb{C} -linear, $\phi(\phi(a)) = a$, $\phi[a, b, c] = [\phi(c), \phi(b), \phi(a)]$ (or $\phi(ab^*c) = \phi(c)(\phi(b))^*\phi(a)$).

Fixed points $T^\phi = \{x \in T : \phi(x) = x\} = \{x + \phi(x) : x \in T\}$.
 A^ϕ will be a (closed) Jordan $*$ -algebra of operators (JC^* -algebra).
 T^ϕ will be a JC^* -triple: closed under Jordan triple product

$$\{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ([a, b, c] + [c, b, a])/2 = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2$$

In fact T^ϕ is *reversible*:

$$x_1 x_2^* x_3 \cdots x_{2n}^* x_{2n+1} + x_{2n+1} x_{2n}^* \cdots x_3 x_2^* x_1 \in T^\phi$$

Involutions and fixed points

Definition

An *involution* of a C^* -algebra A is $\Phi: A \rightarrow A$ such that Φ is \mathbb{C} -linear, $\Phi(\Phi(a)) = a$, $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(b)\Phi(a)$, and $\Phi(a^*) = \Phi(a)^*$

Definition

A *ternary involution* of a TRO T is $\phi: T \rightarrow T$ \mathbb{C} -linear, $\phi(\phi(a)) = a$, $\phi[a, b, c] = [\phi(c), \phi(b), \phi(a)]$ (or $\phi(ab^*c) = \phi(c)(\phi(b))^*\phi(a)$).

Fixed points $T^\phi = \{x \in T : \phi(x) = x\} = \{x + \phi(x) : x \in T\}$.
 A^ϕ will be a (closed) Jordan $*$ -algebra of operators (JC^* -algebra).
 T^ϕ will be a JC^* -triple: closed under Jordan triple product

$$\{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ([a, b, c] + [c, b, a])/2 = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2$$

In fact T^ϕ is *reversible*:

$$x_1x_2^*x_3 \cdots x_{2n}^*x_{2n+1} + x_{2n+1}x_{2n}^* \cdots x_3x_2^*x_1 \in T^\phi$$

Involutions and fixed points

Definition

An *involution* of a C^* -algebra A is $\Phi: A \rightarrow A$ such that Φ is \mathbb{C} -linear, $\Phi(\Phi(a)) = a$, $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(b)\Phi(a)$, and $\Phi(a^*) = \Phi(a)^*$

Definition

A *ternary involution* of a TRO T is $\phi: T \rightarrow T$ \mathbb{C} -linear, $\phi(\phi(a)) = a$, $\phi[a, b, c] = [\phi(c), \phi(b), \phi(a)]$ (or $\phi(ab^*c) = \phi(c)(\phi(b))^*\phi(a)$).

Fixed points $T^\phi = \{x \in T : \phi(x) = x\} = \{x + \phi(x) : x \in T\}$.
 A^ϕ will be a (closed) Jordan $*$ -algebra of operators (JC^* -algebra).

T^ϕ will be a JC^* -triple: closed under Jordan triple product

$$\{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ([a, b, c] + [c, b, a])/2 = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2$$

In fact T^ϕ is *reversible*:

$$x_1x_2^*x_3 \cdots x_{2n}^*x_{2n+1} + x_{2n+1}x_{2n}^* \cdots x_3x_2^*x_1 \in T^\phi$$

Involutions and fixed points

Definition

An *involution* of a C^* -algebra A is $\Phi: A \rightarrow A$ such that Φ is \mathbb{C} -linear, $\Phi(\Phi(a)) = a$, $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(b)\Phi(a)$, and $\Phi(a^*) = \Phi(a)^*$

Definition

A *ternary involution* of a TRO T is $\phi: T \rightarrow T$ \mathbb{C} -linear, $\phi(\phi(a)) = a$, $\phi[a, b, c] = [\phi(c), \phi(b), \phi(a)]$ (or $\phi(ab^*c) = \phi(c)(\phi(b))^*\phi(a)$).

Fixed points $T^\phi = \{x \in T : \phi(x) = x\} = \{x + \phi(x) : x \in T\}$.
 A^ϕ will be a (closed) Jordan $*$ -algebra of operators (JC^* -algebra).
 T^ϕ will be a JC^* -triple: closed under Jordan triple product

$$\{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ([a, b, c] + [c, b, a])/2 = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2$$

In fact T^ϕ is *reversible*:

$$x_1x_2^*x_3 \cdots x_{2n}^*x_{2n+1} + x_{2n+1}x_{2n}^* \cdots x_3x_2^*x_1 \in T^\phi$$

Involutions and fixed points

Definition

An *involution* of a C^* -algebra A is $\Phi: A \rightarrow A$ such that Φ is \mathbb{C} -linear, $\Phi(\Phi(a)) = a$, $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(b)\Phi(a)$, and $\Phi(a^*) = \Phi(a)^*$

Definition

A *ternary involution* of a TRO T is $\phi: T \rightarrow T$ \mathbb{C} -linear, $\phi(\phi(a)) = a$, $\phi[a, b, c] = [\phi(c), \phi(b), \phi(a)]$ (or $\phi(ab^*c) = \phi(c)(\phi(b))^*\phi(a)$).

Fixed points $T^\phi = \{x \in T : \phi(x) = x\} = \{x + \phi(x) : x \in T\}$.
 A^ϕ will be a (closed) Jordan $*$ -algebra of operators (JC^* -algebra).
 T^ϕ will be a JC^* -triple: closed under Jordan triple product

$$\{a, b, c\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ([a, b, c] + [c, b, a])/2 = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2$$

In fact T^ϕ is *reversible*:

$$x_1 x_2^* x_3 \cdots x_{2n}^* x_{2n+1} + x_{2n+1} x_{2n}^* \cdots x_3 x_2^* x_1 \in T^\phi$$

Which $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples?

Definition

A $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple is a closed linear $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} = ([a, b, c] + [c, b, a])/2 \in E$$

Examples

$E = T$ or $E = T^\phi$ (e.g. with $T = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\phi(x) = x^t$ or $\phi(x) = -x^t$).

We consider 'concrete' $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples E and F the 'same' if \exists Jordan triple isomorphism $\psi: E \rightarrow F$ ($\iff \psi$ an isometry).

Which $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples?

Definition

A $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple is a closed linear $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} = ([a, b, c] + [c, b, a])/2 \in E$$

Examples

$E = T$ or $E = T^\phi$ (e.g. with $T = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\phi(x) = x^t$ or $\phi(x) = -x^t$).

We consider 'concrete' $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples E and F the 'same' if \exists Jordan triple isomorphism $\psi: E \rightarrow F$ ($\iff \psi$ an isometry).

Which $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples?

Definition

A $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple is a closed linear $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} = ([a, b, c] + [c, b, a])/2 \in E$$

Examples

$E = T$ or $E = T^\phi$ (e.g. with $T = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\phi(x) = x^t$ or $\phi(x) = -x^t$).

We consider 'concrete' $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples E and F the 'same' if \exists Jordan triple isomorphism $\psi: E \rightarrow F$ ($\iff \psi$ an isometry).

Which JC^* -triples?

Definition

A JC^* -triple is a closed linear $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} = ([a, b, c] + [c, b, a])/2 \in E$$

Examples

$E = T$ or $E = T^\phi$ (e.g. with $T = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $\phi(x) = x^t$ or $\phi(x) = -x^t$).

We consider 'concrete' JC^* -triples E and F the 'same' if \exists Jordan triple isomorphism $\psi: E \rightarrow F$ ($\iff \psi$ an isometry).

On $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples

Recall: A $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple is a closed linear $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2 \in E$$

Relate to isometric theory of Banach spaces (since triple homomorphisms $\pi: E \rightarrow F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ are contractive).

$(E, \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\})$ abstract triple has no canonical op. space structure.

Neal & Russo found that for many E , there are only a few.

Example

TROs T give rise to at least 3 obvious concrete $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples:
 $E = T$, $E = T^{\text{op}}$ and $E = \{x \oplus x^{\text{op}} : x \in T\} \subseteq T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$

These examples are reversible. In latter case $E = (T \oplus T^{\text{op}})^{\phi}$ where $\phi(x \oplus y^{\text{op}}) = y \oplus x^{\text{op}}$.

On $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples

Recall: A $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple is a closed linear $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2 \in E$$

Relate to isometric theory of Banach spaces (since triple homomorphisms $\pi: E \rightarrow F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ are contractive).

$(E, \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\})$ abstract triple has no canonical op. space structure.

Neal & Russo found that for many E , there are only a few.

Example

TROs T give rise to at least 3 obvious concrete $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples:
 $E = T$, $E = T^{\text{op}}$ and $E = \{x \oplus x^{\text{op}} : x \in T\} \subseteq T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$

These examples are reversible. In latter case $E = (T \oplus T^{\text{op}})^{\phi}$ where $\phi(x \oplus y^{\text{op}}) = y \oplus x^{\text{op}}$.

On $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples

Recall: A $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple is a closed linear $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2 \in E$$

Relate to isometric theory of Banach spaces (since triple homomorphisms $\pi: E \rightarrow F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ are contractive).

$(E, \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\})$ abstract triple has no canonical op. space structure.

Neal & Russo found that for many E , there are only a few.

Example

TROs T give rise to at least 3 obvious concrete $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples:
 $E = T$, $E = T^{\text{op}}$ and $E = \{x \oplus x^{\text{op}} : x \in T\} \subseteq T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$

These examples are reversible. In latter case $E = (T \oplus T^{\text{op}})^{\phi}$
where $\phi(x \oplus y^{\text{op}}) = y \oplus x^{\text{op}}$.

On $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples

Recall: A $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple is a closed linear $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2 \in E$$

Relate to isometric theory of Banach spaces (since triple homomorphisms $\pi: E \rightarrow F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ are contractive).

$(E, \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\})$ abstract triple has no canonical op. space structure.

Neal & Russo found that for many E , there are only a few.

Example

TROs T give rise to at least 3 obvious concrete $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples:
 $E = T$, $E = T^{\text{op}}$ and $E = \{x \oplus x^{\text{op}} : x \in T\} \subseteq T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$

These examples are reversible. In latter case $E = (T \oplus T^{\text{op}})^{\phi}$ where $\phi(x \oplus y^{\text{op}}) = y \oplus x^{\text{op}}$.

On $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples

Recall: A $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple is a closed linear $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2 \in E$$

Relate to isometric theory of Banach spaces (since triple homomorphisms $\pi: E \rightarrow F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ are contractive).

$(E, \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\})$ abstract triple has no canonical op. space structure.

Neal & Russo found that for many E , there are only a few.

Example

TROs T give rise to at least 3 obvious concrete $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples:

$$E = T, E = T^{\text{op}} \text{ and } E = \{x \oplus x^{\text{op}} : x \in T\} \subseteq T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$$

These examples are reversible. In latter case $E = (T \oplus T^{\text{op}})^{\phi}$ where $\phi(x \oplus y^{\text{op}}) = y \oplus x^{\text{op}}$.

On $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples

Recall: A $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple is a closed linear $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2 \in E$$

Relate to isometric theory of Banach spaces (since triple homomorphisms $\pi: E \rightarrow F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ are contractive).

$(E, \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\})$ abstract triple has no canonical op. space structure.

Neal & Russo found that for many E , there are only a few.

Example

TROs T give rise to at least 3 obvious concrete $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples:
 $E = T$, $E = T^{\text{op}}$ and $E = \{x \oplus x^{\text{op}} : x \in T\} \subseteq T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$

These examples are reversible. In latter case $E = (T \oplus T^{\text{op}})^{\phi}$ where $\phi(x \oplus y^{\text{op}}) = y \oplus x^{\text{op}}$.

On $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples

Recall: A $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple is a closed linear $E \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$a, b, c \in E \Rightarrow \{a, b, c\} = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2 \in E$$

Relate to isometric theory of Banach spaces (since triple homomorphisms $\pi: E \rightarrow F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ are contractive).

$(E, \{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\})$ abstract triple has no canonical op. space structure.

Neal & Russo found that for many E , there are only a few.

Example

TROs T give rise to at least 3 obvious concrete $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples:
 $E = T$, $E = T^{\text{op}}$ and $E = \{x \oplus x^{\text{op}} : x \in T\} \subseteq T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$

These examples are reversible. In latter case $E = (T \oplus T^{\text{op}})^{\phi}$
where $\phi(x \oplus y^{\text{op}}) = y \oplus x^{\text{op}}$.

Universal property of $T^*(E)$

Theorem (Bunce, Feely, T (Math. Zeit. 2011))

For each JC^* -triple E there is a largest TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by (triple isomorphic copies of) E

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ \alpha_E \uparrow & \dashrightarrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

Definition

A JC^* -triple E is called *universally reversible* if $\pi(E)$ is reversible for each triple hom $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$.

\exists canonical ternary involution $\phi_E: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing $\alpha_E(E)$.

E is UR $\iff \alpha_E(E)$ reversible $\iff E = (T^*(E))^{\phi_E}$

Universal property of $T^*(E)$

Theorem (Bunce, Feely, T (Math. Zeit. 2011))

For each JC^* -triple E there is a largest TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by (triple isomorphic copies of) E

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ & \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

Definition

A JC^* -triple E is called *universally reversible* if $\pi(E)$ is reversible for each triple hom $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$.

\exists canonical ternary involution $\phi_E: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing $\alpha_E(E)$.

E is UR $\iff \alpha_E(E)$ reversible $\iff E = (T^*(E))^{\phi_E}$

Universal property of $T^*(E)$

Theorem (Bunce, Feely, T (Math. Zeit. 2011))

For each JC^* -triple E there is a largest TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by (triple isomorphic copies of) E

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ & \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

Definition

A JC^* -triple E is called *universally reversible* if $\pi(E)$ is reversible for each triple hom $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$.

\exists canonical ternary involution $\phi_E: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing $\alpha_E(E)$.

E is UR $\iff \alpha_E(E)$ reversible $\iff E = (T^*(E))^{\phi_E}$

Universal property of $T^*(E)$

Theorem (Bunce, Feely, T (Math. Zeit. 2011))

For each JC^* -triple E there is a largest TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by (triple isomorphic copies of) E

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ & \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

Definition

A JC^* -triple E is called *universally reversible* if $\pi(E)$ is reversible for each triple hom $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$.

\exists canonical ternary involution $\phi_E: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing $\alpha_E(E)$.

E is UR $\iff \alpha_E(E)$ reversible $\iff E = (T^*(E))^{\phi_E}$

Universal property of $T^*(E)$

Theorem (Bunce, Feely, T (Math. Zeit. 2011))

For each JC^* -triple E there is a largest TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by (triple isomorphic copies of) E

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ & \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

Definition

A JC^* -triple E is called *universally reversible* if $\pi(E)$ is reversible for each triple hom $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$.

\exists canonical ternary involution $\phi_E: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing $\alpha_E(E)$.

E is UR $\iff \alpha_E(E)$ reversible $\iff E = (T^*(E))^{\phi_E}$

Universal property of $T^*(E)$

Theorem (Bunce, Feely, T (Math. Zeit. 2011))

For each JC^* -triple E there is a largest TRO $T^*(E)$ generated by (triple isomorphic copies of) E

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T^*(E) & \\ & \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & T \end{array}$$

Definition

A JC^* -triple E is called *universally reversible* if $\pi(E)$ is reversible for each triple hom $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$.

\exists canonical ternary involution $\phi_E: T^*(E) \rightarrow T^*(E)$ fixing $\alpha_E(E)$.

E is UR $\iff \alpha_E(E)$ reversible $\iff E = (T^*(E))^{\phi_E}$

Theorem

If $E = T$ a TRO, then E is universally reversible $\iff \exists$ TRO
homs from T onto row or column Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 .
If \exists on any dimension bar dimension 2, $T^*(E) = T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$.

Example

$E = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \subset T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ via $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t$ if
 $\min(n, m) > 1$.

In this case, given any JC*-triple $F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$ and a linear isometry
 $\pi: E \rightarrow F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n} & & \\ \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{TRO}(F) \end{array}$$

$\text{TRO}(F) \cong T^*(E) / \ker \tilde{\pi}$ and only 3 valid $\ker \tilde{\pi} : \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n},$
 $\mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \{0\}$.

Theorem

If $E = T$ a TRO, then E is universally reversible $\iff \exists$ TRO homs from T onto row or column Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 .
If \nexists on any dimension bar dimension 2, $T^*(E) = T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$.

Example

$E = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \subset T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ via $x \mapsto x \oplus x^\dagger$ if $\min(n, m) > 1$.

In this case, given any JC*-triple $F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$ and a linear isometry $\pi: E \rightarrow F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n} & & \\ \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{TRO}(F) \end{array}$$

$\text{TRO}(F) \cong T^*(E) / \ker \tilde{\pi}$ and only 3 valid $\ker \tilde{\pi} : \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}, \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \{0\}$.

Theorem

If $E = T$ a TRO, then E is universally reversible $\iff \exists$ TRO homs from T onto row or column Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 .
If \exists on any dimension bar dimension 2, $T^*(E) = T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$.

Example

$E = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \subset T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ via $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t$ if $\min(n, m) > 1$.

In this case, given any JC*-triple $F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$ and a linear isometry $\pi: E \rightarrow F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n} & & \\ \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{TRO}(F) \end{array}$$

$\text{TRO}(F) \cong T^*(E) / \ker \tilde{\pi}$ and only 3 valid $\ker \tilde{\pi} : \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}, \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \{0\}$.

Theorem

If $E = T$ a TRO, then E is universally reversible $\iff \exists$ TRO homs from T onto row or column Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 .
If \nexists on any dimension bar dimension 2, $T^*(E) = T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$.

Example

$E = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \subset T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ via $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t$ if $\min(n, m) > 1$.

In this case, given any JC*-triple $F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$ and a linear isometry $\pi: E \rightarrow F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n} & & \\ \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{TRO}(F) \end{array}$$

$\text{TRO}(F) \cong T^*(E) / \ker \tilde{\pi}$ and only 3 valid $\ker \tilde{\pi} : \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}, \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \{0\}$.

Theorem

If $E = T$ a TRO, then E is universally reversible $\iff \exists$ TRO
homs from T onto row or column Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 .
If \nexists on any dimension bar dimension 2, $T^*(E) = T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$.

Example

$E = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \subset T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ via $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t$ if
 $\min(n, m) > 1$.

In this case, given any JC*-triple $F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$ and a linear isometry
 $\pi: E \rightarrow F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n} & & \\ \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{TRO}(F) \end{array}$$

$\text{TRO}(F) \cong T^*(E) / \ker \tilde{\pi}$ and only 3 valid $\ker \tilde{\pi} : \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n},$
 $\mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \{0\}$.

Theorem

If $E = T$ a TRO, then E is universally reversible $\iff \exists$ TRO homs from T onto row or column Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 .
If \nexists on any dimension bar dimension 2, $T^*(E) = T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$.

Example

$E = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \subset T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ via $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t$ if $\min(n, m) > 1$.

In this case, given any JC*-triple $F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$ and a linear isometry $\pi: E \rightarrow F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n} & & \\ \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{TRO}(F) \end{array}$$

$\text{TRO}(F) \cong T^*(E) / \ker \tilde{\pi}$ and only 3 valid $\ker \tilde{\pi} : \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}, \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \{0\}$.

Theorem

If $E = T$ a TRO, then E is universally reversible $\iff \exists$ TRO homs from T onto row or column Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 .
If \exists on any dimension bar dimension 2, $T^*(E) = T \oplus T^{\text{op}}$.

Example

$E = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \subset T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C})$ via $x \mapsto x \oplus x^t$ if $\min(n, m) > 1$.

In this case, given any JC*-triple $F \subseteq \mathcal{B}(K)$ and a linear isometry $\pi: E \rightarrow F$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(E) = \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n} & & \\ \uparrow \alpha_E & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{TRO}(F) \end{array}$$

$\text{TRO}(F) \cong T^*(E) / \ker \tilde{\pi}$ and only 3 valid $\ker \tilde{\pi} : \{0\}, \{0\} \oplus \mathbb{M}_{m,n}, \mathbb{M}_{n,m} \oplus \{0\}$.

Theorem

If U is a W^* -TRO, ϕ a ternary involution of U , then $\phi(U_s) = U_s$, $\phi(U_l) = U_r$, $\phi(U_r) = U_l$,

$$U^\phi \cong (U_s)^\phi \oplus U_r$$

Note that if U is universally reversible, so are summands U_l and U_r . In fact U_s is always universally reversible.

We can also pass easily from involutions ϕ of a TRO T to bidual.

Theorem

If T is a TRO, T universally reversible as a JC^* -triple, ϕ a ternary involution of T , then T^ϕ is universally reversible unless there is ternary hom $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $n = 3$ or 4 and $\pi(\phi(x)) = -(\pi(x))^t$.

Theorem

If U is a W^* -TRO, ϕ a ternary involution of U , then $\phi(U_s) = U_s$, $\phi(U_l) = U_r$, $\phi(U_r) = U_l$,

$$U^\phi \cong (U_s)^\phi \oplus U_r$$

Note that if U is universally reversible, so are summands U_l and U_r . In fact U_s is always universally reversible.

We can also pass easily from involutions ϕ of a TRO T to bidual.

Theorem

If T is a TRO, T universally reversible as a JC^* -triple, ϕ a ternary involution of T , then T^ϕ is universally reversible unless there is ternary hom $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $n = 3$ or 4 and $\pi(\phi(x)) = -(\pi(x))^t$.

Theorem

If U is a W^* -TRO, ϕ a ternary involution of U , then $\phi(U_s) = U_s$, $\phi(U_l) = U_r$, $\phi(U_r) = U_l$,

$$U^\phi \cong (U_s)^\phi \oplus U_r$$

Note that if U is universally reversible, so are summands U_l and U_r . In fact U_s is always universally reversible.

We can also pass easily from involutions ϕ of a TRO T to bidual.

Theorem

If T is a TRO, T universally reversible as a JC^* -triple, ϕ a ternary involution of T , then T^ϕ is universally reversible unless there is ternary hom $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $n = 3$ or 4 and $\pi(\phi(x)) = -(\pi(x))^t$.

Theorem

If U is a W^* -TRO, ϕ a ternary involution of U , then $\phi(U_s) = U_s$, $\phi(U_l) = U_r$, $\phi(U_r) = U_l$,

$$U^\phi \cong (U_s)^\phi \oplus U_r$$

Note that if U is universally reversible, so are summands U_l and U_r . In fact U_s is always universally reversible.

We can also pass easily from involutions ϕ of a TRO T to bidual.

Theorem

If T is a TRO, T universally reversible as a JC^* -triple, ϕ a ternary involution of T , then T^ϕ is universally reversible unless there is ternary hom $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $n = 3$ or 4 and $\pi(\phi(x)) = -(\pi(x))^t$.

Theorem

If U is a W^* -TRO, ϕ a ternary involution of U , then $\phi(U_s) = U_s$, $\phi(U_l) = U_r$, $\phi(U_r) = U_l$,

$$U^\phi \cong (U_s)^\phi \oplus U_r$$

Note that if U is universally reversible, so are summands U_l and U_r . In fact U_s is always universally reversible.

We can also pass easily from involutions ϕ of a TRO T to bidual.

Theorem

If T is a TRO, T universally reversible as a JC^* -triple, ϕ a ternary involution of T , then T^ϕ is universally reversible unless there is ternary hom $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $n = 3$ or 4 and $\pi(\phi(x)) = -(\pi(x))^t$.

Remark

(Conversely) If E is a universally reversible JC^* -triple, then $T = T^*(E)$ has a canonical involution ϕ with $E = T^\phi$ — and T must be universally reversible.

Proof depends on results characterising universal reversibility of JC^* -triples in terms of ‘factor’ representations.

There are 4 classes of (Cartan) factors:

- 1 $E = \mathcal{B}(H, K)$ (or $E = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ up to isometry)
- 2 $E = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = x\}$ ($\dim H > 1$)
 $S_{\dim H}$
- 3 $A_{\dim H} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = -x\}$, $\dim H \geq 5$
- 4 V_n spin factors, spanned by the identity and n ‘spins’ (= anticommuting (selfadjoint) unitaries with square the identity). ($n \geq 2$)

All Cartan factor JC^* -triples are dual spaces.

A factor representation is $\pi: E \rightarrow C$, triple hom (for $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$) with weak*-dense range.

Remark

(Conversely) If E is a universally reversible JC^* -triple, then $T = T^*(E)$ has a canonical involution ϕ with $E = T^\phi$ — and T must be universally reversible.

Proof depends on results characterising universal reversibility of JC^* -triples in terms of ‘factor’ representations.

There are 4 classes of (Cartan) factors:

- 1 $E = \mathcal{B}(H, K)$ (or $E = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\rho$ up to isometry)
- 2 $E = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = x\}$ ($\dim H > 1$)
 $S_{\dim H}$
- 3 $A_{\dim H} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = -x\}$, $\dim H \geq 5$
- 4 V_n spin factors, spanned by the identity and n ‘spins’ (= anticommuting (selfadjoint) unitaries with square the identity). ($n \geq 2$)

All Cartan factor JC^* -triples are dual spaces.

A factor representation is $\pi: E \rightarrow C$, triple hom (for $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$) with weak*-dense range.

Remark

(Conversely) If E is a universally reversible JC^* -triple, then $T = T^*(E)$ has a canonical involution ϕ with $E = T^\phi$ — and T must be universally reversible.

Proof depends on results characterising universal reversibility of JC^* -triples in terms of 'factor' representations.

There are 4 classes of (Cartan) factors:

- 1 $E = \mathcal{B}(H, K)$ (or $E = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\rho$ up to isometry)
- 2 $E = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = x\}$ ($\dim H > 1$)
 $S_{\dim H}$
- 3 $A_{\dim H} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = -x\}$, $\dim H \geq 5$
- 4 V_n spin factors, spanned by the identity and n 'spins' (= anticommuting (selfadjoint) unitaries with square the identity). ($n \geq 2$)

All Cartan factor JC^* -triples are dual spaces.

A factor representation is $\pi: E \rightarrow C$, triple hom (for $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$) with weak*-dense range.

Remark

(Conversely) If E is a universally reversible JC^* -triple, then $T = T^*(E)$ has a canonical involution ϕ with $E = T^\phi$ — and T must be universally reversible.

Proof depends on results characterising universal reversibility of JC^* -triples in terms of 'factor' representations.

There are 4 classes of (Cartan) factors:

- 1 $E = \mathcal{B}(H, K)$ (or $E = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ up to isometry)
- 2 $E = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = x\}$ ($\dim H > 1$)
 $S_{\dim H}$
- 3 $A_{\dim H} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = -x\}$, $\dim H \geq 5$
- 4 V_n spin factors, spanned by the identity and n 'spins' (= anticommuting (selfadjoint) unitaries with square the identity). ($n \geq 2$)

All Cartan factor JC^* -triples are dual spaces.

A factor representation is $\pi: E \rightarrow C$, triple hom (for $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$) with weak*-dense range.

Remark

(Conversely) If E is a universally reversible $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple, then $T = T^*(E)$ has a canonical involution ϕ with $E = T^\phi$ — and T must be universally reversible.

Proof depends on results characterising universal reversibility of $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples in terms of ‘factor’ representations.

There are 4 classes of (Cartan) factors:

- 1 $E = \mathcal{B}(H, K)$ (or $E = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ up to isometry)
- 2 $E = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = x\}$ ($\dim H > 1$)
 $S_{\dim H}$
- 3 $A_{\dim H} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = -x\}$, $\dim H \geq 5$
- 4 V_n spin factors, spanned by the identity and n ‘spins’ (= anticommuting (selfadjoint) unitaries with square the identity). ($n \geq 2$)

All Cartan factor $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples are dual spaces.

A factor representation is $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, triple hom (for $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$) with weak*-dense range.

Remark

(Conversely) If E is a universally reversible $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triple, then $T = T^*(E)$ has a canonical involution ϕ with $E = T^\phi$ — and T must be universally reversible.

Proof depends on results characterising universal reversibility of $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples in terms of ‘factor’ representations.

There are 4 classes of (Cartan) factors:

- 1 $E = \mathcal{B}(H, K)$ (or $E = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ up to isometry)
- 2 $E = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = x\}$ ($\dim H > 1$)
 $S_{\dim H}$
- 3 $A_{\dim H} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = -x\}$, $\dim H \geq 5$
- 4 V_n spin factors, spanned by the identity and n ‘spins’ (= anticommuting (selfadjoint) unitaries with square the identity). ($n \geq 2$)

All Cartan factor $J\mathcal{C}^*$ -triples are dual spaces.

A factor representation is $\pi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, triple hom (for $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$) with weak*-dense range.

Remark

(Conversely) If E is a universally reversible JC^* -triple, then $T = T^*(E)$ has a canonical involution ϕ with $E = T^\phi$ — and T must be universally reversible.

Proof depends on results characterising universal reversibility of JC^* -triples in terms of ‘factor’ representations.

There are 4 classes of (Cartan) factors:

- 1 $E = \mathcal{B}(H, K)$ (or $E = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ up to isometry)
- 2 $E = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = x\}$ ($\dim H > 1$)
 $S_{\dim H}$
- 3 $A_{\dim H} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = -x\}$, $\dim H \geq 5$
- 4 V_n spin factors, spanned by the identity and n ‘spins’ (= anticommuting (selfadjoint) unitaries with square the identity). ($n \geq 2$)

All Cartan factor JC^* -triples are dual spaces.

A factor representation is $\pi: E \rightarrow C$, triple hom (for $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$) with weak*-dense range.

Remark

(Conversely) If E is a universally reversible JC^* -triple, then $T = T^*(E)$ has a canonical involution ϕ with $E = T^\phi$ — and T must be universally reversible.

Proof depends on results characterising universal reversibility of JC^* -triples in terms of ‘factor’ representations.

There are 4 classes of (Cartan) factors:

- 1 $E = \mathcal{B}(H, K)$ (or $E = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})p$ up to isometry)
- 2 $E = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = x\}$ ($\dim H > 1$)
 $S_{\dim H}$
- 3 $A_{\dim H} = \{x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) : x^t = -x\}$, $\dim H \geq 5$
- 4 V_n spin factors, spanned by the identity and n ‘spins’ (= anticommuting (selfadjoint) unitaries with square the identity). ($n \geq 2$)

All Cartan factor JC^* -triples are dual spaces.

A factor representation is $\pi: E \rightarrow C$, triple hom (for $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$) with weak*-dense range.

Theorem (JLMS 2013)

If E is a JC^ -triple, E universally reversible \iff it has no factor representations onto Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 or V_n for $n \geq 4$.*

If U is a JW^ -triple (dual space, or has a weak*-closed realisation in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$), need only consider weak*-continuous representations onto factors.*

*E is universally reversible $\iff E^{**}$ is.*

Since $\pi: U \rightarrow C$ weak-continuous has $\ker \pi$ a weak*-closed ideal, $U = (\ker \pi) \oplus_{\infty} (\ker \pi)^{\perp}$.*

Cartan factors contain minimal tripotents, ones where

$$E_2(e) = \{x \in E : 2\{e, e, x\} = ee^*x + xe^*e = 2x\}$$

has $\dim E_2(e) = 1$.

Corollary

*Can rephrase using (factor) ideals in E^{**} generated by minimal tripotents.*

For $E = T$ a TRO, V_n ruled out (restate).

Theorem (JLMS 2013)

If E is a JC^ -triple, E universally reversible \iff it has no factor representations onto Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 or V_n for $n \geq 4$.*

If U is a JW^ -triple (dual space, or has a weak*-closed realisation in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$), need only consider weak*-continuous representations onto factors.*

*E is universally reversible $\iff E^{**}$ is.*

Since $\pi: U \rightarrow C$ weak-continuous has $\ker \pi$ a weak*-closed ideal, $U = (\ker \pi) \oplus_{\infty} (\ker \pi)^{\perp}$.*

Cartan factors contain minimal tripotents, ones where

$$E_2(e) = \{x \in E : 2\{e, e, x\} = ee^*x + xe^*e = 2x\}$$

has $\dim E_2(e) = 1$.

Corollary

*Can rephrase using (factor) ideals in E^{**} generated by minimal tripotents.*

For $E = T$ a TRO, V_n ruled out (restate).

Theorem (JLMS 2013)

If E is a JC^ -triple, E universally reversible \iff it has no factor representations onto Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 or V_n for $n \geq 4$.*

If U is a JW^ -triple (dual space, or has a weak*-closed realisation in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$), need only consider weak*-continuous representations onto factors.*

*E is universally reversible $\iff E^{**}$ is.*

Since $\pi: U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ weak-continuous has $\ker \pi$ a weak*-closed ideal, $U = (\ker \pi) \oplus_{\infty} (\ker \pi)^{\perp}$.*

Cartan factors contain minimal tripotents, ones where

$$E_2(e) = \{x \in E : 2\{e, e, x\} = ee^*x + xe^*e = 2x\}$$

has $\dim E_2(e) = 1$.

Corollary

*Can rephrase using (factor) ideals in E^{**} generated by minimal tripotents.*

For $E = T$ a TRO, V_n ruled out (restate).

Theorem (JLMS 2013)

If E is a JC^ -triple, E universally reversible \iff it has no factor representations onto Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 or V_n for $n \geq 4$.*

If U is a JW^ -triple (dual space, or has a weak*-closed realisation in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$), need only consider weak*-continuous representations onto factors.*

*E is univerrally reversible $\iff E^{**}$ is.*

Since $\pi: U \rightarrow C$ weak-continuous has $\ker \pi$ a weak*-closed ideal, $U = (\ker \pi) \oplus_{\infty} (\ker \pi)^{\perp}$.*

Cartan factors contain minimal tripotents, ones where

$$E_2(e) = \{x \in E : 2\{e, e, x\} = ee^*x + xe^*e = 2x\}$$

has $\dim E_2(e) = 1$.

Corollary

*Can rephrase using (factor) ideals in E^{**} generated by minimal tripotents.*

For $E = T$ a TRO, V_n ruled out (restate).

Theorem (JLMS 2013)

If E is a JC^ -triple, E universally reversible \iff it has no factor representations onto Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 or V_n for $n \geq 4$.*

If U is a JW^ -triple (dual space, or has a weak*-closed realisation in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$), need only consider weak*-continuous representations onto factors.*

*E is univerrally reversible $\iff E^{**}$ is.*

Since $\pi: U \rightarrow C$ weak-continuous has $\ker \pi$ a weak*-closed ideal, $U = (\ker \pi) \oplus_{\infty} (\ker \pi)^{\perp}$.*

Cartan factors contain minimal tripotents, ones where

$$E_2(e) = \{x \in E : 2\{e, e, x\} = ee^*x + xe^*e = 2x\}$$

has $\dim E_2(e) = 1$.

Corollary

*Can rephrase using (factor) ideals in E^{**} generated by minimal tripotents.*

For $E = T$ a TRO, V_n ruled out (restate).

Theorem (JLMS 2013)

If E is a JC^ -triple, E universally reversible \iff it has no factor representations onto Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 or V_n for $n \geq 4$.*

If U is a JW^ -triple (dual space, or has a weak*-closed realisation in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$), need only consider weak*-continuous representations onto factors.*

*E is univerrally reversible $\iff E^{**}$ is.*

Since $\pi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ weak-continuous has $\ker \pi$ a weak*-closed ideal, $U = (\ker \pi) \oplus_{\infty} (\ker \pi)^{\perp}$.*

Cartan factors contain minimal tripotents, ones where

$$E_2(e) = \{x \in E : 2\{e, e, x\} = ee^*x + xe^*e = 2x\}$$

has $\dim E_2(e) = 1$.

Corollary

*Can rephrase using (factor) ideals in E^{**} generated by minimal tripotents.*

For $E = T$ a TRO, V_n ruled out (restate).

Theorem (JLMS 2013)

If E is a JC^* -triple, E universally reversible \iff it has no factor representations onto Hilbert spaces of dimension ≥ 3 or V_n for $n \geq 4$.

If U is a JW^* -triple (dual space, or has a weak*-closed realisation in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$), need only consider weak*-continuous representations onto factors.

E is universally reversible $\iff E^{**}$ is.

Since $\pi: U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ weak*-continuous has $\ker \pi$ a weak*-closed ideal, $U = (\ker \pi) \oplus_{\infty} (\ker \pi)^{\perp}$.

Cartan factors contain minimal tripotents, ones where

$$E_2(e) = \{x \in E : 2\{e, e, x\} = ee^*x + xe^*e = 2x\}$$

has $\dim E_2(e) = 1$.

Corollary

Can rephrase using (factor) ideals in E^{**} generated by minimal tripotents.

For $E = T$ a TRO, V_n ruled out (restate).

Theorem

If T is a TRO, T universally reversible as a JC^* -triple, ϕ a ternary involution of T , then T^ϕ is universally reversible unless there is ternary hom $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $n = 3$ or 4 and $\pi(\phi(x)) = -(\pi(x))^t$.

Idea for proof.

Pass to bidual $U = T^{**}$. Extend ϕ . Easy to see $(T^\phi)^{**} = U^\phi$.
Recall

$$U^\phi \cong (U_s)^\phi \oplus U_r$$

Look at minimal tripotents $e \in (U_s)^\phi$. Either minimal in U_s or the sum of two minimals f, g in U_s exchanged by ϕ .

Weak*-closed ideals of U_s generated by f and g may be the same or exchanged by ϕ . Must be Type I. □

Theorem

If T is a TRO, T universally reversible as a JC^* -triple, ϕ a ternary involution of T , then T^ϕ is universally reversible unless there is ternary hom $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $n = 3$ or 4 and $\pi(\phi(x)) = -(\pi(x))^t$.

Idea for proof.

Pass to bidual $U = T^{**}$. Extend ϕ . Easy to see $(T^\phi)^{**} = U^\phi$.

Recall

$$U^\phi \cong (U_s)^\phi \oplus U_t$$

Look at minimal tripotents $e \in (U_s)^\phi$. Either minimal in U_s or the sum of two minimals f, g in U_s exchanged by ϕ .

Weak*-closed ideals of U_s generated by f and g may be the same or exchanged by ϕ . Must be Type I. □

Theorem

If T is a TRO, T universally reversible as a JC^* -triple, ϕ a ternary involution of T , then T^ϕ is universally reversible unless there is ternary hom $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $n = 3$ or 4 and $\pi(\phi(x)) = -(\pi(x))^t$.

Idea for proof.

Pass to bidual $U = T^{**}$. Extend ϕ . Easy to see $(T^\phi)^{**} = U^\phi$.
Recall

$$U^\phi \cong (U_s)^\phi \oplus U_r$$

Look at minimal tripotents $e \in (U_s)^\phi$. Either minimal in U_s or the sum of two minimals f, g in U_s exchanged by ϕ .

Weak*-closed ideals of U_s generated by f and g may be the same or exchanged by ϕ . Must be Type I. □

Theorem

If T is a TRO, T universally reversible as a JC^* -triple, ϕ a ternary involution of T , then T^ϕ is universally reversible unless there is ternary hom $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $n = 3$ or 4 and $\pi(\phi(x)) = -(\pi(x))^t$.

Idea for proof.

Pass to bidual $U = T^{**}$. Extend ϕ . Easy to see $(T^\phi)^{**} = U^\phi$.
Recall

$$U^\phi \cong (U_s)^\phi \oplus U_t$$

Look at minimal tripotents $e \in (U_s)^\phi$. Either minimal in U_s or the sum of two minimals f, g in U_s exchanged by ϕ .

Weak*-closed ideals of U_s generated by f and g may be the same or exchanged by ϕ . Must be Type I. □

Theorem

If T is a TRO, T universally reversible as a JC^* -triple, ϕ a ternary involution of T , then T^ϕ is universally reversible unless there is ternary hom $\pi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $n = 3$ or 4 and $\pi(\phi(x)) = -(\pi(x))^t$.

Idea for proof.

Pass to bidual $U = T^{**}$. Extend ϕ . Easy to see $(T^\phi)^{**} = U^\phi$.
Recall

$$U^\phi \cong (U_s)^\phi \oplus U_t$$

Look at minimal tripotents $e \in (U_s)^\phi$. Either minimal in U_s or the sum of two minimals f, g in U_s exchanged by ϕ .

Weak*-closed ideals of U_s generated by f and g may be the same or exchanged by ϕ . Must be Type I. □

Theorem (Solel 2001)

Let $\pi: U \rightarrow V$ be a surjective linear isometry between W^* -TROs. Then there are $\pi_1, \pi_2: U \rightarrow V$ with π_1 a TRO homomorphism, π_2 a TRO anti-homomorphism, $\pi_1(U) \perp \pi_2(U)$ and $\pi = \pi_1 + \pi_2$. Moreover there is a central projection z in the left W^* -algebra $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_V$ of V with $\pi_1(x) = z\pi(x)$ for $x \in U$.

Proof in one case.

If U is universally reversible with no 1-dim reps, we know $T^*(U) = U \oplus U^{\text{op}}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(U) = U \oplus U^{\text{op}} & & \\ \alpha_U \uparrow & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ U & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V \end{array}$$



Theorem (Solel 2001)

Let $\pi: U \rightarrow V$ be a surjective linear isometry between W^* -TROs. Then there are $\pi_1, \pi_2: U \rightarrow V$ with π_1 a TRO homomorphism, π_2 a TRO anti-homomorphism, $\pi_1(U) \perp \pi_2(U)$ and $\pi = \pi_1 + \pi_2$. Moreover there is a central projection z in the left W^* -algebra $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_V$ of V with $\pi_1(x) = z\pi(x)$ for $x \in U$.

Proof in one case.

If U is universonally reversible with no 1-dim reps, we know $T^*(U) = U \oplus U^{\text{op}}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^*(U) = U \oplus U^{\text{op}} & & \\ \uparrow \alpha_U & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \\ U & \xrightarrow{\pi} & V \end{array}$$

