

Von Neumann equivalence and group approximation properties

Ishan Ishan* Jesse Peterson Lauren Ruth

Summer School in Operator Algebras
Fields Institute and the University of Ottawa

June 14, 2021

Motivation

Questions (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

- *Is the class of properly proximal groups stable under measure equivalence?*
- *Is there a non-inner-amenable group which is not properly proximal?*

Motivation

Questions (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

- *Is the class of properly proximal groups stable under measure equivalence?*
- *Is there a non-inner-amenable group which is not properly proximal?*

[Duchesne, Tucker-Drob, Wesolek '18]

Class of inner-amenable groups is not stable under measure equivalence.

Motivation

Questions (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

- *Is the class of properly proximal groups stable under measure equivalence?*
- *Is there a non-inner-amenable group which is not properly proximal?*

[Duchesne, Tucker-Drob, Wesolek '18]

Class of inner-amenable groups is not stable under measure equivalence.



A positive answer to the first question gives example of a group which is neither inner-amenable nor properly proximal!

Measure Equivalence

Definition (Gromov '93)

$\Gamma \stackrel{\text{ME}}{\sim} \Lambda$, if there exists measurable, measure-preserving action $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright (\Omega, m)$, and Borel subsets $Y, X \subset \Omega$ with $m(X), m(Y) < \infty$ so that

$$\Omega = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma Y = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda X.$$

(Ω, m) is called an *ME-coupling* of Γ with Λ or, (Γ, Λ) -coupling.

Measure Equivalence

Definition (Gromov '93)

$\Gamma \stackrel{\text{ME}}{\sim} \Lambda$, if there exists measurable, measure-preserving action $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright (\Omega, m)$, and Borel subsets $Y, X \subset \Omega$ with $m(X), m(Y) < \infty$ so that

$$\Omega = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma Y = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda X.$$

(Ω, m) is called an ME-coupling of Γ with Λ or, (Γ, Λ) -coupling.

Example

Γ, Λ -lattices in a lcsc group G . Then $\Gamma \stackrel{\text{ME}}{\sim} \Lambda$. $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright G$:

$(\gamma, \lambda)g = \gamma g \lambda^{-1}$ preserves the Haar measure m_G .

ME, OE, and SOE

Theorem (Singer '55)

For free ergodic p.m.p. actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$, the following are equivalent

- 1 *There exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\Theta : L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma \cong L^\infty(Y, \nu) \rtimes \Lambda$ such that $\Theta(L^\infty(X, \mu)) = L^\infty(Y, \nu)$.*

ME, OE, and SOE

Theorem (Singer '55)

For free ergodic p.m.p. actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$, the following are equivalent

- 1 There exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\Theta : L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma \cong L^\infty(Y, \nu) \rtimes \Lambda$ such that $\Theta(L^\infty(X, \mu)) = L^\infty(Y, \nu)$.
- 2 $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \overset{\text{OE}}{\sim} \Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$, i.e., there exists a measure space isomorphism $T : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ which takes Γ -orbits onto Λ -orbits.

ME, OE, and SOE

Theorem (Singer '55)

For free ergodic p.m.p. actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$, the following are equivalent

- 1 There exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\Theta : L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma \cong L^\infty(Y, \nu) \rtimes \Lambda$ such that $\Theta(L^\infty(X, \mu)) = L^\infty(Y, \nu)$.
- 2 $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \stackrel{\text{OE}}{\sim} \Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$, i.e., there exists a measure space isomorphism $T : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ which takes Γ -orbits onto Λ -orbits.

Theorem (Dye '59,'63)

All infinite groups with polynomial growth are measure equivalent.

ME, OE, and SOE

Theorem (Singer '55)

For free ergodic p.m.p. actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$, the following are equivalent

- 1 *There exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\Theta : L^\infty(X, \mu) \rtimes \Gamma \cong L^\infty(Y, \nu) \rtimes \Lambda$ such that $\Theta(L^\infty(X, \mu)) = L^\infty(Y, \nu)$.*
- 2 *$\Gamma \curvearrowright (X, \mu) \stackrel{\text{OE}}{\sim} \Lambda \curvearrowright (Y, \nu)$, i.e., there exists a measure space isomorphism $T : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \nu)$ which takes Γ -orbits onto Λ -orbits.*

Theorem (Dye '59,'63)

All infinite groups with polynomial growth are measure equivalent.

Theorem (Ornstein-Weiss '80)

All countably infinite amenable discrete groups are measure equivalent.

ME invariants

1 Amenability

ME invariants

- 1 Amenability
- 2 Haagerup Property

ME invariants

- 1 Amenability
- 2 Haagerup Property
- 3 Weak Amenability [Cowling-Zimmer '89, Jolissaint '01]

ME invariants

- 1 Amenability
- 2 Haagerup Property
- 3 Weak Amenability [Cowling-Zimmer '89, Jolissaint '01]
- 4 Property (T) [Furman '99]

ME invariants

- 1 Amenability
- 2 Haagerup Property
- 3 Weak Amenability [Cowling-Zimmer '89, Jolissaint '01]
- 4 Property (T) [Furman '99]
- 5 Ratios of ℓ^2 -Betti numbers [Gaboriau '00]

ME invariants

- 1 Amenability
- 2 Haagerup Property
- 3 Weak Amenability [Cowling-Zimmer '89, Jolissaint '01]
- 4 Property (T) [Furman '99]
- 5 Ratios of ℓ^2 -Betti numbers [Gaboriau '00]
- 6 Vanishing of $H_b^2(\ell^2\Gamma)$ [Monod-Shalom '07]

W^* -equivalence and W^* E invariants

Definition

$\Gamma \overset{W^*E}{\sim} \Lambda$, if $L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda$.

W^* -equivalence and W^*E invariants

Definition

$\Gamma \overset{W^*E}{\sim} \Lambda$, if $L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda$.

- 1 Amenability [Schwartz '60's]
- 2 Haagerup Property [Choda '83]
- 3 Weak amenability [Cowling-Haagerup '89]
- 4 Property (T) [Connes-Jones '85]

W^* -equivalence and W^*E invariants

Definition

$\Gamma \overset{W^*E}{\sim} \Lambda$, if $L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda$.

- 1 Amenability [Schwartz '60's]
- 2 Haagerup Property [Choda '83]
- 3 Weak amenability [Cowling-Haagerup '89]
- 4 Property (T) [Connes-Jones '85]

Theorem (Connes '76)

All ICC countably infinite amenable groups are W^ -equivalent.*

W^* -equivalence and W^*E invariants

Definition

$\Gamma \overset{W^*E}{\sim} \Lambda$, if $L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda$.

- 1 Amenability [Schwartz '60's]
- 2 Haagerup Property [Choda '83]
- 3 Weak amenability [Cowling-Haagerup '89]
- 4 Property (T) [Connes-Jones '85]

Theorem (Connes '76)

All ICC countably infinite amenable groups are W^ -equivalent.*

Shlyakhtenko asked: Does ME imply W^* -equivalence for ICC groups?

W^* -equivalence and W^*E invariants

Definition

$\Gamma \overset{W^*E}{\sim} \Lambda$, if $L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda$.

- 1 Amenability [Schwartz '60's]
- 2 Haagerup Property [Choda '83]
- 3 Weak amenability [Cowling-Haagerup '89]
- 4 Property (T) [Connes-Jones '85]

Theorem (Connes '76)

All ICC countably infinite amenable groups are W^ -equivalent.*

Shlyakhtenko asked: Does ME imply W^* -equivalence for ICC groups?

Theorem (Chifan-Ioana '11)

There exist two countable, discrete, ICC groups Γ and Λ which are orbit equivalent but not W^ -equivalent.*

Von Neumann Equivalence

If $X \subset \Omega$ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma \curvearrowright (\Omega, m)$, then $\{\mathbf{1}_{\gamma X}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ forms a partition of unity in $L^\infty(\Omega, m)$.

Von Neumann Equivalence

If $X \subset \Omega$ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma \curvearrowright (\Omega, m)$, then $\{\mathbf{1}_{\gamma X}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ forms a partition of unity in $L^\infty(\Omega, m)$.

Definition (Ishan-Peterson-Ruth '19)

A fundamental domain for $\Gamma \curvearrowright^\sigma \mathcal{M}$ is a projection $p \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\{\sigma_\gamma(p)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ are pairwise orthogonal and $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma_\gamma(p) = 1$.

Von Neumann Equivalence

If $X \subset \Omega$ is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma \curvearrowright (\Omega, m)$, then $\{\mathbf{1}_{\gamma X}\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ forms a partition of unity in $L^\infty(\Omega, m)$.

Definition (Ishan-Peterson-Ruth '19)

A fundamental domain for $\Gamma \curvearrowright^\sigma \mathcal{M}$ is a projection $p \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\{\sigma_\gamma(p)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ are pairwise orthogonal and $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sigma_\gamma(p) = 1$.

Definition (IPR '19)

$\Gamma \overset{\text{vNE}}{\sim} \Lambda$ if there is a semifinite von Neumann algebra (\mathcal{M}, Tr) with $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright (\mathcal{M}, \text{Tr})$ such that each $\Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright \mathcal{M}$ has finite trace fundamental domains.

Examples

- ME \Rightarrow vNE: (Ω, m) ME-coupling $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M} = L^\infty(\Omega, m)$ vN-coupling.

Examples

- ME \Rightarrow vNE: (Ω, m) ME-coupling $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M} = L^\infty(\Omega, m)$ vN-coupling.
- W^*E \Rightarrow vNE: $L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda$. $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{B}(\ell^2\Lambda)$ and $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright^\sigma \mathcal{M}$ by

$$\sigma_{(s,t)}(T) = \theta(\lambda_s)\rho_t T \rho_t^* \theta(\lambda_s^*),$$

where $\rho : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2\Lambda)$ is the right regular representation. Rank one projection P_e onto the subspace $\mathbb{C}\delta_e$ is a common fundamental domain.

Examples

- ME \Rightarrow vNE: (Ω, m) ME-coupling $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M} = L^\infty(\Omega, m)$ vN-coupling.
- W^*E \Rightarrow vNE: $L\Gamma \cong L\Lambda$. $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{B}(\ell^2\Lambda)$ and $\Gamma \times \Lambda \curvearrowright^\sigma \mathcal{M}$ by

$$\sigma_{(s,t)}(T) = \theta(\lambda_s)\rho_t T \rho_t^* \theta(\lambda_s^*),$$

where $\rho : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^2\Lambda)$ is the right regular representation. Rank one projection P_e onto the subspace $\mathbb{C}\delta_e$ is a common fundamental domain.

- If $\Gamma \curvearrowright (M_1, \tau_1)$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright (M_2, \tau_2)$ and $\theta : M_1 \rtimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} M_2 \rtimes \Lambda$ with $\theta(M_1) = M_2$. Then $\Gamma \overset{\text{vNE}}{\sim} \Lambda$, and $\mathcal{M} = \langle M_1 \rtimes \Gamma, M_1 \rangle$ is a vN-coupling.

Proper Proximity

Definition (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

A group Γ is properly proximal if there does not exist a left-invariant state on the C^* -algebra $(\ell^\infty \Gamma / c_0 \Gamma)^{\Gamma_r}$.

Proper Proximality

Definition (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

A group Γ is properly proximal if there does not exist a left-invariant state on the C^* -algebra $(\ell^\infty\Gamma/c_0\Gamma)^{\Gamma_r}$.

Example

- Non-elementary hyperbolic groups
- Convergence groups
- Non-amenable bi-exact groups
- groups admitting proper 1-cocycles into non-amenable representations
- Lattices in non-compact semi-simple Lie groups of arbitrary rank

Proper Proximality

Definition (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

A group Γ is properly proximal if there does not exist a left-invariant state on the C^* -algebra $(\ell^\infty \Gamma / c_0 \Gamma)^{\Gamma_r}$.

Example

- Non-elementary hyperbolic groups
- Convergence groups
- Non-amenable bi-exact groups
- groups admitting proper 1-cocycles into non-amenable representations
- Lattices in non-compact semi-simple Lie groups of arbitrary rank

Theorem (Boutonnet-Ioana-Peterson '18)

Properly proximal groups are not inner-amenable.

A non-inner-amenable, non-properly proximal group

Theorem (IPR '19)

*Proper proximality is a vNE invariant. In particular, proper proximality is both an ME and W^*E invariant.*

A non-inner-amenable, non-properly proximal group

Theorem (IPR '19)

*Proper proximality is a vNE invariant. In particular, proper proximality is both an ME and W^*E invariant.*

Example (Duchesne, Tucker-Drob, Wesolek '18)

Class of inner-amenable groups is not closed under ME.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SL_3(\mathbb{F}_p[t^{-1}]) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_p[t, t^{-1}]^3 & \overset{\text{ME}}{\sim} & SL_3(\mathbb{F}_p[t^{-1}] \rtimes \mathbb{F}_p[t^{-1}]^3) \times \mathbb{F}_p[t]^3 \\ \text{(not inner amenable)} & & \text{(inner amenable)} \end{array}$$

A non-inner-amenable, non-properly proximal group

Theorem (IPR '19)

*Proper proximality is a vNE invariant. In particular, proper proximality is both an ME and W^*E invariant.*

Example (Duchesne, Tucker-Drob, Wesolek '18)

Class of inner-amenable groups is not closed under ME.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SL_3(\mathbb{F}_p[t^{-1}]) \rtimes \mathbb{F}_p[t, t^{-1}]^3 & \overset{\text{ME}}{\sim} & SL_3(\mathbb{F}_p[t^{-1}] \rtimes \mathbb{F}_p[t^{-1}]^3) \times \mathbb{F}_p[t]^3 \\ \text{(not inner amenable)} & & \text{(inner amenable)} \end{array}$$

Theorem (IPR '19)

Amenability, Haagerup property and Property (T) are vNE invariant.

Open Problems

- What other ME-invariants are vNE-invariants?
- Find examples of groups which are vNE but not ME.
- Develop the notion of vNE for locally compact groups.

Fin.