CORRIGENDUM TO "REGULARITY FOR STABLY PROJECTIONLESS, SIMPLE C*-ALGEBRAS"

HENNING PETZKA AND AARON TIKUISIS

ABSTRACT. An error is identified and corrected in the construction of a non- \mathcal{Z} -stable, stably projectionless, simple, nuclear C^* -algebra carried out in a paper by the second author.

The problem

The construction in Section 4 of the second author's paper [1], used to prove [1, Theorem 4.1], contains a vital error. The construction is meant to produce a simple C^* -algebra with perforation in its Cuntz semigroup, as an inductive limit of stably projectionless subhomogeneous C^* -algebras.

The notation set out in [1] will be reused here, mostly without recalling the definitions.

The idea is to use generalized Razak building blocks $R(\mathbb{X}, k) \subseteq C(X, M_{k+1})$ (as defined in [1, Section 4.2]) as the stably projectionless building blocks of the inductive system; the connecting maps are unitary conjugates of restrictions of diagonal maps $D_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_p}: C(X, M_n) \to C(Y, M_m)$ (as defined in [1, Section 4.1]).

For generalized Razak building blocks $R(\mathbb{X}, k) \subseteq C(X, M_{k+1})$ and $R(\mathbb{Y}, \ell) \subseteq C(X, M_{\ell+1})$, [1, Proposition 4.3] characterizes when a diagonal map $D_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_p} : C(X, M_{k+1}) \to C(Y, M_{\ell+1}) \otimes M_m$ is unitarily conjugate to a map which sends $R(\mathbb{X}, k)$ into $R(\mathbb{Y}, \ell) \otimes M_m$. The characterization includes the equations

(1)
$$ka_0 + (k+1)a_1 = (m - s(k+1))\ell$$
, and

(2)
$$kb_0 + (k+1)b_1 = (m - s(k+1))(\ell + 1),$$

where a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 , and s count certain values of the maps $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p$; they additionally satisfy

(3)
$$p = a_0 + a_1 + s\ell = b_0 + b_1 + s(\ell + 1).$$

Research partially supported by EPSRC (grant no. EP/N002377/1), NSERC (PDF, held by AT), and by the DFG (SFB 878).

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L35, 46L80, 47L40, 46L85.

Key words and phrases. Stably projectionless C^* -algebras; Cuntz semigroup; Jiang-Su algebra; approximately subhomogeneous C^* -algebras; slow dimension growth.

In [1, Remark 4.4], a specific (parametrized) solution is provided to the condition in [1, Proposition 4.3], and this solution is used in [1, Section 4.4] to construct the example.

Implicit in the definition of diagonal maps in [1, Section 4.1] is that they are unital (as maps $C(X, M_n) \to C(Y, M_m)$). In the case of [1, Proposition 4.3], this means that

(4)
$$p(k+1) = m(\ell+1).$$

However, the solution provided in [1, Remark 4.4] does not satisfy (4). In fact, some algebraic manipulation of the equations in [1, Proposition 4.3] shows that there are not very many solutions at all. Certainly, suppose that $m, \ell, p, s, a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1$ satisfy (1), (2), (3), and (4). Combining (3) and (4) yields

$$(b_0 + b_1 + s(\ell + 1))(k + 1) = m(\ell + 1).$$

Subtracting (2) from this produces $b_0 = 0$. Likewise, one obtains $a_0 = m$.

Crucial to the construction in [1] is the use of both coordinate projections and flipped coordinate projections among the eigenmaps in the diagonal map $D_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_p}$. As intimated in [1, Remark 4.4], there may be up to max $\{a_0, b_1\}$ coordinate projections and max $\{a_1, b_0\}$ flipped coordinate projections. To get perforation, the number of coordinate projections and flipped coordinate projections needs to be a very large fraction of the total number of eigenmaps. Since solutions to [1, Proposition 4.3] necessarily have $b_0 = 0$, it is actually not possible to get perforation in the Cuntz semigroup with this kind of construction.

THE SOLUTION

Here we describe a correction to the construction in [1, Section 4], permitting a correct proof of [1, Theorem 4.1]. The solution is to allow slightly more general diagonal maps which include some copies of the zero representation.

Let X, Y be compact Hausdorff spaces and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p : Y \to X$ be continuous functions. Suppose that $m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy np + r = m. Define $D_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_p;r} : C(X, M_n) \to C(Y, M_m)$ by

$$D_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_p;r}(f) := \operatorname{diag}(f \circ \alpha_1, f \circ \alpha_2,\dots, f \circ \alpha_p, 0_r)$$
$$:= \begin{pmatrix} f \circ \alpha_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & f \circ \alpha_2 & \ddots & \vdots\\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0\\ 0 & \cdots & f \circ \alpha_p & 0\\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0_r \end{pmatrix},$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

We have the following generalization of [1, Proposition 4.2] (the only difference being that the map $D_{\alpha_1^{(i)},\dots,\alpha_{p_i}^{(i)}}$ is replaced by the more general $D_{\alpha_1^{(i)},\ldots,\alpha_{p_i}^{(i)};r_i}$). The proof is exactly the same.

Proposition 1. Let

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1^2} A_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2^3} \cdots$$

be an inductive limit, such that for each i, the algebra A_i is a subalgebra of $C(X_i, M_{m_i})$ and $\phi_i^{i+1} = Ad(u) \circ D_{\alpha_1^{(i)}, \dots, \alpha_{p_i}^{(i)}; r_i}$ for some unitary $u \in$ $C(X_{i+1}, M_{m_{i+1}})$ (so that $m_{i+1} = m_i p_i + r_i$). Suppose that X_i contains a copy Y_i of $[0,1]^{d_1 \cdots d_{i-1}}$ such that

- A_i|_{Yi} = C(Yi, M_{mi}),
 for t = 1,..., d_i, α_t⁽ⁱ⁾|_{Yi+1} takes Y_{i+1} to Y_i via the tth coordinate projection ([0, 1]<sup>d₁...d_{i-1})^{d_i} → [0, 1]^{d₁...d_{i-1}}, and
 </sup>
- for $t = d_i + 1, \ldots, p_i, \alpha_t^{(i)}|_{Y_{i+1}} : Y_{i+1} \to X_i$ factors through the interval.

If

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_{i+1}}{p_i} > 0$$

and $p_i > 1$ for all *i* then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $[a], [b] \in Cu(\lim A_i)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(k+1)[a] \le k[b]$$

yet $[a] \not\leq n[b]$.

We have the following generalization of [1, Proposition 4.3]; the diagonal map $D_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_p}$ of [1, Proposition 4.3] is replaced by the more general $D_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_p;r}$. This results in a looser condition in (ii) (compare (1), (2) to (6), (7) respectively). The proof is nearly the same and contains no new tricks.

Proposition 2. Let $\mathbb{X} = (X, x_0, x_1), \mathbb{Y} = (Y, y_0, y_1)$ be double-pointed spaces and let k, ℓ, m, p, r be natural numbers such that

(5)
$$p(k+1) + r = m(\ell+1)$$

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n : Y \to X$ be continuous maps. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) There exists a unitary $u \in C(Y, M_{\ell+1}) \otimes M_m$ such that

$$uD_{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n;r}(R(\mathbb{X},k))u^* \subseteq R(\mathbb{Y},\ell) \otimes M_m; and$$

(ii) Counting multiplicity we have

 $\{\alpha_1(y_0), \ldots, \alpha_p(y_0)\} = a_0\{x_0\} \cup a_1\{x_1\} \cup \ell\{z_1\} \cup \cdots \cup \ell\{z_s\}$ and $\{\alpha_1(y_1), \dots, \alpha_p(y_1)\} = b_0\{x_0\} \cup b_1\{x_1\} \cup (\ell+1)\{z_1\} \cup \dots \cup (\ell+1)\{z_s\}$ for some points $z_1, \ldots, z_s \in X$, and some natural numbers a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 satisfying

(6)
$$ka_0 + (k+1)a_1 = (m - s(k+1) - q)\ell$$
, and

(7)
$$kb_0 + (k+1)b_1 = (m - s(k+1) - q)(\ell + 1),$$

for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here is a solution to (3), (5), (6), and (7), parametrized by $s, k, u \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$; it is almost the same as the solution in [1, Remark 4.4] with the notable difference of being correct.

$$\ell := k + 1 + 2u,$$

$$m := (k^2 + 3k + 1)s,$$

$$a_0 := (k + 1)(k + 1 + u)s, \quad a_1 := ksu,$$

$$b_0 := (k + 1)su, \quad b_1 := k(k + 2 + u)s,$$

$$r := (k^2 + 2k + ku - u)s,$$

$$q := ks,$$

$$p := (k^2 + 2ku + 3k + 3u + 2)s.$$

The construction in [1, Section 4.4] proceeds using this solution in place of the one in [1, Remark 4.4]. In essence, the only difference is that the assignment

$$m_{i+1} := m_i (k_i + 1)^2 s_i$$

is replaced by

$$m_{i+1} := m_i (k_i^2 + 3k_i + 1)s_i$$

As opposed to the original (though incorrect) construction in [1], it is not obvious that the algebra A constructed with these corrections has a tracial state (as opposed to only having a densely defined trace). One need not be concerned that this causes problems in proving the desired properties of this example, since nowhere in the statement or proof of [1, Theorem 4.1] (nor elsewhere in [1]) is it used that A has a tracial state.

This correction thereby provides a proof of [1, Theorem 4.1].

References

 Aaron Tikuisis. Regularity for stably projectionless, simple C*-algebras. J. Funct. Anal., 263(5):1382–1407, 2012.

HENNING PETZKA, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER WWU MÜNSTER, EINSTE-INSTRASSE 62, 48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY. *E-mail address*: petzka@uni-muenster.de

AARON TIKUISIS, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF NATURAL AND COM-PUTING SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN, AB24 3UE, SCOTLAND.

E-mail address: a.tikuisis@abdn.ac.uk