

Supercritical equilibrium states on a C^* -algebra from number theory

Tyler Schulz,
based on joint work with Marcelo Laca

University of Victoria

COSy 50th Anniversay
May 31, 2022

Let A be a C^* -algebra, σ_t a strongly-continuous \mathbb{R} -action, and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition

A KMS_β state on (A, σ_t) is a state ϕ such that

$$\phi(xy) = \phi(y\sigma_{i\beta}(x))$$

for all x, y in a dense subalgebra of A .

β is the inverse temperature. The set of KMS_β states is a Choquet simplex.

Example

$$A = M_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad \sigma_t(x) = e^{itH} x e^{-itH}, \quad H \geq 0,$$

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\text{Tr}(x e^{-\beta H})}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})}.$$

Example

$$A = M_n(\mathbb{C}), \quad \sigma_t(x) = e^{itH} x e^{-itH}, \quad H \geq 0,$$

$$\phi(x) = \frac{\text{Tr}(x e^{-\beta H})}{\text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(x y e^{-\beta H}) &= \text{Tr}(y e^{-\beta H} x) \\ &= \text{Tr}(y (e^{-\beta H} x e^{\beta H}) e^{-\beta H}) \\ &= \text{Tr}(y \sigma_{i\beta}(x) e^{-\beta H}). \end{aligned}$$

- U a unitary, $\{V_a : a \in \mathbb{N}^\times\}$ commuting isometries satisfying

$$UV_a = V_a U^a,$$

$$V_a V_b = V_{ab},$$

$$V_a^* V_b = V_b V_a^* \text{ when } \gcd(a, b) = 1.$$

- U a unitary, $\{V_a : a \in \mathbb{N}^\times\}$ commuting isometries satisfying

$$UV_a = V_a U^a,$$

$$V_a V_b = V_{ab},$$

$$V_a^* V_b = V_b V_a^* \text{ when } \gcd(a, b) = 1.$$

- Our algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}^\times \ltimes \mathbb{Z}) &= C_{\text{universal}}^*(V_a, U : a \in \mathbb{N}^\times) \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}\{V_a U^n V_b^* : a, b \in \mathbb{N}^\times, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

- U a unitary, $\{V_a : a \in \mathbb{N}^\times\}$ commuting isometries satisfying

$$UV_a = V_a U^a,$$

$$V_a V_b = V_{ab},$$

$$V_a^* V_b = V_b V_a^* \text{ when } \gcd(a, b) = 1.$$

- Our algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}^\times \ltimes \mathbb{Z}) &= C_{\text{universal}}^*(V_a, U : a \in \mathbb{N}^\times) \\ &= \overline{\text{span}}\{V_a U^n V_b^* : a, b \in \mathbb{N}^\times, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \end{aligned}$$

- \mathbb{R} -action:

$$\sigma_t(V_a) = a^{it} V_a, \quad \sigma_t(U) = U.$$

Applying the Fourier transform to U allows us to substitute $\sum \lambda_n V_a U^n V_b^*$ with $V_a f V_b^*$, $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$.

The relation $UV_a = V_a U^a$ becomes $fV_a = V_a f \circ \omega_a$, where

$$\omega_a : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad \omega_a(z) = z^a.$$

Low temperature equilibrium

Low temperature KMS_β states ($\beta > 1$) can all be computed using zeta functions as follows (an Huef-Laca-Raeburn):

- For η a probability measure on the circle \mathbb{T} , the function

$$\phi_{\eta,\beta}(V_a U^n V_b^*) = \delta_{a,b} \frac{a^{-\beta}}{\zeta(\beta)} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} c^{-\beta} \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{cn} d\eta$$

extends to a KMS_β state.

Low temperature equilibrium

Low temperature KMS_β states ($\beta > 1$) can all be computed using zeta functions as follows (an Huef-Laca-Raeburn):

- For η a probability measure on the circle \mathbb{T} , the function

$$\phi_{\eta,\beta}(V_a U^n V_b^*) = \delta_{a,b} \frac{a^{-\beta}}{\zeta(\beta)} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} c^{-\beta} \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{cn} d\eta$$

extends to a KMS_β state.

- Equivalently,

$$\psi_{\nu,\beta}(V_a U^n V_b^*) = \delta_{a,b} a^{-\beta} \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d\nu,$$

where

$$\nu = T_\beta \eta = \frac{1}{\zeta(\beta)} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} c^{-\beta} \omega_{c^* \eta}.$$

The formula for $\psi_{\nu,\beta}$ is well-defined for all $\beta > 0$, but may not extend to a state.

Theorem

The map $\nu \mapsto \psi_{\nu,\beta}$ is an affine isomorphism between the KMS_β states on $(\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}^\times \rtimes \mathbb{Z}), \sigma_t)$ and probability measures ν on \mathbb{T} satisfying

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) d^{-\beta} \omega_{d*} \nu \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^\times$, where μ is the Möbius function.

The formula for $\psi_{\nu,\beta}$ is well-defined for all $\beta > 0$, but may not extend to a state.

Theorem

The map $\nu \mapsto \psi_{\nu,\beta}$ is an affine isomorphism between the KMS_β states on $(\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{N}^\times \rtimes \mathbb{Z}), \sigma_t)$ and probability measures ν on \mathbb{T} satisfying

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) d^{-\beta} \omega_{d*} \nu \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^\times$, where μ is the Möbius function.

We call a measure satisfying condition (1) β -subconformal. A more general form of this was investigated by Afsar, Larsen, and Neshveyev.

When $\beta > 1$, ν is β -subconformal if and only if $\nu = T_\beta \eta$ for some probability measure η .

$$T_\beta \eta = \frac{1}{\zeta(\beta)} \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} c^{-\beta} \omega_{c*} \eta = \frac{1}{\zeta(\beta)} \left(\prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-\beta} \omega_{p*}} \right) \eta$$

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) d^{-\beta} \omega_{d*} \nu = \left(\prod_{p|n} 1 - p^{-\beta} \omega_{p*} \right) \nu.$$

These are inverse operations, up to scaling.

Some functions from number theory:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(n) &= \text{of integers } 1 \leq k < n \text{ with } \gcd(k, n) = 1 \\ &= n \prod_{p|n} 1 - p^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

$$\varphi_{\beta}(n) = n^{\beta} \prod_{p|n} 1 - p^{-\beta},$$

$$\text{ord}(z) = \inf\{n : z^n = 1\}.$$

Theorem

For $\beta \leq 1$, the simplex of β -subconformal measures is affinely isomorphic to the simplex of probability measures on $\mathbb{N}^\times \cup \{\infty\}$. This isomorphism sends δ_∞ to Haar measure and δ_n to the finitely-supported measure defined by

$$\nu_{n,\beta}(\{z\}) = \begin{cases} n^{-\beta} \frac{\varphi_\beta(\text{ord}(z))}{\varphi(\text{ord}(z))} & \text{if } \text{ord}(z) \text{ divides } n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

For $\beta \leq 1$, the simplex of β -subconformal measures is affinely isomorphic to the simplex of probability measures on $\mathbb{N}^\times \cup \{\infty\}$. This isomorphism sends δ_∞ to Haar measure and δ_n to the finitely-supported measure defined by

$$\nu_{n,\beta}(\{z\}) = \begin{cases} n^{-\beta} \frac{\varphi_\beta(\text{ord}(z))}{\varphi(\text{ord}(z))} & \text{if } \text{ord}(z) \text{ divides } n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Corollary

Haar measure is the unique non-atomic β -subconformal measure for $\beta \leq 1$.

The phase transition

The extremal β -subconformal measures are parameterized by \mathbb{T} for $\beta > 1$ and by $\mathbb{N}^\times \cup \{\infty\}$ for $\beta \leq 1$.

How do we transition from a connected space to one which is disconnected?

The phase transition

If $\text{ord}(z) = \infty$, then integrating:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d(T_\beta \delta_z) = \frac{\text{Li}_\beta(z^n)}{\zeta(\beta)}, \quad \text{where } \text{Li}_\beta(z) = \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} z^c c^{-\beta}.$$

This tends to $\delta_{n,0}$ as $\beta \rightarrow 1^+$, which is the integral with Haar measure.

The phase transition

If $\text{ord}(z) = n < \infty$, then

$$T_\beta \delta_z = \sum_{k=1}^n n^{-\beta} \cdot \frac{\zeta(\beta, \frac{k}{n})}{\zeta(\beta)} \delta_{z^k}, \quad \text{where } \zeta(\beta, s) = \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} (c+s)^{-\beta}.$$

The phase transition

If $\text{ord}(z) = n < \infty$, then

$$T_\beta \delta_z = \sum_{k=1}^n n^{-\beta} \cdot \frac{\zeta(\beta, \frac{k}{n})}{\zeta(\beta)} \delta_{z^k}, \quad \text{where } \zeta(\beta, s) = \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} (c+s)^{-\beta}.$$

Compare this to the formula

$$\nu_{n,\beta} = \sum_{k=1}^n n^{-\beta} \cdot \frac{\varphi_\beta(\text{ord}(z^k))}{\varphi(\text{ord}(z^k))} \delta_{z^k} \quad (\text{ord}(z^k) = n / \gcd(n, k)).$$

These agree in the limit $\beta \rightarrow 1$.

The phase transition

Putting this together, we can now describe the phase-transition:

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 1^+} T_\beta \delta_z = \begin{cases} \nu_{n,1} & \text{if } \text{ord}(z) = n \in \mathbb{N}^\times, \\ \text{Haar measure} & \text{if } \text{ord}(z) = \infty. \end{cases}$$

The topology of \mathbb{T} is completely forgotten in the phase transition!

This is the first non-trivial example of phase transition with non-unique supercritical equilibrium from number theory that we are aware of.

Future work will examine the phase transitions for more general number fields than \mathbb{Q} , where we expect similar behaviour.