

§5 Splitting theorems

In this section we investigate how a general alternative algebra is built up out of its radical $\text{Rad}(A)$ and its semisimple part $A/\text{Rad } A$. We hope to show that A is a semidirect product of its semisimple and radical parts, $A = B \oplus \text{Rad } A$ where B is a subalgebra (necessarily isomorphic under the canonical projection to $A/\text{Rad } A$).

We will need to make an assumption on each of the two pieces. Concerning the radical we will need to assume $\text{Rad } A$ is solvable, which is weaker than assuming A is Artinian (by VI.0.0 an artinian algebra always has solvable radical). For the semisimple part we will have to make a stronger assumption than being artinian namely that ϕ is a field and $A/\text{Rad } A$ is separable over ϕ . As in the associative case, the difficulty in lifting an $M_n(\Delta)$ or $\mathcal{C}(\Delta)$ is not in lifting the matrix units, but rather in lifting Δ . Separability over a field ϕ allows us to make a scalar extension which reduces us to the case when ϕ is algebraically closed; but then the only choice for Δ is ϕ itself, which lifts automatically. Thus by means of scalar extensions we can avoid explicitly trying to lift Δ .

5.1 (Wedderburn Splitting Theorem) If A is an alternative algebra over a field ϕ whose radical R is solvable and whose semisimple part A/R is separable, then A is a semidirect product of its radical and its semisimple part: we have a Wedderburn splitting

$$A = B \oplus R \cong A/R \oplus R$$

for some separable subalgebra $B \cong A/R$.

Proof. The easy case is when ϕ is algebraically closed. Then by 2.3 $\bar{A} = A/R$ is a direct sum $\bar{A}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \bar{A}_r$ of simple algebras which are either associative matrix algebras $M_n(\phi)$ or split Cayley algebras $C(\phi)$. By Idempotent Lifting VII. 7.2, since R is a nil ideal the units \bar{e}_i of \bar{A}_i can be lifted to orthogonal idempotents $e_i \in A$; applying the Matrix Algebra Lifting Theorem VII.7.4 or the Cayley Algebra Lifting Theorem VII.7.6 to $e_i A e_i$ (with $e_i A e_i / e_i R e_i \cong \bar{e}_i \bar{A} \bar{e}_i$) we can lift the matrix or Cayley algebra $\bar{A}_i = \bar{e}_i \bar{A} \bar{e}_i$ back to a subalgebra $B_i \subset e_i A e_i$ such that the restriction of the projection $e_i A e_i \xrightarrow{\pi_i} \bar{e}_i \bar{A} \bar{e}_i$ to B_i is an isomorphism $B_i \rightarrow \bar{A}_i$. Then $B = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_r$ is a subalgebra (by the automatic orthogonality of the B_i , $B_i B_j \subset (e_i A e_i)(e_j A e_j) = A_{ii} A_{jj} = 0$ for $i \neq j$) such that the restriction of the projection $A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ to B is an isomorphism $B = \bigoplus B_i \rightarrow \bigoplus \bar{A}_i = \bar{A}$. Thus $A = B \oplus \text{Ker } \pi = B \oplus R$ and we have our Wedderburn splitting.

So far R needed only to be nil. When we turn to the case of a non-algebraically-closed ϕ we will assume R is solvable and prove the existence of a splitting by induction on the index of R . The case of index 0 is trivial: if $R = D^0(R) = 0$ we may take $B = A$. The hard part is the case of index 1,

$R^2 = D^1(R) = 0$. Suppose we have established the result for index 1 and all indices less than n . To establish the case of index n , $D^n(R) = 0$, we first use our inductive hypothesis to split $\bar{A} = A/D^{n-1}(R)$: since $\bar{A}/\bar{R} = (A/D^{n-1}(R))/(R/D^{n-1}(R)) \cong A/R$ is separable and \bar{R} solvable of index $n-1$, $D^{n-1}(\bar{R}) = D^{n-1}(R) = \bar{0}$, we have a splitting $\bar{A} = \bar{B} \oplus \bar{R}$. Let $C = \pi^{-1}(\bar{B})$ be the preimage of \bar{B} in A . Then C is a subalgebra with radical $C \cap R = D^{n-1}(R)$ of index 1: certainly $D(D^{n-1}(R)) = D^n(R) = 0$, where $\bar{B} \cap \bar{R} = \bar{0}$ implies $C \cap R = D^{n-1}(R)$ by taking preimages, and this must be precisely the radical of C since it is solvable yet the quotient $C/D^{n-1}(R) \cong \bar{B} = A/R$ is separable. By the index 1 case we have a splitting $C = B \oplus D^{n-1}(R)$ for $B \cong \bar{B} = A/R$. Taking preimages of $\bar{A} = \bar{B} + \bar{R}$ gives $A = C + R$, hence $A = B + D^{n-1}(R) + R = B + R$, so by separability of B we have $B \cap R = 0$ and $A = B \oplus R$ is a Wedderburn splitting for A .

Thus everything is routine after we get by the hurdle of index 1. Assume now R has index 1, $R^2 = 0$. We first note that if Ω is the algebraic closure of ϕ then $A_\Omega/R_\Omega \cong (A/R)_\Omega$ is still finite-dimensional semisimple if A/R is separable (since separability coincides with strict semisimplicity by VIII.0.00), where R_Ω is the radical of A_Ω since it is still trivial ($R_\Omega^2 = 0$) yet has semisimple quotient. Therefore by the algebraically closed case A_Ω has a splitting $A_\Omega = C_\Omega \oplus R_\Omega$. Under the isomorphism $C_\Omega \rightarrow A_\Omega/R_\Omega = (A/R)_\Omega$ let C be the subalgebra of C_Ω mapping onto the subalgebra A/R of $(A/R)_\Omega$, $C \rightarrow A/R$. If C were contained

in A we'd be done, but unfortunately all we know is that it is contained in A_Ω . Nevertheless we can make use of it to obtain a splitting for A . Choose a bases $\{\omega_i\}$ for Ω/ψ with $\omega_0 = 1$. This determines a decomposition $A_\Omega = A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} (\oplus \mathbb{F}\omega_i) = \oplus (A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}\omega_i) = \oplus A\omega_i$ and $R_\Omega = \oplus R\omega_i$. Let π_0 be the projection of A_Ω on $A\omega_0$ determined by this decomposition, and let $B = \pi_0(C)$. Since π_0 is not a homomorphism of algebras it is not at first clear B is a subalgebra. However, the $A\omega_i$ are invariant under multiplication by A and π_0 is at least a homomorphism of A -bimodules,

$$(5.2) \quad \pi_0(ax) = a\pi_0(x), \quad \pi_0(xa) = \pi_0(x)a \quad (a \in A, x \in A_\Omega).$$

To prove π_0 is a homomorphism of algebras when restricted to C , consider any two elements $c, c' \in C$. Under the isomorphism $C \rightarrow A/R = \bar{A}$ let $c \rightarrow \bar{a}$, $c' \rightarrow \bar{a}'$; then $c \in A_\Omega$ and $a \in A$ project to the same element, so they differ by an element of the kernel R_Ω , and $c = a + r$, $c' = a' + r'$ for $r, r' \in R_\Omega$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0(cc') &= \pi_0((a+r)(a'+r')) \\ &= \pi_0(aa' + ar' + ra') && (R_\Omega^2 = 0) \\ &= aa' + a\pi_0(r') + \pi_0(r)a' && (\text{by (5.2)}) \\ &= (a + \pi_0(r))(a' + \pi_0(r')) && (\pi_0(R_\Omega) = R, R^2 = 0) \\ &= \pi_0(a+r) \pi_0(a'+r') && (\pi_0(a) = \pi_0(a\omega_0) = a) \\ &= \pi_0(c) \pi_0(c') \end{aligned}$$

and $\pi_0 : C \rightarrow B$ is an epimorphism of algebras. It is an isomorphism because $\pi_0(c) = \pi_0(a+r) = a + \pi_0(r) = 0$ would imply $a = -\pi_0(r) \in R$, $c = a+r \in R + R_\Omega = R_\Omega$, and

$$C \cap R_\Omega \subset C_\Omega \cap R_\Omega = 0.$$

Therefore B is a subalgebra of A isomorphic to A/R ,
 $B \cong C \cong A/R$, and we have a Wedderburn splitting for the
 index 1 case. ■

5.3 (Corollary) If A is a finite-dimensional alternative algebra
 over a perfect field (e.g. a finite field or a field of char-
 acteristic zero) then A has a Wedderburn splitting $A \cong A/R \oplus R$. ■

We have already seen in the associative case that if A/R is
 not separable there need not be a Wedderburn splitting.

Problem Set: Alternate Approach

A ϕ -algebra S is liftable if it is a semidirect summand every time it is a quotient by a solvable ideal, ie. if $S \cong A/R$ for R solvable then $A = B \oplus R$ for some subalgebra B of A (automatically isomorphic to S). In terms of short exact sequences, every short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{\pi} S \rightarrow 0$$

for solvable R splits: there is a homomorphism $S \xrightarrow{\sigma} A$ with $\pi \circ \sigma = 1_S$ (σ "lifts" S into A).

1. Show an associative matrix algebra $M_n(\phi)$ or a Cayley matrix algebra $C(\phi)$ is liftable.

It is essential here that ϕ is the ring of scalars; in general $M_n(\Omega)$ or $C(\Omega)$ are not liftable in the category of ϕ -algebras.

2. Show a direct sum $\bigoplus_i S_i$ of liftable unital alternative algebras is liftable. What about direct product? Conversely, show that if $S_1 \oplus S_2$ is liftable so is each S_i .
3. Conclude that over an algebraically closed field ϕ any finite-dimensional semisimple alternative algebra S is liftable.
4. If S is unital alternative, show S is liftable iff all $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\pi} S \rightarrow 0$ split when A is unital.
5. If S is a nonassociative algebra over a field ϕ such that some extension S_Ω is liftable as an Ω -algebra (in a category of

algebras where R^2 is an ideal whenever R is), show S itself is liftable as ϕ -algebra. [Hint: given $A \xrightarrow{\pi} S$ with solvable kernel, construct a lift $S \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} A$ by successive approximations, finding linear liftings $S \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} A$ with $\pi \circ \sigma_n = 1_S$ and $\sigma_n(xy) \equiv \sigma_n(x)\sigma_n(y) \pmod{D^n(R)}$.]

5. Alternately, prove #5 using elements instead of lifts. If $\{s_i\}$ is a bases for S/ϕ with $s_i s_j = \sum \gamma_{ijk} s_k$ show there is a subalgebra of A isomorphic to S iff there are elements $\{x_i\}$ in A with $x_i x_j = \sum \gamma_{ijk} x_k$. Construct these by successive approximations, i.e. finding $\{x_i^{(n)}\}$ with $x_i^{(n)} x_j^{(n)} \equiv \sum \gamma_{ijk} x_k^{(n)} \pmod{D^n(R)}$.
7. Conclude that any separable alternative algebra S over a field ϕ is liftable, and deduce the Wedderburn Splitting Theorem.

Problem Set on the Liberal

Let A be a finite-dimensional alternative algebra over a field ϕ . If A/R is separable we know A has a Wedderburn decomposition $A \cong A/R \oplus R$. We want to obtain some sort of decomposition even if A/R is not separable.

- Show that if L is any ideal of A such that A/L is separable (L need not be solvable!) then there is a subalgebra C of A such that A is the semi-direct product $A = C \oplus B$.
- The liberal of A is the minimal ideal $L(A)$ such that A/L is separable. Show the liberal contains the radical, $L \supset R$; if $A \xrightarrow{\pi} A/R$ is the projection on the semisimple algebra A/R show L is the inverse image of the inseparable summands. Conclude the liberal of a semisimple algebra is just the direct sum of all inseparable summands, that $L(A) = R$ iff A/R is separable, $L(A) = 0$ iff A is separable and $L(A) = R(A)$ when ϕ is algebraically closed. Conclude we always have a decomposition $A = C \oplus L(A)$ for separable C .
- Show $L(A) \supset A \cap R(A_\Omega)$ if Ω is the algebraic closure of ϕ . Show $A/A \cap R(A_\Omega)$ is isomorphic to a ϕ -subalgebra \bar{E} of $\bar{A} = A_\Omega/R(A_\Omega)$ with $\Omega\bar{E} = \bar{A}$; conclude $A/A \cap R(A_\Omega)$ is semisimple. Give examples where $L(A) > A \cap R(A_\Omega)$ and $L(A)_\Omega > L(A_\Omega)$.