§2. Peirce decompositions

We can decompose an algebra relative to a family of orthogonal idempotents $\{e_i\}$ into a direct sum of Peirce subspaces $e_i A e_j$. An important special case of such a Peirce decomposition is the Peirce decomposition relative to a single idempotent e_i . If e_i is a sum of orthogonal idempotents e_i , the Peirce spaces relative to e_i can be expressed in terms of those relative to the e_i by the Collection Formula.

As with associative algebras, a decomposition of the unit of an alternative algebra into supplementary orthogonal idempotents leads to a decomposition of the algebra into Peirce spaces. The way these spaces multiply (treated in the next section) gives very specific information about the structure of an alternative algebra.

Idempotence of the element e implies idempotence of the operator L_e , $L_e^2 = L_e^2 = L_e$ (and conversely, in a unital algebra, but not in general; see ex. 2.1). Orthogonality ef = fe = 0 of two idempotents implies orthogonality of the operators L_e , L_f because $L_eL_f + L_fL_e = L_{ef+fe} = 0$ (by linearized left alternativity) and $L_eL_fL_e = L_{efe}$ (left Moufang), so orthogonality is a consequence of the general

2.1 (Jordan Criterion for Orthogonality) If E, F are idempotent operators with EF + FE = EFE = 0, then E and F are orthogonal.

Proof. EF = E^2F = E(EF + FE) - EFE = 0, hence FE = (EF + FE) - EF = 0.

The converse holds even in non-unital algebras: if L_e , L_f are orthogonal so are e, f since cf = cf² = L_e L_f(f) = 0 and fe = L_f L_e(e) = 0.

Since $L_1 = I$ in the unital case, supplementary orthogonal idempotents $1 = \Sigma e_i$ give rise to supplementary orthogonal projections $I = \Sigma L_{e_i}$, where we have just seen the L_{e_i} are orthogonal idempotent operators. We have exactly the same situation for the right multiplications $R_{e_i} : I = \Sigma R_{e_i}$ is a decomposition of I into supplementary orthogonal projections. Then $I = (\Sigma L_{e_i})(\Sigma R_{e_j}) = \sum_{i,j} L_{e_i} R_{e_j}.$ If we knew the L_{e_i} and R_{e_j} commuted then the $E_{ij} = L_{e_i} R_{e_j} = R_{e_j} L_{e_i}$ would be supplementary orthogonal projections: $E_{ij}^2 = (L_{e_i} R_{e_j})(L_{e_i} R_{e_j}) = L_{e_i}^2 R_{e_j}^2 = L_{e_i} R_{e_j} = 0$ if $i \neq k$ or $j \neq k$. In the associative case $L_{x}R_{y} = R_{y}L_{x}$ for any x, y (this is just a restatement of the associative law); in the alternative case $L_{x}R_{y} = R_{y}L_{x}$ doesn't hold for all x, y, but it does in the particular cases when x = y = e (by flexibility) or when x = e,

y = f are orthogonal (by $[R_f L_e]z = [e,z,f] = -[e,f,z] = \{L_e L_f - L_{ef}\}z = 0$ from orthogonality $ef = L_e L_f = 0$).

Any time the identity operator $I=\sum_{\alpha}$ decomposes into supplementary orthogonal projections E_{α} we get a decomposition $A=\oplus A_{\alpha}$ of the space on which the operators act into a direct sum of subspaces $A_{\alpha}=E_{\alpha}(A)$.

2.2 (Unital Peirce Decomposition) If $1 = \Sigma$ e is a decomposition of 1 into supplementary orthogonal idempotents then $I = \Sigma$ E is a decomposition of 1 into supplementary orthogonal projections $E_{ij} = E_{ij}$ a (two-sided) unital Pairce decomposition

$$A = \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^{n} \Lambda_{ij} \qquad (A_{ij} = E_{ij}A = e_{i}Ae_{j})$$

of the alternative algebra A into Petree spaces Aij, where

 $A_{i,j} = \{x \in A | e_i x = xe_j = x, e_k x = xe_k = 0 \text{ for } k \neq i, \ell \neq j\}.$

Thus $e_k x_{ij} = \delta_{ki} x_{ij}$, $x_{ij} e_l = \delta_{jl} x_{ij}$ for $x_{ij} \in \Lambda_{ij}$. These results generalize to the non-supplementary case (in particular, to the case when Λ is not unital). Let e_i be orthogonal idempotents in Λ . They remain so in the unital hull \hat{A} ; the orthogonal sum $e = e_1 + \cdots + e_n$ is also an idempotent in Λ and \hat{A} so $e_0 = 1$ -e is an idempotent in \hat{A} . Further, e_0 is orthogonal to all the original e_i : $ee_i = e_i = e_i e_j$ so

 $\mathbf{e_0}\mathbf{e_i} = \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{e_i}\mathbf{e_0}$. Thus $\mathbf{l} = (\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{e}) + \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e_0} + \mathbf{e_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{e_n}$ is a decomposition of 1 into supplementary orthogonal idempotents in $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$, giving rise to a decomposition $\hat{\mathbf{l}} = \hat{\mathbf{E}}$ $\hat{\mathbf{l}}$ $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{e}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{e}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{e}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ i

2.3 (Peirce Decomposition) Orthogonal idempotents c₁,···,e_n in an alternative algebra give rise to a (two-sided) Peirce decomposition

$$A = \bigoplus_{i,j=0}^{n} A_{ij}$$

where for $1 \le i$, $j \le n$

$$\begin{aligned} & A_{ij} = e_{i}Ae_{j} = \{x \in A | e_{i}x = xe_{j} = x, e_{k}x = xe_{k} = 0 \text{ for } k \neq i, \ell \neq j\}, \\ & A_{i0} = e_{i}A(1-e) = \{x \in A | e_{i}x = x, e_{k}x = xe_{k} = 0 \text{ for } k \neq i, \text{ all } \ell\}, \\ & A_{0j} = (1-e)Ae_{j} = \{x \in A | xe_{j} = x, xe_{k} = e_{k}x = 0 \text{ for } \ell \neq j, \text{ all } k\}, \\ & A_{00} = (1-e)A(1-e) = \{x \in A | e_{i}x = xe_{j} = 0 \text{ for all } i,j\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus
$$e_k x_{ij} = \delta_{ki} x_{ij}$$
, $x_{ij} e_k = \delta_{j\ell} x_{ij}$ for $x_{ij} \in A_{ij}$ (k, $\ell = 1, \dots, n$).

It is important that you remember these multiplication rules, since in the future we will use them without comment. The indices serve as a mnemonic device: for A_{ij} with left index i and right index j, on the left it is the idempotent e_i that acts as the identity while all other e_k act as zero, on the right e_j is the identity and all other e_k are zero.

The most important case is that of a single idempotent $e_1 = e$.

2.4 (Single Peirce Decomposition) If c is an idempotent in an alternative algebra A we have a Peirce decomposition

$$A = A_{11} \oplus A_{10} \oplus A_{01} \oplus A_{00}$$

for
$$\lambda_{11} = eAe$$
, $\lambda_{10} = eA(1-e)$, $\lambda_{01} = (1-e)\lambda_e$, $\lambda_{00} = (1-e)A(1-e)$. Here $ex_{11} = x_{11}e = x_{11}$; $ex_{00} = x_{00}e = 0$; $ex_{10} = x_{10}$, $x_{10}e = 0$; $ex_{01} = 0$, $x_{01}e = x_{01}$.

On occasion we will be concerned with Peirce decompositions relative to several idempotents at once; in this case we denote the Peirce i, j space relative to e by

to indicate its dependence on c. Also, we will constantly write x_{ij} to denote an element of the Peirce space A_{ij} ,

$$x_{ij} \in A_{ij}$$
.

It will also be useful to have an expression for the Peirce spaces of an idempotent e = $\Sigma e_{\dot{1}}$ formed by collecting together several idempotents $e_{\dot{1}}$.

2.5 (Collection Formula) If e_1, \dots, e_n are orthogonal idempotents then for any subset $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ the element $e = e_J = \sum_{j \in J} e_j \text{ is an idempotent with Peirce spaces}$

$$A_{11}(e) = \sum_{i,j \in J} A_{ij}$$

$$A_{10}(e) = \sum_{i \in J, \ell \in K} A_{i\ell}$$

$$A_{01}(e) = \sum_{k \in K, j \in J} A_{kj}$$

$$A_{00}(e) = \sum_{k,\ell \in K} A_{k\ell}$$

where K consists of the index 0 as well as all indices between 1 and n not in J. The Peirce spaces A_{ij} can be recovered from the Peirce spaces for the individual idempotents e_k by

$$A_{ii} = A_{11}(e_i)$$

$$A_{ij} = A_{10}(e_i) \cap A_{01}(e_j) \quad (i \neq j) .$$

Proof. The projection operators for e are $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbb{L}_{(\alpha)}^R(\beta)$ where $\mathbb{L}_{(1)} = \mathbb{L}_e = \Sigma_J \mathbb{L}_{e_j}$, $\mathbb{L}_{(0)} = \mathbb{L}_{1-e} = \Sigma_K \mathbb{L}_{e_k}$, $\mathbb{R}_{(1)} = \mathbb{R}_e = \Sigma_J \mathbb{R}_{e_j}$, $\mathbb{R}_{(0)} = \mathbb{R}_{1-e} = \Sigma_K \mathbb{R}_{e_k}$ so $\mathbb{E}_{11} = \Sigma_{J \times J} \mathbb{E}_{ij}$, $\mathbb{E}_{10} = \Sigma_{J \times K} \mathbb{E}_{i, 1}$, $\mathbb{E}_{10} = \Sigma_{K \times J} \mathbb{E}_{k, 1}$, $\mathbb{E}_{00} = \Sigma_{K \times K} \mathbb{E}_{k, 1}$.

If $J = \{e_i\}$ then $\Lambda_{11}(e_i) = \Sigma_{\{i\}} \Lambda_{ij} = \Lambda_{ii}$ and $\Lambda_{10}(e_i) = \Sigma_{k \neq i} \Lambda_{ik}$, similarly $\Lambda_{01}(e_j) = \Sigma_{k \neq j} \Lambda_{kj}$, so that $\Lambda_{10}(e_i) \cap \Lambda_{01}(e_j) = (\Sigma_{k \neq i} \Lambda_{i, k}) \cap (\Sigma_{k \neq j} \Lambda_{k, k}) = \Lambda_{i, k}$ when $i \neq j$.

Again, these are not hard to remember: for example, $\mathbf{A}_{10}(\mathbf{e}) = \mathbf{A}_{10}(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{J}}) \text{ is built up from those spaces } \mathbf{A}_{ik} \text{ whose left index i is part of J } (\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{J}} \text{ acts like 1 on } \mathbf{e}_{i}) \text{ and whose right index } k \text{ is not } (\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{J}} \text{ acts like 0 on } \mathbf{e}_{k}).$

Exercises

- 2.1 If zA=0 and e is an idempotent with ez = 0, show $x=\text{etz satisfies }L_x^2=L_x\text{ but }x^2\neq x. \text{ Show conversely}$ that any x with $L_x^2=L_x$ but $x^2\neq x$ has the form x=c+z where $L_z=0$, ez = 0.
- 2.3 If e, f are orthogonal idempotents, show e+f is again idempotent. Is the converse true? Generalize to arbitrary finite sums of orthogonal idempotents.
- 2.4 Show $A_{ij}(1-e) = A_{ji}(e)$ for $0 \le i$, $j \le 1$. If $1 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3$ find $A_{11}(e_1+e_3)$, $A_{10}(e_1+e_3)$, $A_{01}(e_1+e_3)$, $A_{00}(e_1+e_3)$. Prove $A_{ij} = A_{10}(e_i) \cap A_{01}(e_j)$ in general using $A_{ij} = \{x \mid e_k x = \delta_{ki} x, xe_k = \delta_{jk} x\}$.
- 2.5 Relative to e_1, \dots, e_n show $E_{ii} = U_{e_i}$ and $E_{ij} + E_{ji} = U_{e_i, e_j}$.