Provided for non-commercial research and education use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

http://www.elsevier.com/copyright

Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78-113



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra



www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

Erhard Neher^{a,*}, Maribel Tocón^b

^a Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6N5, Canada ^b Departamento de Estadística e Investigación Operativa, Universidad de Córdoba, Puerta Nueva s/n, Córdoba, 14071, Spain

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 1 March 2010 Available online 9 August 2011 Communicated by Efim Zelmanov

MSC: primary 17B70 secondary 17B60, 17C10, 17C50

Keywords: Root-graded Lie algebras Lie torus Triangulated Jordan structures (algebra, triple system, pair) Jordan structures covered by a triangle Coordinatization

ABSTRACT

We classify two classes of B_2 -graded Lie algebras which have a second compatible grading by an abelian group Λ : (a) Λ -gradedsimple, Λ torsion-free and (b) division- Λ -graded. Our results describe the centreless cores of a class of affine reflection Lie algebras, hence apply in particular to the centreless cores of extended affine Lie algebras, the so-called Lie tori, for which we recover results of Allison, Gao and Faulkner. Our classification (b) extends a recent result of Benkart and Yoshii. Both classifications are consequences of a new description of

Both classifications are consequences of a new description of Jordan algebras covered by a triangle, which correspond to these Lie algebras via the Tits–Kantor–Koecher construction. The Jordan algebra classifications follow from our results on graded-triangulated Jordan triple systems. They generalize work of McCrimmon and the first author as well as the Osborn–McCrimmon-Capacity-2-Theorem in the ungraded case.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

This paper deals with two related algebraic objects, Lie algebras graded by the root system B_2 and Jordan structures (algebras, triple systems and pairs), covered by a triangle of idempotents, respectively tripotents. Its aim is to classify the graded-simple structures in these categories.

* Corresponding author.

0021-8693/\$ – see front matter $\hfill \mathbb{O}$ 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2011.07.008

 $^{^{*}}$ The first author is partially supported by a Discovery Grant of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and the second by MEC and FEDER (MTM2007-61978).

E-mail addresses: neher@uottawa.ca (E. Neher), td1tobam@uco.es (M. Tocón).

On the Lie algebra side, the motivation for this paper comes from the theory of extended affine Lie algebras, which generalize affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras and toroidal Lie algebras [AABGP], and the even more general affine reflection Lie algebras ([N4], LA = Lie algebra):

affine $LA \subset$ extended affine $LA \subset$ affine reflection LA.

As explained in [N4, §6], an important ingredient in the structure theory of these Lie algebras is a certain subquotient, the so-called centreless core, whose structure is, respectively, as follows:

un/twisted loop algebra \subset centreless Lie torus

 \subset centreless predivision-root-graded Lie algebra.

It is therefore of interest to understand the structure of Lie tori or predivision-root-graded Lie algebras. As the reader will see below, these Lie algebras are defined in terms of a finite irreducible root system. One of the goals of this paper is to elucidate the structure of root-graded Lie algebras of type B_2 , which is one of the most complicated cases.

Let Δ be the root system B₂ and put $R = \Delta \cup \{0\}$ (we assume here $0 \notin \Delta$). Also, let Λ be an abelian group. We consider Lie algebras defined over a ring k with $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3} \in k$ which have a decomposition

(RG1) $L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R, \lambda \in \Lambda} L_{\alpha}^{\lambda}$ with $[L_{\alpha}^{\lambda}, L_{\beta}^{\mu}] \subset L_{\alpha+\beta}^{\lambda+\mu}$ (= 0 if $\alpha + \beta \notin R$), satisfying (RG2) $L_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} [L_{\alpha}, L_{-\alpha}]$.

We call $0 \neq e \in L^{\lambda}_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \Delta$, *invertible* if there exists $f \in L^{-\lambda}_{-\alpha}$ such that h = [e, f] acts on $x_{\beta} \in L^{\mu}_{\beta}$, $\beta \in R$, as $[h, x_{\beta}] = \langle \beta, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle x_{\beta}$ for $\langle \beta, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle$ the Cartan integer of $\alpha, \beta \in R$. This perhaps unusual definition is justified by examples, in which invertible elements as defined above are given in terms of invertible elements of coordinate algebras. A Lie algebra satisfying (RG1) and (RG2) is called

- B₂-graded with a compatible Λ -grading if every L^0_{α} , $\alpha \in \Delta$, contains an invertible element, B₂-graded-simple if *L* is *R*-graded with a compatible Λ -grading and if {0} and *L* are the only Λ -graded ideals of L,
- predivision-B₂-graded if L is R-graded with a compatible Λ -grading and every $0 \neq L^{\lambda}_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \Delta$, contains an invertible element,
- division-B₂-graded if L is R-graded with a compatible Λ -grading and every nonzero element in $L^{\lambda}_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Delta$, is invertible,
- a *Lie torus of type* B₂ if k is a field, L is division-B₂-graded and dim_k $L^{\lambda}_{\alpha} \leq 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$.

If k is a field of characteristic 0, a split simple Lie algebra of type B_2 is clearly B_2 -graded in the sense above. So we have two isomorphic examples, the orthogonal Lie algebra $o_5(k)$ and the symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_2(k)$, using $B_2 = C_2$. Another example is not far off: We can re-grade the standard root space decomposition of $\mathfrak{sl}_4(k)$, viewed as an A₃-grading, by "folding" A₃ into B₂, thus giving $\mathfrak{sl}_4(k)$ the structure of a simple B₂-graded Lie algebra.

The reader will not be very surprised in learning that one can replace k in the three examples $\mathfrak{sl}_4(k)$, $\mathfrak{sp}_2(k)$ and $\mathfrak{o}_5(k)$ by more general, not necessarily commutative coordinates and still gets a simple B₂-graded Lie algebra. In doing so, $\mathfrak{sp}_2(.)$ and $\mathfrak{o}_5(.)$ will no longer be isomorphic. Our first classification result says that, up to central extensions and allowing graded-simple coordinates, these are all examples in the graded-simple case with Λ torsion-free:

Theorem A. (See Theorem 7.12.) Let Λ be torsion-free and let L be a Lie algebra over a ring k containing $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$. Then L is centreless B₂-graded-simple if and only if L is graded isomorphic to

(I) $\mathfrak{sl}_4(A)/Z(\mathfrak{sl}_4(A))$ where $\mathfrak{sl}_4(A) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}_4(A): \operatorname{tr}(X) \in [A, A]\}$, and A is a graded-simple associative k-algebra,

- (II) $\mathfrak{sp}_2(A,\pi)/Z(\mathfrak{sp}_2(A,\pi))$ for A as in (I) with involution π ,
- (III) an elementary orthogonal Lie algebra eo(Q) where Q is a graded-nondegenerate quadratic form with base point and containing two hyperbolic planes over a graded-field.

We point out that even the case $\Lambda = \{0\}$ was not explicitly known before, although it could have been derived from [MN]. Moreover, in the application to affine reflection Lie algebras and their centreless core our assumption on Λ is fulfilled.

Our second classification result (Theorem 7.13) is parallel to Theorem A: It allows an arbitrary Λ , but assumes that the Lie algebra L is centreless and division-B₂-graded. In this setting, case (I) disappears, the algebra A in (II) is division-graded and Q in (III) is graded-anisotropic. In characteristic 0 this result has also been obtained by Benkart and Yoshii [BY, Theorem 4.3] using different methods and giving a less precise description of the Lie algebras. We can easily derive from our results a classification of centreless Lie tori of type B₂.

Corollary. (See Corollary 7.14.) A Lie algebra L is a centreless Lie torus of type B_2 if and only if L is graded isomorphic to one of the following:

- (I) a symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_2(A, \pi)$ for A a noncommutative associative torus with involution π , or to
- (II) an elementary orthogonal Lie algebra eo(Q) for Q a graded-anisotropic quadratic form over an associative torus with the same properties as Q in (III) of Theorem A.

Again in characteristic 0 this has also been obtained by Benkart and Yoshii [BY, Theorem 5.9]. For $k = \mathbb{C}$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$, the Lie tori classification is due to Allison and Gao [AG]. A different approach to this classification has recently been given by Faulkner [F] in the context of his classification of Lie tori of type BC₂.

We obtain the Lie algebra results as a consequence of our results on so-called triangulated Jordan structures, by linking Lie algebras to Jordan structures via the Tits-Kantor-Koecher construction. This brings us to the second goal for this paper, the classification of graded-simple-triangulated Jordan structures. In this introduction, we restrict ourselves to Jordan algebras for which the results are easier to state. A quadratic unital Jordan algebra J is called *graded-triangulated* if $J = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} J^{\lambda}$ is graded by some abelian group Λ and contains two supplementary orthogonal idempotents $e_1, e_2 \in J^0$ strongly connected by some $u \in J_1(e_1) \cap J_1(e_2) \cap J^0$. Of course, *graded-simple-triangulated* means graded-simple and graded-triangulated.

Analogously to the Lie algebra case, two isomorphic examples of triangulated Jordan algebras are immediate for k algebraically closed, the Jordan algebra $H_2(k)$ of 2×2 symmetric matrices and the Jordan algebra $AC_{alg}(k)$ of a 3-dimensional nondegenerate quadratic form. In addition, also the Jordan algebra $Mat_2(k)$ is naturally triangulated. And as in the Lie algebra case, the reader will not be surprised to learn that one can replace k by more general coordinates and still gets a graded-simple triangulated Jordan algebra.

Theorem B. (See Theorem 6.3(b).) A triangulated quadratic Jordan algebra J which is graded-simple with respect to a grading by a torsion-free abelian group Λ is graded isomorphic to one of the following Jordan algebras:

- (I) full matrix algebra $Mat_2(A)$ for a noncommutative graded-simple associative unital A;
- (II) hermitian matrices $H_2(A, A_0, \pi)$ for a noncommutative graded-simple associative unital A with ample subspace A_0 and graded involution π ;
- (III) Clifford Jordan algebra $AC_{alg}(q, F, F_0)$ for a graded-nondegenerate q over a graded-field F with Cliffordample subspace F_0 .

Conversely, all Jordan algebras in (I)–(III) *are graded-simple-triangulated.*

To put Theorem B into perspective, let us point out that already the case $\Lambda = \{0\}$ is nontrivial: The "Osborn–McCrimmon-Capacity-2-Theorem" [J, 6.3], [M2, 22.2], which classifies simple Jordan algebras

of capacity 2 (= simple triangulated Jordan algebras with division diagonal Peirce spaces) is the most complicated piece of the classification of simple Jordan algebras with capacity, and a cornerstone of the classification of simple Jordan algebras.

As for Theorem A, we prove a second classification result (Corollary 6.5) in which the assumption on Λ is replaced by the condition that elements in the Peirce space J_{12} are sums of invertible elements. And of course, there are also corollaries for triangulated Jordan algebra tori, formulated in Corollary 6.6 for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

We have mentioned that the Lie algebra results follow from our results on Jordan structures, viz., the cases (I)–(III) in Theorem A correspond to the cases (I)–(III) in Theorem B. So how do we prove the Jordan algebra result? In fact, we first prove the results for graded-simple-triangulated Jordan triple systems by adapting the approach of [MN] to the graded-simple setting. This paper deals with the case $\Lambda = \{0\}$ and so generalizes the Osborn–McCrimmon-Capacity-2-Theorem to Jordan triple systems. Once the triple system case has been established, we can derive the results for Jordan algebras and Jordan pairs (Theorem 6.12, Corollaries 6.14 and 6.15) by standard techniques.

The paper is divided into seven sections. In the first two sections we establish the terminology, identities and general results about graded Jordan triple systems and graded-triangulated Jordan triple systems, respectively. Proofs in the first two sections are mainly left to the reader since they are easy generalizations of the corresponding ungraded cases. In Sections 3 and 4 we present our two basic models for graded-triangulated Jordan triple systems, the hermitian matrix systems and the Clifford systems and prove Coordinatization Theorems for both of them (Theorems 3.3 and 4.3). Section 5 is devoted to classifying graded-simple-triangulated Jordan triple systems. As a corollary we obtain a classification of division-triangulated Jordan triple systems (Corollary 5.12) and triangulated Jordan triple tori (Corollary 5.13). These classification theorems are extended to Jordan algebras and Jordan pairs in Section 6. Finally, in the last section, we apply our results to Lie algebras.

Unless specified otherwise, all algebraic structures are defined over an arbitrary ring of scalars, denoted k, and are assumed to be graded by an abelian group Λ , written additively. We will use Loos' Lecture Notes [L] as our basic reference for Jordan triple systems and Jordan pairs.

1. Graded Jordan triple systems

This section introduces some basic notions of graded Jordan triple systems. For example we establish in Theorem 1.4 that Peirce-2- and Peirce-0-spaces of a degree 0 tripotent inherit graded-simplicity.

A *k*-module *M* is graded by Λ if $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M^{\lambda}$ where $(M^{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda)$ is a family of *k*-submodules of *M*. In this case, we call *M* Λ -graded if the support set $\supp_{\Lambda}\{\lambda \in \Lambda: M^{\lambda} \neq 0\}$ generates Λ as an abelian group. Of course, if *M* is graded by Λ , it is Ξ -graded for Ξ the subgroup generated by $\supp_{\Lambda} M$. But it is usually more convenient to just consider graded modules (and triple systems) as opposed to Λ -graded ones. We say that *M* is graded if *M* is graded by some (unimportant) abelian group, which for simplicity we assume to be Λ . A homogeneous element of a graded *M* is an element of $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M^{\lambda}$. If $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M^{\lambda}$ and $N = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N^{\lambda}$ are graded modules, a *k*-linear map $\varphi: M \to N$ is said to be homogeneous of degree $\gamma \in \Lambda$ if $\varphi(M^{\lambda}) \subseteq N^{\lambda+\gamma}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

A Jordan triple system *J* with quadratic operator *P* and triple product $\{.,.,.\}$ is graded by Λ if the underlying module is so, say $J = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} J^{\lambda}$, and the family $(J^{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda)$ satisfies $P(J^{\lambda})J^{\mu} \subseteq J^{2\lambda+\mu}$ and $\{J^{\lambda}, J^{\mu}, J^{\nu}\} \subseteq J^{\lambda+\mu+\nu}$ for all $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \Lambda$. We will say that *J* is Λ -graded if *J* is graded by Λ and the underlying module is Λ -graded. As for modules, we will simply speak of a graded Jordan triple system if the grading group Λ is not important.

If *J* and *J'* are graded Jordan triple systems, a homomorphism $\varphi: J \to J'$ is said to be graded if it is homogeneous of degree 0. Correspondingly, a graded isomorphism is a bijective graded homomorphism, and we say that *J* and *J'* are graded isomorphic, written as $J \cong_A J'$, if there exists a graded isomorphism between *J* and *J'*.

Let *J* be a graded Jordan triple system. A subsystem *M* of *J* is called *graded* if $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (M \cap J^{\lambda})$. If *M* is an arbitrary subsystem of *J*, the greatest graded subsystem of *J* contained in *M* is $M^{\text{gr}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (M \cap J^{\lambda})$. If *M* is an ideal of *J*, then so is M^{gr} . We also note that the quotient of *J* by a graded ideal is again graded with respect to the canonical quotient grading. We call *J* graded-simple if $P(J)J \neq 0$ and every graded ideal is either 0 or equal to *J*. We say that *J* is graded-prime if P(I)K = 0 for graded ideals *I*, *K* of *J* implies I = 0 or K = 0, and graded-semiprime if P(I)I = 0 for a graded ideal *I* implies I = 0. We denote by $\mathcal{T}(J) = \{x \in J : P(x)J = 0\}$ the set of trivial elements of *J*, and put $\mathcal{T}^{\Lambda}(J) := \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{T}^{\lambda}(J)$, where $\mathcal{T}^{\lambda}(J) = \mathcal{T}(J) \cap J^{\lambda}$. We say that *J* is graded-nondegenerate if $\mathcal{T}^{\Lambda}(J) = 0$. We note that if *J* is graded-nondegenerate, it is also graded-semiprime. Finally, we say that *J* is graded-strongly prime if it is graded-prime and graded-nondegenerate, and division-graded if it is nonzero and every nonzero homogeneous element is invertible in *J*.

Recall that the *McCrimmon radical* $\mathcal{M}(J)$ of a Jordan triple system *J* is the smallest ideal of *J* such that the quotient $J/\mathcal{M}(J)$ is nondegenerate [L, §4]. It can be constructed as follows: $\mathcal{M}(J) := \bigcup_{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(J)$, where $\mathcal{M}_{0}(J) = 0$, $\mathcal{M}_{1}(J)$ is the ideal of *J* generated by the set of trivial elements $\mathcal{T}(J)$ of *J* and, by using transfinite induction, the ideals $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(J)$ are defined by $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(J)/\mathcal{M}_{\alpha-1}(J) = \mathcal{M}_{1}(J/\mathcal{M}_{\alpha-1}(J))$ if α is a non-limit ordinal and $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(J) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{M}_{\beta}(J)$ for a limit ordinal α .

Definition 1.1. Let *J* be a graded Jordan triple system and let $\mathcal{M}(J)$ be its McCrimmon radical. We define the *graded-McCrimmon radical* of *J*, denoted gr $\mathcal{M}(J)$, as the greatest graded ideal contained in $\mathcal{M}(J)$, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{gr} \mathcal{M}(J) := \mathcal{M}(J)^{\operatorname{gr}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (J^{\lambda} \cap \mathcal{M}(J)).$$

Thus gr $\mathcal{M}(J) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{gr } \mathcal{M}^{\lambda}(J)$ for gr $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}(J) = J^{\lambda} \cap \mathcal{M}(J)$. The following characterization is immediate from the definition, see [L, §4] for the ungraded case.

Proposition 1.2. Let *J* be a graded Jordan triple system. Then the homogenous spaces gr $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}(J)$ of gr $\mathcal{M}(J)$ are gr $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}(J) = \bigcup_{\alpha} (\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(J) \cap J^{\lambda})$ for $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(J)$ as defined above. The graded-McCrimmon radical is the smallest graded ideal of *J* such that the quotient $J/\text{gr }\mathcal{M}(J)$ is graded-nondegenerate.

We will need the following result.

Proposition 1.3. (See [A,KZ] for $\Lambda = 0$.) If J is a graded-simple Jordan triple system, then J is graded-nondegenerate.

Proof. We can suppose gr $\mathcal{M}(J) = J$. Hence gr $\mathcal{M}(J) = \mathcal{M}(J) = J$. By [A,KZ] *J* is then *locally nilpotent*, i.e., every finitely generated subalgebra of *J* is nilpotent. But this immediately leads to a contradiction. \Box

Let *e* be a tripotent in a Jordan triple system *J*, i.e., P(e)e = e. We thus have the Peirce decomposition of *J* with respect to *e*, written as $J = J_2(e) \oplus J_1(e) \oplus J_0(e)$. If, in addition, *J* is graded and $e \in J^0$, it is immediate that the Peirce spaces $J_i(e)$, i = 0, 1, 2, are graded: $J_i(e) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} J_i^{\lambda}(e)$ where $J_i^{\lambda}(e) = J_i(e) \cap J^{\lambda}$.

Theorem 1.4. Let J be a graded-simple Jordan triple system with a tripotent $0 \neq e \in J^0$. Then the Peirce subsystem $J_2(e)$ is graded-simple and if $J_0(e) \neq 0$, then $J_0(e)$ is also graded-simple.

Proof. This can be proven in the same way as the ungraded result [M1, 3.8]. \Box

2. Graded-triangulated Jordan triple systems

In this section we begin our study of graded-triangulated Jordan triple systems. We define the basic notations used throughout, present a list of multiplication rules, and discuss graded-nondegeneracy and graded-simplicity (Proposition 2.23). Throughout J is a Jordan triple system, assumed to be graded from Definition 2.11 on. A triple of nonzero tripotents $(u; e_1, e_2)$ is called a *triangle* if $e_i \in J_0(e_j)$, $i \neq j$, $e_i \in J_2(u)$, i = 1, 2, $u \in J_1(e_1) \cap J_1(e_2)$, and the following multiplication rules hold: $P(u)e_i = e_j$, $i \neq j$, and $P(e_1, e_2)u = u$. In this case, $e := e_1 + e_2$ is a tripotent such that e and u have the same Peirce spaces. The verification that $(u; e_1, e_2)$ is a triangle is simplified by the *Triangle Criterion* [N2, I.2.5], which says that *as soon as* u and e_1 are tripotents satisfying $u \in J_1(e_1)$ and $e_1 \in J_2(u)$ then $(u; e_1, P(u)e_1)$ is a triangle.

A Jordan triple system with a triangle $(u; e_1, e_2)$ is said to be *triangulated* if $J = J_2(e_1) \oplus (J_1(e_1) \cap J_1(e_2)) \oplus J_2(e_2)$ which is equivalent to $J = J_2(e)$. In this case, we will use the notation $J_i = J_2(e_i)$ and $M = J_1(e_1) \cap J_1(e_2)$. Hence

$$J = J_1 \oplus M \oplus J_2.$$

For such a *J* the index *i* will always vary in {1,2}, in which case $j \in \{1,2\}$ is given by j = 3 - i. An arbitrary product P(x)y in *J* has the form $P(x_1 + m + x_2)(y_1 + n + y_2) = z_1 + r + z_2$, where

$$z_i = P(x_i)y_i + P(m)y_j + \{x_i, n, m\}, \text{ and}$$
$$r = P(m)n + \{x_1, y_1, m\} + \{x_2, y_2, m\} + \{x_1, n, x_2\}$$

Using Peirce multiplication rules and standard Jordan identities, most of these products can be written in terms of the quadratic operators

$$Q_i: M \to J_i: m \mapsto Q_i(m) := P(m)e_i,$$

with linearizations $Q_i(m, n) = P(m, n)e_j$, the automorphism $-: J \to J$

$$x \mapsto \overline{x} := P(e)x, \quad e = e_1 + e_2,$$

and the bilinear maps $J_i \times M \to M$ defined by

2.1. $J_i \times M \to M : (x_i, m) \mapsto x_i \cdot m = L(x_i)m := \{x_i, e_i, m\}.$

Indeed we have [MN, 1.3.2–1.3.6]:

- **2.2.** $P(m)n = Q_i(m, \overline{n}) \cdot m Q_i(m) \cdot \overline{n}$.
- **2.3.** $\{m, n, x_i\} = Q_i(m, x_i \cdot \overline{n}) = \{m, \overline{x_i} \cdot n, e_i\}.$
- **2.4.** $\{m, x_i, n\} = Q_i(m, \overline{x_i} \cdot n) = Q_i(n, \overline{x_i} \cdot m).$
- **2.5.** $\{x_i, y_i, m\} = x_i \cdot (\overline{y_i} \cdot m).$
- **2.6.** $\{x_i, m, y_j\} = x_i \cdot (y_j \cdot \overline{m}) = y_j \cdot (x_i \cdot \overline{m}),$

while $P(x_i)y_i \in J_i$ and $P(m)y_i \in J_j$ cannot be reduced. Also [MN, 1.3.7]:

2.7. $e_i \cdot m = m$ and $P(x_i)y_i \cdot m = x_i \cdot (\overline{y_i} \cdot (x_i \cdot m))$.

Note that $\overline{e_i} = e_i$, stabilizes the Peirce subspaces J_i and M and reduces to $P(e_i)$ on J_i and $P(e_1, e_2)$ on M. We will also consider the *square* of elements $x_i \in J_i$ defined as

2.8. $x_i^2 := P(x_i)e_i$.

E. Neher, M. Tocón / Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78-113

Because of 2.7 we have $L(x_i)^2 = L(x_i^2)$. We say that J is faithfully triangulated or that u is faithful if any $x_1 \in J_1$ with $x_1 \cdot u = 0$ vanishes. We will also need the traces

$$T_i(m) := Q_i(u, m) = \{ue_i m\},\$$

and the map

$$^* := P(e)P(u) = P(u)P(e),$$

which is an automorphism of J of period 2 such that $u^* = u$, $e_i^* = e_j$, and so $J_i^* = J_j$. The following lemma is shown in the proof of [MN, 1.15] and will be used later.

Lemma 2.9. Let $J = J_1 \oplus M \oplus J_2$ be a triangulated Jordan triple system. If $z = z_1 + m + z_2 \in \mathcal{T}(J)$, the set of trivial elements of J, then $z_i \in \mathcal{T}(J_i)$ and $m \in \text{Rad}Q_i$ for i = 1, 2 where $\text{Rad}Q_i = \{m \in M : Q_i(m) = 0 = Q_i(m, M)\}$. Conversely, if $m \in \text{Rad}Q_1 \cup \text{Rad}Q_2$, then $P(m)M = 0 = P(P(m)J_i)J$.

Definition 2.10. If $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M^{\lambda}$ and $N = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N^{\lambda}$ are graded modules and $Q : M \to N$ is a quadratic map, we call Q graded if $Q(M^{\lambda}) \subseteq N^{2\lambda}$ and $Q(M^{\lambda}, M^{\nu}) \subseteq N^{\lambda+\nu}$, where Q(.,.) is the bilinear form associated to Q. Recall that the *radical* of Q is $RadQ = \{m \in M: Q(m) = 0 = Q(m, M)\}$. In our situation the graded-radical of Q, defined as

gr Rad Q :=
$$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \{ m \in M^{\lambda} : Q(m) = 0 = Q(m, M) \},$$

will be more important. Naturally, we say that Q is graded-nondegenerate if gr Rad Q = 0. It is easily seen that the submodule $\{m \in M: Q(m, M) = 0\}$ is graded. For any $m = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m^{\lambda} \in M$ satisfying Q(m, M) = 0, we have $Q(m) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Q(m^{\lambda})$, where $Q(m^{\lambda}) \in N^{2\lambda}$. Hence gr Rad Q = Rad Q if $\frac{1}{2} \in k$ or Λ does not have 2-torsion. In general, gr Rad Q is the greatest graded submodule of Rad Q.

Definition 2.11. A Jordan triple system *J* is said to be *graded-triangulated* if *J* is graded by some abelian group Λ and triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2) \subseteq J^0$. We call a graded-triangulated *J* Λ -triangulated if supp_{Λ} $J = \{\lambda \in \Lambda: J_i^{\lambda} \neq 0 \text{ or } M^{\lambda} \neq 0\}$ generates Λ as abelian group. We call *J* graded-simple-triangulated if *J* is graded-simple and graded-triangulated.

Let *J* be a graded-triangulated Jordan triple system. The grading group of a graded-triangulated Jordan triple system will usually be denoted by Λ . Observe that the Λ -grading is *compatible* with the Peirce decomposition: The subsystems J_i and M are graded: $J_i = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} J_i^{\lambda}$ and $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M^{\lambda}$, the quadratic operators Q_i and the automorphisms * and - are graded.

As before we let $(u; e_1, e_2)$ be the triangle inducing the triangulation. The product formulas 2.2–2.6 show that a graded linear subspace $K = K_1 \oplus N \oplus K_2$ with $K_i \subseteq J_i$, $N \subseteq M$, is a graded subsystem if

2.12. $\overline{K_i} = K_i$, $\overline{N} = N$, $P(K_i)K_i \subseteq K_i$, $P(N)K_i \subseteq K_j$ and $K_i \cdot N \subseteq N$.

As in [MN], we denote by C the subalgebra of $End_k(M)$ generated by

$$C_0 = L(J_1) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L(J_1^{\lambda}),$$

and we say that *u* is *C*-faithful if cu = 0 implies c = 0. It is easily seen that $\operatorname{End}_k^{\Lambda}(M) := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \operatorname{End}_k^{\lambda}(M)$, where $\operatorname{End}_k^{\lambda}(M) = \{\varphi \in \operatorname{End}_k(M): \varphi(M^{\gamma}) \subseteq M^{\gamma+\lambda} \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Lambda\}$, is a subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}_k M$ which is graded by Λ . Note that $L(J_i^{\lambda}) \in \operatorname{End}_k^{\lambda}(M)$. Hence C_0 is a graded submodule, and

this implies that *C* is a graded subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}_k^{\Lambda}(M)$, i.e., $C = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C^{\lambda}$ where $C^{\lambda} = C \cap \operatorname{End}_k^{\lambda}(M)$. We have that $\overline{L(x_1)} := L(\overline{x_1}) = P(e)L(x_1)P(e) \in C_0$. Therefore $c \mapsto \overline{c} = P(e)cP(e)|_M$ defines an automorphism on *C* of period 2, which is graded. Moreover, $L : J_1 \to C_0$, $x_1 \mapsto L(x_1)$ is a nonzero graded specialization with respect to $P(c_0)d_0 = c_0\overline{d_0}c_0 \in C_0$, for $c_0, d_0 \in C_0$. By [MN, 1.6.6], *C* has a *reversal involution* π , i.e.,

2.13. $(L(x_1)\cdots L(x_n))^{\pi} = L(x_n)\cdots L(x_1).$

It easily follows from 2.4 that

2.14. $Q_2(cm, n) = Q_2(m, c^{\pi}n).$

It is clear from 2.13 that π is homogeneous of degree 0 and commutes with the automorphism - of *C*. Moreover, $C_0 = \overline{C_0} \subseteq H(C, \pi)$ is an *ample subspace* of (C, π) , i.e., $1 \in C_0$ and $cC_0c^{\pi} \subseteq C_0$ for all $c \in C$. Indeed, for $c \in C$, $x_1 \in J_1$, we have by [MN, 1.6.9]

2.15. $cL(x_1)c^{\pi} = L(P(cu)P(u)x_1).$

Besides the formulas already mentioned we will use the following identities proven in [MN, 1.6.8, 1.6.11, 1.6.2, 1.6.3, 1.6.10, 1.6.12, 1.6.14]. For $c \in C$, $m \in M$ and $x_i \in J_i$ we have

2.16. $c + c^{\pi} = L(T_1(cu)).$

2.17. $Q_2(cu, m) = T_2(c^{\pi}m)$.

2.18. $T_i(m)^* = T_i(m^*) = T_i(m)$ and $Q_i(m)^* = Q_i(m^*)$.

2.19. $m^* = T_i(m) \cdot u - m$.

2.20. $(cu)^* = c^*u = c^{\pi}u$ and hence $cc^*u = c^*cu$, where $c^* = P(u)cP(u)$. Note that C^* is the subalgebra of $End_k(M)$ generated by $L(J_2)$.

2.21. $(x_i^* - x_i) \cdot m = (T_i(m) \cdot x_i - T_i(x_i \cdot m)) \cdot u = -\Gamma_i(x_i; m)u$, where $\Gamma_i(x_i; m) := L(T_i(x_i \cdot m)) - L(T_i(m))L(x_i)$. Observe that $\Gamma_i(x_i; m)$ is linear in the two variables.

2.22.

$$\Gamma_{1}(x_{1};m)\Gamma_{1}(x_{1};m)^{\pi}m$$

= $L(Q_{2}(m))[L(x_{1}), \Gamma_{1}(x_{1};m)]u + L(x_{1})[L(Q_{1}(m)), L(x_{1})]m + [L(x_{1}), L(P(m)P(u)x_{1})]m \in Cu.$

The following proposition is a straightforward generalization of the corresponding result for $\Lambda = 0$ [MN, 1.15]. Its proof, which uses Proposition 1.3, Theorem 1.4 and Lemma 2.9, will be left to the reader.

Proposition 2.23. Let J be a graded-triangulated Jordan triple system. Then

- (i) J is graded-nondegenerate iff J_1 and Q_1 are graded-nondegenerate, iff J_2 and Q_2 are graded-nondegenerate. In this case, J is faithfully triangulated.
- (ii) J is graded-simple iff J_1 is graded-simple and Q_1 is graded-nondegenerate, iff J_2 is graded-simple and Q_2 is graded-nondegenerate.

Definition 2.24. A graded-triangulated Jordan triple system *J* is called *division-triangulated* if the Jordan triple systems J_i , i = 1, 2, are division-graded and if every homogeneous $0 \neq m \in M$ is invertible in *M*, equivalently in *J*. We call *J division-* Λ *-triangulated* if *J* is division-triangulated and Λ -triangulated. Thus, supp_{Λ} $J = \{\lambda \in \Lambda: J_i^{\lambda} \neq 0 \text{ or } M^{\lambda} \neq 0\}$ generates Λ as abelian group.

Any division-triangulated Jordan triple system is in particular graded-simple. Since $e_1 + e_2$ is invertible, an off-diagonal element $m \in M$ is invertible iff $P(m)(e_1 + e_2) = Q_1(m) \oplus Q_2(m)$ is invertible in J which is equivalent to both $Q_i(m)$ being invertible in J_i .

Let *k* be a field. A *triangulated Jordan triple torus* is a division-triangulated Jordan triple system $J = J_1 \oplus M \oplus J_2$ for which dim_k $J_i^{\lambda} \leq 1$ and dim_k $M^{\lambda} \leq 1$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. We call such a Jordan triple system a Λ -triangulated Jordan triple torus if J is division- Λ -triangulated.

We will use the same approach to define "tori" and Λ -tori in other categories: associative algebras (3.10), Jordan algebras (6.4), Jordan pairs (6.13) and Lie algebras (7.3), and we will see in Section 7 the connection between them: Λ -triangulated Jordan structures coordinatize B₂-Lie tori, which is our principal motivation for studying them.

For the next lemma we recall that a subset $S \subset A$ is called a *pointed reflection subspace* if $0 \in S$ and $2S - S \subset S$, see for example [NY, 2.1], where it is also shown that any pointed reflection subspace is a union of cosets modulo $2\mathbb{Z}[S]$, including the trivial coset $2\mathbb{Z}[S]$. Here $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ denotes the \mathbb{Z} -span of S. In particular, a pointed reflection subspace is in general not a subgroup.

Lemma 2.25. Let J be a division- Λ -triangulated Jordan triple system. Put

$$\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{supp}_{\Lambda} J_1 = \operatorname{supp}_{\Lambda} J_2$$
 and $\mathcal{S} = \operatorname{supp}_{\Lambda} M$.

Then \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{S} are pointed reflection subspaces of Λ satisfying

$$\mathcal{L} + 2\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{L} \quad and \quad \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$
 (2.1)

Proof. We have $\operatorname{supp}_A J_1 = \operatorname{supp}_A J_2$ by applying the invertible operator P(u) to J_i . That \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{S} are pointed reflection spaces is a general fact which is true for any division-graded Jordan triple system $J = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} J^{\lambda}$ with $J^0 \neq 0$: We have, with obvious notation, $(y^{\mu})^{-1} \in J^{-\mu}$, whence $P(x^{\lambda})(y^{\mu})^{-1} \in J^{2\lambda-\mu}$. The formulas in (2.1) follow from $P(m^{\lambda})x_1^{\mu} \in J_2^{2\lambda+\mu}$ and invertibility of $L(x_1^{\lambda})$ on M. \Box

Remark 2.26. The relations (2.1) are well-known from the theory of extended affine root systems of type B_2 [AABGP, II], or more generally, the theory of extension data for affine reflection systems [LN2]. This is of course no accident in view of the connections between triangulated Jordan structures and B_2 -graded Lie algebras, explained in Section 7.

3. Hermitian matrix systems

In this section we introduce the first of the two basic models for our paper, the hermitian matrix system (Definition 3.1), and we characterize them within the class of all triangulated Jordan triple systems in Theorem 3.3. We then describe the graded ideals of a hermitian matrix system (Proposition 3.5), which allows us to describe the graded-(semi)prime and graded-simple hermitian matrix systems (Corollary 3.6 and Proposition 3.9). Finally, in Lemma 3.12 we describe the divisiontriangulated and tori among the hermitian matrix systems.

Definition 3.1. *Hermitian matrix systems* H₂($A, A_0, \pi, -$). Recall [MN, §2] that an (associative) coordinate system ($A, A_0, \pi, -$) consists of a unital associative k-algebra A with involution π and an automorphism - of period 2 commuting with π , together with a - stable π -*ample* subspace A_0 , i.e., $\overline{A_0} = A_0 \subseteq H(A, \pi), 1 \in A_0$ and $aa_0a^{\pi} \subseteq A_0$ for all $a \in A$ and $a_0 \in A_0$. We will call such a coordinate system *graded* by A if $A = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} A^{\lambda}$ is graded, π and - are homogeneous of degree 0 and A_0 is a graded submodule: $A_0 = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} A_0^{\lambda}$ for $A_0^{\lambda} = A_0 \cap A^{\lambda}$.

To a graded coordinate system $(A, A_0, \pi, \overline{})$ we associate the *hermitian matrix system* $H = H_2(A, A_0, \pi, \overline{})$ which by definition is the Jordan triple system of 2×2 -matrices over A which are hermitian $(X = X^{\pi t})$ and have diagonal entries in A_0 , with triple product $P(X)Y = X\overline{Y}^{\pi t}X = X\overline{Y}X$, t = transpose. The Jordan triple system $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, \overline{})$ is spanned by elements

$$a_0^{\lambda}[ii] = a_0^{\lambda} E_{ii}$$
 and $a^{\gamma}[12] = a^{\gamma} E_{12} + (a^{\gamma})^{\pi} E_{21} = (a^{\gamma})^{\pi}[21],$

 $a^{\gamma} \in A^{\gamma}, a_0^{\lambda} \in A_0^{\lambda}$. Such a system is graded by Λ : $H = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} H^{\lambda}$ where $H^{\lambda} = \text{span}\{a_0^{\lambda}[ii], a^{\lambda}[12]: a_0^{\lambda} \in A_0^{\lambda}\}$, $a^{\lambda} \in A^{\lambda}\}$, and graded-triangulated by $(u = 1[12]; e_1 = 1[11], e_2 = 1[22]) \in H^0$. Note that the automorphisms - and * of H defined in Section 2 are

$$\overline{a_0[11] + a[12] + b_0[22]} = \overline{a_0}[11] + \overline{a}[12] + \overline{b_0}[22],$$
$$(a_0[11] + a[12] + b_0[22])^* = b_0[11] + a^{\pi}[12] + a_0[22].$$

We say that H is *diagonal* if the diagonal coordinates A_0 generate all coordinates A. In this case, the involution π is the reversal involution with respect to A_0 , i.e., $\pi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = a_n \cdots a_1$ for $a_i \in A_0$.

Example 3.2. As an example, suppose $A = B \boxplus B^{op}$ is a direct algebra sum of an associative graded algebra *B* and its opposite algebra B^{op} and that π is the exchange involution $(b_1, b_2) \mapsto (b_2, b_1)$ of *A*. (Here and in the following \boxplus denotes the direct sum of ideals.) Then necessarily $A_0 = \{(b, b): b \in B\}$ and $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, -)$ is canonically isomorphic to $Mat_2(B)$, the 2 × 2-matrices over *B*, with Jordan triple product $P_x y = x\bar{y}x$ or $P_x y = x\bar{y}^t x$ depending on the automorphism - of *A*. Namely, we have the first case if $(b_1, b_2) = (b_1, b_2)$ where $b \mapsto \bar{b}$ is an automorphism of *B* of period 2, and we have the second case if $(b_1, b_2) = (b_2^t, b_1^t)$ where $b \mapsto b^t$ is an involution of *B*.

Within triangulated Jordan triple systems, the hermitian matrix systems can be characterized as follows.

Theorem 3.3 (Triangulated hermitian coordinatization theorem). (See [MN, 2.4] for $\Lambda = 0$.) For any graded Jordan triple system $J = J_1 \oplus M \oplus J_2$ which is faithfully triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$, the graded subsystem

$$J_h = J_1 \oplus Cu \oplus J_2,$$

where C denotes the subalgebra of $\text{End}_k(M)$ generated by $C_0 = L(J_1)$, is graded-triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$ and graded isomorphic to the diagonal hermitian matrix system $H = H_2(A, A_0, \pi, -)$ under the map

$$x_1 \oplus cu \oplus x_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} L(x_1) & c \\ c^{\pi} & L(x_2^*) \end{pmatrix}$$

for $A = C|_{Cu}$, $A_0 = C_0|_{Cu}$, c^{π} as in 2.13, and $\bar{c} = P(e) \circ c \circ P(e)|_{Cu}$. The above isomorphism maps the triangle $(u; e_1, e_2)$ of J onto the standard triangle (1[12]; 1[11], 1[22]) of H. We have $J = J_h$ as graded triple systems if and only if M = Cu.

Proof. If *J* is a graded-triangulated Jordan triple system, then $(A, A_0, \pi, -)$, for $A = C|_{Cu}$, $A_0 = C_0|_{Cu}$, d^{π} as in 2.13 and $\bar{d} = P(e) \circ d \circ P(e)$, is a graded coordinate system. Since A_0 generates *A*, $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, -)$ is a diagonal hermitian matrix system. Also, by definition, J_h is graded.

Now it follows from [MN, 2.4] that J_h is a subsystem of J isomorphic to $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, -)$ under the map

$$x_1 \oplus cu \oplus x_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} L(x_1) & c \\ c^{\pi} & L(x_2^*) \end{pmatrix},$$

E. Neher, M. Tocón / Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78-113

which is clearly a graded isomorphism (recall that $(J_i^{\lambda})^* = J_j^{\lambda}$). That the isomorphism preserves the triangles is clear. Also by [MN, 2.4], we have that $J_h = J$ if and only if M = Cu. \Box

Let *A* be a graded algebra and let \mathfrak{S} be a set of endomorphisms of *A* preserving the grading. We call *I* a graded ideal of (A, \mathfrak{S}) if *I* is a graded ideal left invariant by all $s \in \mathfrak{S}$. If \mathcal{P} is a property of an algebra defined in terms of ideals we will say that (A, \mathfrak{S}) is graded- \mathcal{P} if \mathcal{P} holds for all graded ideals of (A, \mathfrak{S}) . We will apply this for $\mathcal{P} = \text{graded-(semi)prime}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \text{graded-simple}$. For $\mathfrak{S} = \{\pi, -\}$ as above we will determine the graded-simple (A, \mathfrak{S}) -structures in Proposition 3.7. Here we only note:

Remark 3.4. If \mathfrak{S} is a finite semi-group consisting of automorphisms or involutions of a graded associative algebra A, then (A, \mathfrak{S}) is graded-semiprime if and only if A is graded-semiprime. Indeed, if I is a graded ideal of A with $I^2 = 0$ then $\hat{I} = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}} s(I)$ is an \mathfrak{S} -invariant graded ideal of A with $\hat{I}^n = 0$ for $n > |\mathfrak{S}|$. Hence $\hat{I} = 0$ and so also I = 0.

Proposition 3.5. Let $H = H_2(A, A_0, \pi, \bar{})$ be a hermitian matrix system. Then the graded ideals of H are exactly the submodules

 $H_2(B, B_0) = B_0[11] \oplus B[12] \oplus B_0[22]$

for $(\pi, -)$ -invariant graded submodules $B_0 \subseteq A_0$ and $B \subseteq A$ such that for $a \in A$, $a_0 \in A_0$, $b \in B$, and $b_0 \in B_0$,

- (1) $ba + b^{\pi}a^{\pi}$, ba_0b^{π} , and ab_0a^{π} lie in B_0 ,
- (2) ab_0 , a_0b , aba, and bab lie in B.

In particular,

- (i) if B is a graded ideal of $(A, \pi, -)$, then H₂ $(B, B \cap A_0)$ is a graded ideal of H₂ $(A, A_0, \pi, -)$, and, conversely,
- (ii) if $(A, \pi, -)$ is graded-semiprime and $H_2(B, B_0)$ is a nonzero graded ideal of $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, -)$, then there exists a nonzero graded ideal I of $(A, \pi, -)$ such that $H_2(I, I_0) \subseteq H_2(B, B_0)$, for $I_0 = I \cap B_0$.

Proof. This easily follows from the case $\Lambda = 0$ which is proven in [MN, 2.7]. \Box

As a consequence, we have the following corollary whose proof is again omitted since it is based on a standard argument.

Corollary 3.6. (See [MN, 2.7(5), 2.11] for $\Lambda = 0$.) Let $H = H_2(A, A_0, \pi, -)$ be a hermitian matrix system. Then

- (i) H is graded-simple iff $(A, \pi, -)$ is graded-simple.
- (ii) The following are equivalent:
 - (a) H is graded-nondegenerate,
 - (b) H is graded-semiprime,
 - (c) $(A, \pi, -)$ is graded-semiprime,
 - (d) A is graded-semiprime.
- (iii) H is graded-prime iff $(A, \pi, -)$ is graded-prime.

Because of Corollary 3.6(i) it is of interest to determine the graded-simple coordinate systems $(A, \pi, \bar{})$. This can be done without assuming that A is associative.

Proposition 3.7. Let A be an arbitrary, not necessarily associative, graded algebra with commuting involution π and automorphism $^-$ of order 2, which are homogeneous of degree 0. Then the graded-simple structures $(A, \pi, ^-)$ are precisely the following:

- (I) graded-simple A with graded involution π and graded automorphism $\bar{}$;
- (II) $A \cong_A B \boxplus B^{\text{op}}$ with exchange involution π for a graded-simple B with graded automorphism $\bar{}: (b_1, b_2)^{\pi} = (b_2, b_1), (\overline{b_1, b_2}) = (\overline{b_1}, \overline{b_2});$
- (III) $A \cong_A B \boxplus B^{\text{op}}$ with exchange involution π for a graded-simple B with graded involution ι : $(b_1, b_2)^{\pi} = (b_2, b_1), (\overline{b_1, b_2}) = (b_2^{\iota}, b_1^{\iota});$
- (IV) $A \cong_A B \boxplus B$ with exchange automorphism $\overline{}$ for a graded-simple B with graded involution π : $(b_1, b_2)^{\pi} = (b_1^{\pi}, b_2^{\pi}), \overline{(b_1, b_2)} = (b_2, b_1);$
- (V) $A \cong_A B \boxplus B^{\text{op}} \boxplus B \boxplus B^{\text{op}}$ for a graded-simple B with π the exchange involution of $C = B \boxplus B^{\text{op}}$ and $\overline{}$ the exchange automorphisms of $C \boxplus \overline{C}$: $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)^{\pi} = (a_2, a_1, a_4, a_3)$ and $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (a_3, a_4, a_1, a_2)$.

Proof. The proof is again a straightforward generalization of the corresponding result in the ungraded situation, which is [MN, 2.8].

For later use we note the following special case of Proposition 3.7 for a commutative algebra D and π the identity "involution". We note that D is not assumed to be unital.

Corollary 3.8. Let *D* be a commutative graded algebra with a graded automorphism - of order 2. Then (D, -) is graded-simple if and only if either *D* is graded-simple or $D \cong_A B \boxplus B$, for a commutative graded-simple *B* with the exchange automorphism.

Recall [L, §1.14] that a Jordan triple system *T* is called *polarized* if there exist submodules T^{\pm} such that $T = T^+ \oplus T^-$ and for $\sigma = \pm$ we have $P(T^{\sigma})T^{\sigma} = 0 = \{T^{\sigma}, T^{\sigma}, T^{-\sigma}\}$ and $P(T^{\sigma})T^{-\sigma} \subseteq T^{\sigma}$. In this case, $V = (T^+, T^-)$ is a Jordan pair. Conversely, to any Jordan pair $V = (V^+, V^-)$ we can associate a polarized Jordan triple system $T(V) = V^+ \oplus V^-$ with quadratic map *P* defined by $P(x)y = Q(x^+)y^- \oplus Q(x^-)y^+$ for $x = x^+ \oplus x^-$ and $y = y^+ \oplus y^-$. In fact, the category of Jordan pairs is equivalent to the category of polarized Jordan triple systems. It is also known that for any Jordan triple system *T* the pair (T, T) is a Jordan pair [L, §1.13]. Hence, it has an associated polarized Jordan triple system which we will denote $T \oplus T$. Examples are the cases (IV) and (V) of Proposition 3.9 below.

Proposition 3.9 (Hermitian graded-simplicity criterion). A graded Jordan triple system is a graded-simpletriangulated hermitian matrix system $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, -)$ if and only if it is graded isomorphic to one of the following:

- (I) $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, -)$ for a graded-simple A;
- (II) $Mat_2(B)$ for a graded-simple associative unital B with graded automorphism $\overline{}$, where $\overline{(b_{ij})} = (\overline{b_{ij}})$ for $(b_{ij}) \in Mat_2(B)$ and $P(x)y = x\overline{y}x$;
- (III) Mat₂(*B*) for a graded-simple associative unital *B* with graded involution ι , where $\overline{(b_{ij})} = (b_{ij}^{\iota})$ for $(b_{ij}) \in Mat_2(B)$ and $P(x)y = x\overline{y}^t x$;
- (IV) polarized $H_2(B, B_0, \pi) \oplus H_2(B, B_0, \pi)$ for a graded-simple B with graded involution π ;
- (V) polarized $Mat_2(B) \oplus Mat_2(B)$ for a graded-simple associative unital B and P(x)y = xyx.

Among the cases (II)–(V), the matrix system is diagonal iff B is noncommutative.

Proof. By definition and Corollary 3.6(i), a graded Jordan triple system is a graded-simple $J = H_2(A, A_0, \pi, ^-)$ if and only if $(A, A_0, \pi, ^-)$ is a graded coordinate system where $(A, \pi, ^-)$ is graded-simple. Since the graded-simple structures $(A, \pi, ^-)$ have been described in Proposition 3.7, it now suffices to show that the cases (I)–(V) of Proposition 3.7 correspond to the cases (I)–(V) above. This is straightforward and will be left to the reader, see [MN, 2.10] for the case in which the grading group A = 0. \Box

In order to describe division-triangulated hermitian matrix systems we need to introduce some concepts from the theory of division-graded algebras.

Definition 3.10. A unital associative graded algebra $A = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A^{\lambda}$ is called *predivision-graded* if every nonzero homogeneous space contains an invertible element. The support supp_{Λ} $A = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : A^{\lambda} \neq 0\}$ of a predivision-graded A is a subgroup of Λ . We will call A predivision- Λ -graded if supp_{Λ} $A = \Lambda$.

After choosing a family of invertible elements $(u_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda)$ with $u_{\lambda} \in A^{\lambda}$, one can identify a predivision- Λ -graded algebra A with a crossed-product algebra $A = (B, \Lambda, \sigma, \tau)$ in the sense of [P] with $B = A^0$ and the twist τ and the action σ defined by $u_{\lambda}u_{\mu} = \tau(\lambda, \mu)u_{\lambda+\mu}$ and $u_{\lambda}b = (\sigma^{(\lambda)}(b))u_{\lambda}$ for $b \in B$.

An example of a predivision- Λ -graded algebra is the so-called *twisted group algebra* $B^t[\Lambda]$, i.e., the crossed product algebra $(B, \Lambda, \sigma, \tau)$ with $\sigma(\lambda) = Id_B$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. An immediate special case of a twisted group algebra is $k[\Lambda]$, the group algebra of Λ over k where $\tau(\lambda, \mu) = 1_k$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in k$.

A unital associative graded algebra A is called *division-graded* if every nonzero homogeneous element is invertible, and such an algebra is called *division-* Λ *-graded* if supp_{Λ} $A = \Lambda$. A division- Λ *-*graded algebra A is the same as a crossed product algebra (B, Λ, σ, τ) with B a division algebra. A division-graded algebra is in particular graded-simple.

A unital associative commutative graded algebra A is graded-simple if and only if it is divisiongraded. Such algebras will be called *graded-fields*, more precisely Λ -graded-fields if supp_{Λ} $A = \Lambda$. A Λ -graded-field is the same as a twisted group algebra $B^t[\Lambda]$ with B a field. If Λ is free, a Λ -graded field is isomorphic to the group algebra of Λ .

If *A* is a division-graded algebra defined over a field *k* and such that $\dim_k A^{\lambda} \leq 1$, then *A* is said to be an *(associative) torus*. In this case we call *A* a Λ -*torus* if $\operatorname{supp}_{\Lambda} A = \Lambda$. From the point of view of crossed product algebras, a Λ -torus is the same as a twisted group algebra $k^t[\Lambda]$ over the field *k*. We note that in this case τ is a 2-cocycle of Λ with coefficients in *k*.

Example 3.11. \mathbb{Z}^n -tori. Let A be a \mathbb{Z}^n -torus and choose nonzero $t_i \in A^{\epsilon_i}$, where ϵ_i is the *i*th-canonical basis vector of \mathbb{Z}^n . Then the algebra structure of A is uniquely determined by the rules

$$t_i t_i^{-1} = 1_A = t_i^{-1} t_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n \quad \text{and} \quad t_i t_j = q_{ij} t_j t_i, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n$$
(3.1)

where $q_{ij} \in k$ satisfy

$$q_{ii} = 1 = q_{ij} q_{ji} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

$$(3.2)$$

For example $A^{\lambda} = kt^{\lambda}$ for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $t^{\lambda} = t_1^{\lambda_1} t_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots t_n^{\lambda_n}$. Conversely, let $q = (q_{ij})$ be a $n \times n$ -matrix over the field k whose entries satisfy (3.2), then the associative unital algebra k_q defined by generators t_i , t_i^{-1} and relations (3.1) is a \mathbb{Z}^n -torus. It is customary to call k_q a quantum \mathbb{Z}^n -torus or simply a quantum torus if the grading group is not important, since k_q can be viewed as a quantization of the coordinate ring of the n-torus (k^{\times})ⁿ, i.e. the Laurent polynomial ring in n variables. Observe that k_q is a Laurent polynomial ring iff all $q_{ij} = 1$.

A quantum torus has a graded involution iff all $q_{ij} = \pm 1$ [AG, §2]. In this case, an example of a well-defined involution is the *reversal involution* π_{rev} with respect to the generating set $\{t_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, t_n^{\pm 1}\}$:

$$\pi_{\text{rev}}(t_1^{\lambda_1}t_2^{\lambda_2}\cdots t_n^{\lambda_n}) = t_n^{\lambda_n}t_{n-1}^{\lambda_{n-1}}\cdots t_1^{\lambda_1}.$$
(3.3)

The following lemma is immediate from the definitions above and the multiplication rules of hermitian matrix systems.

Lemma 3.12. Let $H = H_2(A, A_0, \pi, -)$ be a hermitian matrix system.

(a) The following are equivalent:

- (i) Every homogeneous $0 \neq m \in M = A[12]$ is invertible in H,
- (ii) A is division-graded,
- (iii) H is division-triangulated.

(b) Let k be a field. Then H is a Λ -triangulated Jordan triple torus iff A is a Λ -torus.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from the multiplication rules of *H*. If *A* is division-graded, a nonzero homogeneous element $a_0 \in A_0$ is invertible in *A*, say with inverse b_0 . We have $b_0 \in H(A, \pi)$ since $a_0 \in H(A, \pi)$. But then $b_0 = b_0 a_0 b_0^{\pi} \in A_0$, whence A_0 is division-graded, proving (iii). The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is immediate, and (b) follows from (a). \Box

Example 3.13. As a special case of Lemma 3.12 we get: $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, -)$ is a \mathbb{Z}^n -triangulated Jordan triple torus iff A is a quantum \mathbb{Z}^n -torus.

4. Clifford systems

In this section we introduce the second model of a graded-triangulated Jordan triple system, the ample Clifford systems (Definition 4.2), and we characterize them within the class of triangulated Jordan triple systems in Theorem 4.3. We describe the graded-(semi)prime, graded-strongly prime and graded-simple Clifford systems in Proposition 4.4 and the division-triangulated and tori among the Clifford systems in Corollary 4.5.

Definition 4.1. *Quadratic form triples.* Let $D = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D^{\lambda}$ be a graded unital commutative associative *k*-algebra endowed with an involution - of degree 0, i.e., $\overline{D^{\lambda}} = D^{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If

- (i) *V* is a graded *D*-module, i.e., $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V^{\lambda}$ is a decomposition into *k*-submodules such that $d^{\lambda}x^{\gamma} \in V^{\lambda+\gamma}$ for $d^{\lambda} \in D^{\lambda}$, $x^{\gamma} \in V^{\gamma}$ and all $\lambda, \gamma \in \Lambda$,
- (ii) $q: V \rightarrow D$ is a graded *D*-quadratic form (cf. Definition 2.10), and
- (iii) $S: V \to V$ is a hermitian isometry of order 2 and degree 0, i.e., $S(dx) = \overline{d}S(x)$ for $d \in D$, $q(S(x)) = \overline{q(x)}$, $S^2 = Id$ and $S(V^{\lambda}) = V^{\lambda}$,

then *V* becomes a Jordan triple system, denoted J(q, S) and called a *quadratic form triple*, by defining P(x)y = q(x, S(y))x - q(x)S(y) for $x, y \in V$ (see for example [N2, §1, Example 1.6]). Clearly J(q, S) is graded by Λ . We note for later use:

$$x \in J(q, S)$$
 is invertible $\iff q(x) \in D$ is invertible, (4.1)

and then $x^{-1} = q(x)^{-1}S(x)$.

Definition 4.2. Ample Clifford systems $AC(q, S, D_0)$. We consider (M, q, S, u), where (M, q, S) satisfy (i)–(iii) of Definition 4.1 above and in addition

- (iv) there exists $u \in M^0$ with q(u) = 1 and S(u) = u.
- We then define $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{q}, \widetilde{S})$ as follows:
- (i)' $\widetilde{M} := De_1 \oplus M \oplus De_2$, where $De_1 \oplus De_2$ is a free graded *D*-module with basis (e_1, e_2) of degree 0,
- (ii)' $\tilde{q}: \tilde{M} \to D$ is the quadratic form given by $\tilde{q}(d_1e_1 \oplus m \oplus d_2e_2) = d_1d_2 q(m)$, whence $De_1 \oplus De_2$ is a hyperbolic plane orthogonal to M, and _____
- (iii)' $\widetilde{S}: \widetilde{M} \to \widetilde{M}$ is the map $d_1e_1 \oplus m \oplus d_2e_2 \mapsto \overline{d_2}e_1 \oplus -S(m) \oplus \overline{d_1}e_2$.

Author's personal copy

E. Neher, M. Tocón / Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78-113

It is then easily checked that $(M, \tilde{q}, \tilde{S})$ also satisfies the conditions (i)–(iii) above, and therefore yields a quadratic form triple, called *full Clifford system* and denoted FC(q, S). Its multiplication is given by

$$P(c_1e_1 \oplus m \oplus c_2e_2)(b_1e_1 \oplus n \oplus b_2e_2) = d_1e_1 \oplus p \oplus d_2e_2, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$d_i = c_i^2 \overline{b_i} + c_i q(m, S(n)) + \overline{b_j} q(m),$$

$$p = [c_1 \overline{b_1} + c_2 \overline{b_2} + q(m, S(n))]m + [c_1 c_2 - q(m)]S(n)$$

and

$$\left\{c_1e_1 \oplus m \oplus c_2e_2, b_1e_1 \oplus n \oplus b_2e_2, c_1'e_1 \oplus m' \oplus c_2'e_2\right\} = d_1e_1 \oplus p \oplus d_2e_2, \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$d_{i} = q(c_{i}m' + c_{i}'m, S(n)) + b_{j}q(m, m') + 2c_{i}c_{i}'\overline{b_{i}},$$

$$p = [c_{1}\overline{b_{1}} + c_{2}\overline{b_{2}} + q(m, S(n))]m' + [c_{1}'\overline{b_{1}} + c_{2}'\overline{b_{2}} + q(m', S(n))]m + [c_{1}c_{2}' + c_{1}'c_{2} - q(m, m')]S(n).$$

Note that FC(q, S) is graded-triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$.

As already observed in [MN, 3.5], in general we need not take the full Peirce spaces De_i in order to get a graded-triangulated Jordan triple system. Indeed, let us define a *Clifford-ample subspace of* (D, -, q) as a graded *k*-submodule D_0 of *D*, such that $D_0 = \overline{D_0}$, $1 \in D_0$ and $D_0q(M) \subseteq D_0$. Then

$$M_0 := D_0 e_1 \oplus M \oplus D_0 e_2$$

is a graded subsystem of the full Clifford system FC(q, S) containing the triangle $(u; e_1, e_2)$. Hence it is a graded-triangulated Jordan triple system, called an *ample Clifford system* and denoted $AC(q, S, D_0)$ or $AC(q, M, S, D, -, D_0)$ if more precision is necessary. Note that $J_0 = AC(q, S, D_0)$ is an outer ideal of the full Clifford system J = FC(q, S).

We point out that (D, Id, -) is a graded associative coordinate system in the sense of Definition 3.1, and that a Clifford-ample subspace D_0 is in particular (Id, -)-ample. Hence ample Clifford systems are full in characteristic $\neq 2$, which here means $D_0 = D$.

Our derived operations of Section 2 on J_0 are

$$\overline{d_0e_1 \oplus m \oplus c_0e_2} = \overline{d_0}e_1 \oplus S(m) \oplus \overline{c_0}e_2,$$
$$(d_0e_1 \oplus m \oplus c_0e_2)^* = c_0e_1 \oplus (q(u,m)u - m) \oplus d_0e_2.$$

Theorem 4.3 (Clifford coordinatization theorem). (See [MN, 3.6, 3.10] for $\Lambda = 0$.) Let $J = J_1 \oplus M \oplus J_2$ be a graded Jordan triple system which is faithfully triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$. For i = 1, 2, define

- (i) C_i as the subalgebra of $End_k(M)$ generated by $L(J_i)$,
- (ii) $\Gamma_i(x_i; m) := L(T_i(x_i \cdot m)) L(T_i(m))L(x_i) \in C_i \text{ for } x_i \in J_i, m \in M,$
- (iii) $\Delta_i(x_i; m) = L(P(m)P(u)x_i) L(Q_i(m))L(x_i) \in C_i$,
- (iv) $N_0 = \{n_0 \in M: \Gamma_i(J_i; n_0) = 0, i = 1, 2\},\$
- (v) $K_i = \{k_i \in J_i: \Gamma_i(k_i; C_i N_0) = 0\},\$

(vi) $N^{\text{gr}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (N \cap M^{\lambda})$, for $N = \{n \in M : \Delta_i(J_i; n) = \Delta_i(J_i; n, N_0) = \Gamma_i(T_i(n); C_iN_0) = 0, i = 1, 2\} \subseteq N_0$, *i.e.*, N^{gr} is the greatest graded submodule of N.

Then

$$J_a = K_1 \oplus N^{\mathrm{gr}} \oplus K_2$$

is a graded subsystem of J which is faithfully triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$ and graded isomorphic to the ample *Clifford system* AC $(q, N^{\text{gr}}, S, D, {}^{-}, D_0)$ under the map

$$x_1 \oplus n \oplus x_2 \mapsto L(x_1) \oplus n \oplus L(x_2^*),$$

where $D_0 = L(K_1)$, D is the subalgebra of $End_k(N^{gr})$ generated by D_0 , $\bar{c} = P(e) \circ c \circ P(e)|_{N^{gr}}$, $q(n) = L(Q_1(n))$, and S(n) = P(e)n. The above isomorphism maps the triangle of J onto the standard triangle of AC(q, N^{gr} , S, D, -, D_0).

Moreover, $J = J_q$ as graded triple systems if and only if $\Delta_1(J_1; M) \equiv 0$. In particular, if u is C_1 -faithful and $(x_1 - x_1^*) \cdot m = 0$, for all $x_1 \in J_1$, $m \in M$, then $\Delta_1(J_1; M) \equiv 0$ and so $J = J_q$.

Proof. Let *J* be a graded Jordan triple system faithfully triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$. By [MN, 3.10], $K_1 \oplus N \oplus K_2$ is a subsystem of *J* faithfully triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$. Since N_0 and K_i , i = 1, 2, are graded (all the defining identities are linear), J_q is the greatest graded submodule of $K_1 \oplus N \oplus K_2$. Clearly $(u; e_1, e_2) \in J_q^0$. To see that J_q is also a graded subsystem of *J* faithfully triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$, it is in view of 2.12 enough to prove $\overline{N^{\text{gr}}} = N^{\text{gr}}$ and $K_i \cdot N^{\text{gr}} \subseteq N^{\text{gr}}$. But this follows directly from $\overline{N} = N$, $K_i \cdot N \subseteq N$, $J_i^{\lambda} \cdot M^{\gamma} \subseteq M^{\lambda+\gamma}$ and the fact that - is homogeneous of degree 0. On the other hand, since $\Delta_1(K_1; N^{\text{gr}}) \equiv 0$ by definition, we have by [MN, 3.6] that J_q is isomorphic to the ample Clifford system AC $(q, N^{\text{gr}}, S, D, -, D_0)$ under the map and data specified in the theorem. Clearly the isomorphism is homogeneous of degree 0 and preserves the triangles.

Recall from [MN, 3.10] that $J = K_1 \oplus N \oplus K_2$ if and only if $\Delta_1(J_1; M) \equiv 0$. In this case N = M is graded whence $J_q = J$. Conversely, if $J_q = J$ then $\Delta_1(J_1; M^{\lambda}) \equiv 0$, which implies that $\Delta_1(J_1; M) \equiv 0$: $\Delta_1(J_1; m^{\lambda} + m^{\gamma}) = \Delta_1(J_1; m^{\lambda}, m^{\gamma}) \subseteq \Delta_1(J_1; m^{\lambda}, N_0) \equiv 0$ since $N \subseteq N_0$. Finally, if u is C_1 -faithful and $(x_1 - x_1^*) \cdot m = 0$, then $\Delta_1(J_1; M) \equiv 0$ by [MN, 3.8] and so $J = J_q$. \Box

Proposition 4.4. Let $J = AC(q, M, S, D, -, D_0) = D_0e_1 \oplus M \oplus D_0e_2$ be an ample Clifford system for which D acts faithfully on M.

- (i) If *J* is graded-(semi)prime, then (*D*, ⁻) is graded-(semi)prime.
- (ii) The following are equivalent:
 - (a) J is graded-nondegenerate,
 - (b) q is graded-nondegenerate and J is graded-semiprime,
 - (c) q is graded-nondegenerate and (D, -) is graded-semiprime.
- (iii) J is graded-strongly prime iff q is graded-nondegenerate and (D, -) is graded-prime.
- (iv) ([MN, 3.14, 3.15] for $\Lambda = 0$) The following are equivalent:
 - (a) *J* is graded-simple,
 - (b) q is graded-nondegenerate and (D, -) is graded-simple,
 - (c) J is graded isomorphic to one of the following:
 - (I) $AC(q, S, F_0)$ for a graded-nondegenerate q over a graded-field F with Clifford-ample subspace F_0 , or
 - (II) a polarized AC(q, S, F_0) \oplus AC(q, S, F_0), where AC(q, S, F_0) is as in (I).
 - In this case, u is D-faithful.

Proof. We will first establish some preliminary results on the structure of graded ideals of *J*. Thus, let *I* be a graded ideal of *J*. We will use the multiplication formulas (4.2), (4.3) to evaluate the possibilities for *I*. First, invariance of *I* under $P(e_i)$, $P(e_1, e_2)$ and P(u) shows that

$$I = B_0 e_1 \oplus N \oplus B_0 e_2, \tag{1}$$

for some graded k-submodules $B_0 \subseteq D_0$, $N \subseteq M$. From $P(e_1)I \subseteq I$ we obtain $B_0 = \overline{B_0}$. Furthermore, we claim

q graded-nondegenerate and
$$B_0 = 0 \implies I = 0.$$
 (2)

Indeed, in this case $P(N)e_2 + \{N, e_2, M\} \subseteq B_0$ implies $q(N) + q(N, M) \subseteq B_0 = 0$, whence $N^{\lambda} \subseteq \operatorname{gr} \operatorname{Rad} q$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and then N = 0, therefore I = 0. Next we claim

$$(D, -)$$
 graded-simple, q graded-nondegenerate \implies J graded-simple. (3)

First notice that by Corollary 3.8 either *D* is a division-graded algebra or is the direct sum of two copies of a division-graded algebra with the exchange automorphism. Let *I* be a proper graded ideal of *J* which we write in the form (1). Then $B_0 \neq D$, since $B_0 = D$ would imply $e_1 \in I$, which in turn would force $e_2 = P(u)e_1 \in I$, $e_1 + e_2 \in I$ and then I = J. Now, as in the proof of [MN, 3.14], it follows that no $b_0 \in B_0$ is invertible in *D*: If $b_0^{-1} \in D$, then $\overline{b_0^{-2}} = q(\overline{b_0^{-1}}u) \subseteq D_0$, since $q(M) \subseteq D_0$, and $e_1 = b_0^2 \overline{b_0^{-2}}e_1 = P(b_0e_1)(\overline{b_0^{-2}}e_1) \in P(I)J \subseteq I$. Hence, if *D* is division-graded, $B_0^{\lambda} = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and then $B_0 = 0$. If, otherwise, $D = A \boxplus A$ for a division-graded *A* with the exchange automorphism, let $b_0 = (a, 0)$ or (0, a) be in B_0 for a homogeneous $a \in A^{\lambda}$. Then $(a, a) = b_0 + \overline{b_0} \in B_0$, and since (a, a) is not invertible in *D*, we get a = 0 and then $B_0 = 0$, in which case I = 0 by (2).

(D, -) graded-(semi)prime and q graded-nondegenerate \implies J graded-(semi)prime. (4)

We suppose *I*, *K* are graded ideals of *J* with I = K in the semiprime case, satisfying P(I)K = 0. By (1), we can write *I*, *K* in the form $I = B_0e_1 \oplus N \oplus B_0e_2$ and $K = C_0e_1 \oplus L \oplus C_0e_2$. From $P(B_0e_1)C_0e_1 = 0$ we get $B_0^2C_0 = 0$. If *D* is graded-(semi)prime (always in the semiprime case by Remark 3.4), then $B_0 = 0$ or $C_0 = 0$. If *D* is not graded-prime, then it easily follows that *D* is a subdirect sum $A \boxplus_s A$ of two copies of a graded-prime algebra *A* with the exchange automorphism. In this case, let $0 \neq b_0 \in B_0$, $0 \neq c_0 \in C_0$ be homogeneous elements. Then, by graded-primeness of *A*, $b_0^2c_0 = 0$ implies that $b_0 = (b, 0), c_0 = (0, c)$ or $b_0 = (0, b), c_0 = (c, 0)$ for homogeneous $b, c \in A$, respectively. Without loss of generality, assume $b_0 = (b, 0)$ and $c_0 = (0, c)$. Hence $b_0 + \overline{b_0} = (b, b) \in B_0$ and $0 = (b^2, b^2)(0, c) = (0, b^2c)$, thus $b^2c = 0$. But, again by the graded-primeness of *A* we have that $b^2 = 0$ or c = 0, that is, b = 0 or c = 0, which is a contradiction. Then $B_0 = 0$ or $C_0 = 0$. Therefore I = 0 or K = 0 by (2).

For the proof of the other directions we again establish some preliminary results. Let *B* be a graded ideal of (D, -). A straightforward verification using the multiplication rules (4.2), (4.3) shows that then

$$B := (B \cap D_0)e_1 \oplus BM \oplus (B \cap D_0)e_2$$

is a graded ideal of J. Since BM = 0 implies $B \subseteq Ann_D(M) = 0$, it is clear that

$$\widetilde{B}=0$$
 \iff $B=0.$

On the other hand, if $\widetilde{B} = J$, then $1 \in D_0 = B \cap D_0$, hence B = D. Then

$$\widetilde{B} = J \iff B = D.$$

It now follows easily from the multiplication rules in Definition 4.2 that

J graded-(semi)prime $\implies (D, -)$ graded-(semi)prime, and (5)

$$J$$
 graded-simple \implies $(D, -)$ graded-simple. (6)

For the proof of (ii) we also need

$$(D, \overline{})$$
 graded-semiprime $\implies J_1$ graded-nondegenerate. (7)

Indeed, if $d_0 \in D_0$ is a homogeneous trivial element of J_1 , then $d_0^2 D_0 = 0$. In particular, $d_0^2 = 0$. But in a graded-semiprime commutative algebra, all homogeneous nilpotent elements vanish, so $d_0 = 0$.

Finally, by using Proposition 2.23(i) and the fact that $Q_1 = q$ in our situation we have

J graded-nondegenerate \iff *q* graded-nondegenerate and *J*₁ graded-nondegenerate. (8)

Now the proof of (i)–(iv) follows easily: (i) is (5). For (ii), the fact that any graded-nondegenerate Jordan triple system is also graded-semiprime together with (i), (7) and (8) yields: *J* graded-nondegenerate (by (8)) \Rightarrow *q* graded-nondegenerate and *J* graded-semiprime (by (i)) \Rightarrow *q* graded-nondegenerate and *J* graded-nondegenerate and (*D*, ⁻) graded-semiprime (by (7)) \Rightarrow *q* graded-nondegenerate and *J* graded-nondegenerate (by (8)) \Rightarrow *J* graded-nondegenerate.

For (iii), we have by definition of graded-strongly primeness and (i) and (ii) that *J* graded-strongly prime implies *q* graded-nondegenerate and (D, -) graded-prime. The converse direction follows from (ii) and (4). Finally (iv) follows from (3), (6) and graded-nondegeneracy of *J* characterized by (8). Note that then *u* is *D*-faithful since $\{d \in D: du = 0\}$ is a proper graded ideal of (D, -). The remaining statements in (iv) are an immediate application of Corollary 3.8. \Box

The assumption that D acts faithfully on M in the preceding proposition and the following corollary will be automatic in the application later on.

Corollary 4.5. Let $J = AC(q, S, D_0) = D_0e_1 \oplus M \oplus D_0e_2$ be an ample Clifford system with D acting faithfully on M.

- (a) The following are equivalent:
 - (i) Every nonzero homogeneous element of M is invertible,
 - (ii) *D* is a graded-field and *q* is graded-anisotropic in the sense that $0 \neq q(m) \in D$ for every nonzero homogeneous $m \in M$,
 - (iii) J is division-triangulated.
 - In this case M is a free D-module.
- (b) Let k be a field. Then J is a triangulated Jordan triple torus iff
 - (I) *D* is a torus, say with supp $_{\Lambda} D = \Gamma$, hence $D = k^t[\Gamma]$ is a twisted group algebra, and
 - (II) *M* is a free *D*-module with a homogeneous *D*-basis $\{u_i: i \in I\}$, say $u_i \in M^{\delta_i}$, with $q(u_i) \neq 0$ and $(\delta_i + \Gamma) \neq (\delta_j + \Gamma)$ for $i \neq j$.
 - If in this case $D = D_0$, then $\{u_i: i \in I\}$ is an orthogonal basis: $q(u_i, u_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Proof. (a) If (i) holds, the invertibility criterion (4.1) together with $q(du) = d^2$ implies that D is a graded-field and then that q is graded-anisotropic. Suppose (ii). Then clearly every nonzero homogenous $m \in M$ is invertible. Moreover, it follows as in Lemma 3.12 that D_0 is a division-graded triple, whence J is division-triangulated. The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is clear. It is a standard fact that any graded module over a division-graded algebra is free with a homogeneous basis.

(b) Suppose *J* is a triangulated Jordan triple torus. Then (a) applies. Since $D \to D.u \subset M$ is injective and homogeneous of degree 0, *D* is a torus. Hence $D = k^t[\Gamma]$ is a twisted group algebra for $\Gamma = \text{supp}_A D$, a subgroup of *A*. As in (a), *M* is a free *D*-module with a homogeneous basis $\{u_i: i \in I\}$.

E. Neher, M. Tocón / Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78-113

We have $q(u_i) \neq 0$ because $u_i \neq 0$. Since $0 \neq D^{\gamma} M^{\mu}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\mu \in \operatorname{supp}_{\Lambda} M$, the condition $(\delta_i + \Gamma) \neq (\delta_j + \Gamma)$ for $i \neq j$ follows from dim_k $M^{\lambda} \leq 1$. The converse is easily verified. Observe that $q(u_i, u_j) \in D^{\delta'_i + \delta_j}$, but $\delta_i + \delta_j \notin \Gamma$ if $D = D_0$. Otherwise, $\delta_i = -\delta_j + \gamma = \delta_j + (\gamma - 2\delta_j)$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma - 2\delta_i \in \Gamma$ by (2.1) since $\Gamma = \mathcal{L}$ in the notation of loc. cit. and $\mathcal{S} = -\mathcal{S}$. \Box

Example 4.6. Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ and let $J = AC(q, S, D_0) = D_0 e_1 \oplus M \oplus D_0 e_2$ be a Λ -triangulated ample Clifford system such that D_0 generates D as algebra. For $\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{supp}_{\Lambda} D_0$ we therefore have $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}] =$ $\operatorname{supp}_{\Lambda} D = \Gamma$, a subgroup of Λ , and for $S = \operatorname{supp}_{\Lambda} M$ we get from (2.1) that $2S \subset \mathcal{L} \subset S$, so $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[S]$ and $2\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{L}] = \Gamma \subset \Lambda$, proving that Λ/Γ is a finite group. It follows that Γ is free of rank *n*, thus D is isomorphic to a Laurent polynomial ring in n variables. Moreover, from $\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}$ (or from Corollary 4.5(b)) we get $\Gamma + S \subset S$, whence the set of coset S/Γ embeds in the finite group Λ/Γ and is therefore finite. Thus, *M* is free of finite rank.

5. Graded-simple-triangulated Jordan triple systems

In this section we prove (Theorem 5.10) that under some mild additional assumptions the gradedsimple hermitian matrix and ample Clifford systems give us in fact all the possibilities for gradedsimple-triangulated Jordan triple systems, and we describe them completely in Corollary 5.11. Finally, we describe the division-triangulated and tori, in particular the case $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$, among the gradedtriangulated Jordan triple systems in Corollaries 5.12, 5.13 and 5.14.

Unless specified otherwise, $J = J_1 \oplus M \oplus J_2$ is a Jordan triple system over k triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$. We refer the reader to Section 2 for unexplained notation. We will not right away assume that I is graded or even graded-simple. Rather, to prove the main result of this section we will perform certain reductions to more specific situations (passing to a completion of 1 over the Laurent series ring or passing to an isotope) and, unfortunately, graded-simplicity can not always be maintained under these reductions. We will therefore begin this section by presenting these reductions.

Let *I* be an arbitrary Jordan triple system and let *t* be an indeterminate over *k*. We denote by

$$\widehat{J} = J((t)) = \left\{ \sum_{i \ge N} x_i t^i \colon x_i \in J, \ N \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

the Jordan triple system over k whose Jordan triple product is defined by

$$\widehat{P}\left(\sum_{i\geq N} x_i t^i\right)\left(\sum_{j\geq M} y_j t^j\right) = \sum_{i\geq N, \ j\geq M} P(x_i) y_j t^{2i+j} + \sum_{i_2>i_1\geq N, \ j\geq M} \{x_{i_1}, y_j, x_{i_2}\} t^{i_1+i_2+j}.$$

Note that this makes sense since in any fixed degree the sum on the right-hand side is finite. Observe that \hat{J} contains $J = J t^0$ as a subsystem. It is also easy to check that $\sum_{i \ge N} x_i t^i$ with $x_N \neq 0$ is invertible in \widehat{J} if x_N is invertible in J.

Assumption 5.1. $J = J_1 \oplus M \oplus J_2$ is a Jordan triple system triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$ for which the *k*-linear map $L: J_1 \to C_0: x_1 \mapsto L(x_1)$ defined in 2.1 is injective. Note that then $L: J_2 \to C_0^*$ is also injective because $L(x_2)c_0^* = (L(x_2^*)c_0)^*$ and $x_2^* \in J_1$. Recall that C denotes the subalgebra of $End_k(M)$ generated by C_0 .

Lemma 5.2. If I satisfies Assumption 5.1 with respect to the triangle $\mathcal{T} = (u; e_1, e_2)$ then so does \hat{I} , also with respect to \mathcal{T} . Moreover:

- (i) The Peirce spaces of \hat{J} with respect to \mathcal{T} are $\hat{J}_i = J_i((t))$ and $\hat{M} = M((t))$. (ii) Let \hat{C} be the subalgebra of End_kM((t)) generated by $\hat{C}_0 = L(\hat{J}_1) = C_0((t))$, and let $\hat{\pi}$ be the reversal involution of \widehat{C} with respect to \widehat{C}_0 (cf. 2.13). Then C is canonically isomorphic to the subalgebra of \widehat{C} preserving degrees. Identifying C with this subalgebra we have $\widehat{\pi}_{|C} = \pi$ and, with obvious meaning, $\widehat{*}_{|C} = *$.

(iii) For $m \in M$ we put $\widehat{m} = u + tm$ and note that \widehat{m} is invertible in J((t)). Then for all $c \in C \subseteq \widehat{C}$ and $\widehat{Q}_2(.) = \widehat{P}(.)e_1$:

$$\widehat{Q}_{2}(c\widehat{m}) = 0 = \widehat{Q}_{2}(c\widehat{m}, \widehat{m}) \implies Q_{2}(cm) = 0 = Q_{2}(cm, m)$$

$$\widehat{Q}_{2}(\widehat{m}, cc^{*}\widehat{m}) = 0 \implies Q_{2}(m, cc^{*}m) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\widehat{Q}_{2}(cM, \widehat{m}) = 0 \implies Q_{2}(cM, m) = 0.$$

(iv) If J_i does not contain nonzero elements with trivial square cf. (2.8), then neither does \hat{J}_i .

Proof. (i) and (ii) are clear. (iii) That $\widehat{m} = u + tm$ is invertible in \widehat{J} follows from the invertibility criterion mentioned above. We have $\widehat{Q}_2(c\widehat{m}) = \widehat{P}(cu + ctm)e_1 = Q_2(cu) + Q_2(cu, cm)t + Q_2(cm)t^2$ and $\widehat{Q}_2(c\widehat{m}, \widehat{m}) = Q_2(cu, u) + (Q_2(cu, m) + Q_2(cm, u))t + Q_2(cm, m)t^2$, which implies the first equation. The others follow similarly. \Box

Our second reduction is passing to an isotope. Recall that for an arbitrary Jordan triple system and an invertible element v of J the isotope $J^{(v)}$ is the Jordan triple system with multiplication $P^{(v)}(x)y = P(x)P(v)y$. The following lemma, whose proof is left to the reader, describes which properties are maintained by passing from J to a special isotope.

Lemma 5.3. Suppose *J* is triangulated by $\mathcal{T} = (u; e_1, e_2)$, and let $m \in M$ be an invertible element. Then $v = e_1 + Q_2(m)^{-1}$ is invertible in *J* and the isotope $\tilde{J} := J^{(v)}$ with $\tilde{P} = P^{(v)}$ is triangulated by $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = (\tilde{u}; \tilde{e}_1, \tilde{e}_2) = (m; e_1, Q_2(m))$ with Peirce spaces $\tilde{J}_1 = J_1$, $\tilde{M} = M$ and $\tilde{J}_2 = J_2$ as *k*-modules. Moreover, denoting the data for \tilde{J} by \tilde{L} , \tilde{C}_0 , etc., we have:

- (i) $\tilde{L} = L$ as k-linear maps, hence $\tilde{C}_0 = C_0$ and $(\tilde{C}, \tilde{\pi}) = (C, \pi)$ as algebras with involution. In particular, if *J* satisfies Assumption 5.1 then so does \tilde{J} with respect to \tilde{T} .
- (ii) For $n, n_1 \in M$ we have $\widetilde{Q}_2(n) = Q_2(n)$ and $\widetilde{Q}_2(n, n_1) = Q_2(n, n_1)$.
- (iii) If J_1 does not contain nonzero $x_1 \in J_1$ with $x_1^2 = 0$ the same holds for \tilde{J}_1 .
- (iv) Suppose $J = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} J^{\lambda}$ is graded-triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2) \in J^0$. If $m \in M^{\lambda}$ is homogeneous, then \tilde{J} is graded-triangulated with

$$\widetilde{J}_1^{\mu}=J_1^{\mu},\qquad \widetilde{M}^{\mu}=M^{\mu+\lambda},\qquad \widetilde{J}_2^{\mu}=J_2^{\mu+2\lambda}\quad (\mu\in\Lambda).$$

We point out that \tilde{J}_1 and J_1 are in general not isomorphic as triple systems, rather we have $\tilde{P}(x_1)y_1 = P(x_1)\bar{y}_1$.

From now on we will use the notations \hat{J} and \tilde{J} to denote the Jordan triple systems of Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3.

Proposition 5.4. Suppose J satisfies Assumption 5.1, and let R be a π -invariant ideal of C satisfying $R \cap C_0$ = 0. Then for all $r \in R$, $x_1 \in J_1$, $c \in C$ and $m \in M$ the following hold:

- (i) $r + r^{\pi} = r^2 = rr^{\pi} = rL(x_1)r^{\pi} = r(c^{\pi} c) = 0$. Also [r, C] = 0, so R is a central ideal.
- (ii) $Q_i(ru) = 0 = T_i(ru)$ for i = 1, 2.
- (iii) $Q_2(rm) = 0 = Q_2(rm, m)$.
- (iv) $Q_1(rm)^2 = 0$.
- (v) $T_2(rm)^2 = Q_1(rm)^*$.
- (vi) If either (a) M = Cu or (b) J_1 does not contain nonzero $x_1 \in J_1$ with $x_1^2 = 0$ (cf. 2.8), then $Q_2(RM, M) = 0$.

Proof. (i) By 2.15 and 2.16, $r + r^{\pi} = L(T_1(ru))$, $rL(x_1)r^{\pi} = L(P(ru)P(u)x_1)$ and $rr^{\pi} = L(Q_1(ru))$ all lie in $R \cap C_0$. Hence $r + r^{\pi} = rr^{\pi} = rL(x_1)r^{\pi} = 0$ and, because of injectivity of *L*, also $Q_1(ru) = 0 = T_1(ru)$. It now follows that $r^2 = -rr^{\pi} = 0$. Linearizing $cL(x_1)c^{\pi} \in C_0$, we have

$$cL(x_1)d^{\pi} + dL(x_1)c^{\pi} \in C_0.$$
 (1)

Specializing (1) for d = r and using that $r^{\pi} = -r$, we get $cL(x_1)r = rL(x_1)c^{\pi}$. For c = 1 we then have $L(x_1)r = rL(x_1)$. Since C_0 generates C as a k-algebra, this forces [r, C] = 0. Then $cL(x_1)r = rL(x_1)c^{\pi}$ evaluated for $x_1 = e_1$ shows $r(c^{\pi} - c) = 0$.

(ii) We have already shown in the proof of (i) that $Q_1(ru) = 0 = T_1(ru) = Q_1(ru, u)$. By 2.20, $(ru)^* = r^{\pi}u = -ru$, and then by 2.18, $0 = T_1(ru)^* = T_2((ru)^*) = -T_2(ru)$ and $0 = Q_1(ru)^* = Q_2((ru)^*) = Q_2(-ru) = Q_2(ru)$.

(iii) We first prove that it is enough to show (iii) for invertible *m* by passing to \widehat{J} . Indeed, because of (iii) of Lemma 5.2 it is enough to establish (iii) for \widehat{J} . But for \widehat{J} we know that for any $m \in M$ the element $\widehat{m} = u + tm$ is invertible in \widehat{J} and that \widehat{J} also satisfies Assumption 5.1. Let \widehat{R} be the ideal of \widehat{C} generated by $R \subseteq C \subseteq \widehat{C}$, that is $\widehat{R} = R((t))$. Then $\widehat{R} \cap \widehat{C}_0 = (R \cap C_0)((t)) = 0$ follows. Thus, without loss of generality we can assume that *m* is invertible.

We then pass to the isotope \tilde{J} , and note that \tilde{J} satisfies the assumptions of this proposition. Moreover, because of (ii) of Lemma 5.3, it will be sufficient to prove (iii) for $m = \tilde{u} \in \tilde{J}$, or equivalently for $u \in J$. But (iii) for m = u is just (ii).

(iv) now follows easily from (iii) since $Q_2(rm) = 0$ implies $Q_1(rm)^2 = P(Q_1(rm))e_1 = P(rm)P(e_2)P(rm)e_1 = P(rm)P(e_2)Q_2(rm) = 0$.

(v) We have $T_2(rm)^2 = P(T_2(rm))e_2 = P(\{rm, e_1, u\})e_2$, where, by [L, JP21] and because of $P(e_1)u = 0$ and $Q_2(rm) = 0$ by (iii),

$$P(\{rm, e_1, u\})e_2 = P(rm)P(e_1)P(u)e_2 + P(u)P(e_1)P(rm)e_2 + L(rm, e_1)P(u)L(e_1, rm)e_2 - P(P(rm)P(e_1)u, u)e_2 = Q_2(rm) + Q_1(rm)^* + Q_2(rm, P(u)rm) = Q_1(rm)^* + Q_2(rm, (rm)^*).$$

By 2.19, $(rm)^* = r^*m^* = r^*(T_1(m) \cdot u - m) = L(T_1(m))r^*u - r^*m$ where in the last equality we used 2.6 and the fact that C^* is the subalgebra generated by $L(J_2)$, and hence commutes with *C*. Since $r^{\pi} = -r$ we then get from 2.14 and 2.20 that

$$Q_{2}(rm, (rm)^{*}) = Q_{2}(rm, r^{*}(T_{1}(m) \cdot u - m))$$

= $Q_{2}(m, r^{\pi}L(T_{1}(m))r^{*}u) - Q_{2}(m, r^{\pi}r^{*}m)$
= $-Q_{2}(m, rL(T_{1}(m))r^{\pi}u) + Q_{2}(m, rr^{*}m)$
= $Q_{2}(m, rr^{*}m)$

since $rL(T_1(m))r^{\pi} = 0$ by (i). Therefore, if we can establish $Q_2(m, rr^*m) = 0$ we are done. As in the proof of (iii) we imbed J into \hat{J} . Then Lemma 5.2(iii) shows that it is sufficient to prove this for an invertible m. But for invertible m we have $C^*m \subseteq Cm$, since by [L, JP21] and 2.5

$$L(x_2)m = P(x_2, m)e_2 = P(P(m)P(m)^{-1}x_2, m)e_2$$

= $L(m, P(m)^{-1}x_2)P(m)e_2 = \{Q_1(m), P(m)^{-1}x_2, m\}$
= $L(Q_1(m))L(\overline{P(m)^{-1}x_2})m \in Cm.$

Hence $Q_2(m, RC^*m) \subseteq Q_2(m, RCm) \subseteq Q_2(m, Rm) = 0$ by (iii).

(vi) In case (a) we have, using 2.17, $Q_2(RM, M) = Q_2(RCu, Cu) \subseteq Q_2(Ru, Cu) = T_2(R^{\pi}Cu) = T_2(RCu) \subseteq T_2(Ru)$. Now the claim follows from (ii).

In case (b) first note that neither $J_2 = J_1^*$ contains a nonzero $x_2 \in J_2$ with $x_2^2 = 0$. It then follows from (iv) and (v) that

$$T_2(RM) = 0.$$
 (2)

Next we show

$$Q_2(RM, m) = 0$$
 for invertible $m \in M$. (3)

Indeed, applying Lemma 5.3, in particular (ii) and (iii), we see that it suffices to prove (3) for m = u, in which case it reduces to (2). Finally, we can show that $Q_2(RM, m) = 0$ for arbitrary $m \in M$: By Lemma 5.2 it suffices to show $\widehat{Q}_2(RM, \widehat{m}) = 0$, for $\widehat{m} = u + tm$. But this holds by (3) since \widehat{J} satisfies our assumptions. \Box

Recall that C_0 is a Jordan triple system with $P(c_0)(d_0) = c_0 \overline{d_0} c_0$ and that $L: J_1 \to C_0, x_1 \mapsto L(x_1)$ is a nonzero specialization (see 2.7). In particular, $L(x_1^2) = (L(x_1))^2$ and if Assumption 5.1 holds then $J_1 \cong_A C_0$ as graded Jordan triple systems if J is graded by Λ .

Lemma 5.5. Suppose *J* is graded-triangulated and satisfies Assumption 5.1 with respect to $(u; e_1, e_2) \subseteq J^0$. Let *R* be a π -invariant graded ideal of *C* such that $R \cap C_0 = 0$. If C_0 does not contain nonzero homogeneous elements which square to zero, then $Q_2(RM, m) = 0$ for invertible homogeneous $m \in M$.

Proof. We pass from *J* to the isotope \tilde{J} of Lemma 5.3. Since by that lemma all our assumptions are maintained, it follows from (ii) of Lemma 5.3 that it suffices to prove $T_2(RM) = 0$. To do so, let $r \in R$ and $n \in M$ be homogeneous elements. By Proposition 5.4(iv), $L(Q_1(rn))^2 = L(Q_1(rn)^2) = 0$, which implies that $Q_1(rn) = 0$ by our assumptions. But then by Proposition 5.4(v), $L(T_2(rn))^2 = L(T_2(rn)^2) = L(Q_1(rn)^*) = 0$. Since $C_0 \cong_A J_1$ does not contain nonzero elements with square 0, the same holds for $C_0^* = L(J_2)$. Hence $T_2(rn) = 0$ follows, and this implies $T_2(RM) = 0$ as desired. \Box

Lemma 5.6. Suppose J is graded-triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$. Then $C' := C\{c - c^{\pi}: c \in C\}C = C[C, C]C$ is a $(\pi, -)$ -graded ideal of C such that $C'M \subseteq Cu$.

Proof. The first part of the lemma is straightforward. That $C'M \subseteq Cu$ follows from [MN, 1.6.13]. \Box

Assumption 5.7. *J* is graded-triangulated with grading group Λ and fulfills Assumption 5.1 with respect to a triangle $(u; e_1, e_2) \subseteq J^0$.

Lemma 5.8. Suppose J fulfills Assumption 5.7. If R is a maximal $(\pi, -)$ -invariant and graded ideal of C with $R \cap C_0 = 0$, then M = Cu or C_0 does not contain nonzero homogeneous elements with trivial square.

Proof. If *R* is a maximal $(\pi, \bar{})$ -invariant and graded ideal of *C* with $R \cap C_0 = 0$, consider the $(\pi, \bar{})$ -graded-simple algebra $\check{C} := C/R$ and let $\varphi : C \to \check{C}$ be the canonical epimorphism. Since φ is homogeneous of degree 0, the ideal $\varphi(C') = \check{C}' = \check{C}[\check{C},\check{C}]\check{C}$ is graded and invariant under the induced maps $\check{\pi}$ and $c \mapsto \check{c}$, whence either $\check{C}' = \check{C}$ or $\check{C}' = 0$. If $\check{C}' = \check{C}$, then $\check{1} \in \check{C}'$ and so 1 = c' + r where $c' \in C'$. Now $1^2 = 1 = c'^2 + c'r + rc' + r^2$, but $r^2 = 0$ by Proposition 5.4(i). Hence $1 \in C'$ which by Lemma 5.6 implies $M \subseteq Cu$, so M = Cu.

Otherwise $\check{C}' = 0$. Then $[\check{C}, \check{C}] = 0$, that is, \check{C} is commutative and hence $\check{\pi}$ is trivial. In this case $(\check{C}, \check{-})$ is graded-simple. By Corollary 3.8, \check{C} is either division-graded or the direct sum of two copies of a division-graded algebra with the exchange automorphism. In particular, \check{C} does not contain nonzero

E. Neher, M. Tocón / Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78–113

homogeneous elements with trivial square. So neither does the subspace $\check{C}_0 = \varphi(C_0)$ nor C_0 since $R \cap C_0 = 0$. \Box

Proposition 5.9. Suppose *J* is a graded-triangulated Jordan triple system for which J_1 is graded-simple. Then Assumption 5.7 holds. If *R* is a proper $(\pi, \bar{})$ -invariant graded ideal of *C* then $R \cap C_0 = 0$ and $Q_2(RM, m) = 0$ for invertible homogeneous $m \in M$.

Proof. Since J_1 is graded-simple and the specialization $L: J_1 \rightarrow C_0, x_1 \mapsto L(x_1)$ is nontrivial, it is injective, proving Assumption 5.7. It is then an isomorphism of graded Jordan triple systems, whence C_0 is also graded-simple. Therefore the graded ideal $R \cap C_0$ of the Jordan triple system C_0 must be either 0 or C_0 . But if $R \cap C_0 = C_0$, then $C_0 \subseteq R$ which implies C = R contradicting that R is proper. So $R \cap C_0 = 0$. By Lemma 5.8, M = Cu or C_0 does not contain nonzero homogeneous elements of trivial square. If M = Cu, then $Q_2(RM, M) = 0$ by Proposition 5.4(vi). Otherwise, it follows from Lemma 5.5 that $Q_2(RM, m) = 0$ for invertible homogeneous $m \in M$. \Box

Now we are ready to establish our main result.

Theorem 5.10. (See [MN, 4.3] for $\Lambda = 0$.) Let J be a graded-simple-triangulated Jordan triple system satisfying one of the following conditions

- (a) every nonzero $m \in M$ is a linear combination of invertible homogeneous elements, or
- (b) the grading group Λ is torsion-free.

Then $(C, \pi, -)$ is graded-simple, u is C-faithful, and exactly one of the following two cases holds:

- (i) *C* is not commutative and M = Cu. In this case, $\pi \neq Id$ and *J* is graded isomorphic to the graded-simple diagonal hermitian matrix system H₂(*C*, *C*₀, π , ⁻);
- (ii) *C* is commutative. In this case, $\pi = \text{Id}$ and *J* is graded isomorphic to the graded-simple ample Clifford system AC($q, M, S, C, -, C_0$), where $q(m) = L(Q_1(m))$ and $S(m) = \overline{m}$.

In both cases, the triangles are preserved by the isomorphisms.

Remarks. (1) We point out that the assumptions (a) or (b) are only needed to show that $(C, \pi, -)$ is graded-simple. Our proof shows that *any graded-triangulated Jordan triple system with a graded-simple* $(C, \pi, -)$ *satisfies* (i) *or* (ii)! We also note that hermitian matrix systems are of course also defined for commutative coordinate algebras *C*. But in the commutative case they are isomorphic to ample Clifford systems.

(2) This theorem generalizes [MN, Proposition 4.3]: $\Lambda = 0$ is a special case of our assumption (b). Our proof is slightly different from the proof given in [MN] and in fact corrects a small inaccuracy there: The Isotope Trick [MN, 4.1] cannot be applied since \tilde{J} does not necessarily inherit simplicity from J.

(3) The assumption (a), which, admittedly, looks somewhat funny at first sight, is fulfilled in the most important application of the theorem, the division-triangulated case (Corollary 5.12).

Proof of Theorem 5.10. We will proceed in four steps.

(I) $(C, \pi, -)$ is graded-simple. Let *R* be a maximal $(\pi, -)$ -invariant graded ideal of *C*. Such an ideal *R* exists by Zorn. Our claim (I) then means R = 0. This will follow if we can show rm = 0 for homogeneous $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. Recall that Q_2 is graded-nondegenerate by Proposition 2.23(ii). It is therefore sufficient to prove

$$Q_2(rm) = 0 = Q_2(rm, M)$$
 for homogeneous $r \in R$ and $m \in M$. (1)

Since J_1 is graded-simple by Proposition 2.23(ii), it follows from Proposition 5.9 that J satisfies Assumption 5.7. Since R is in particular proper, it also follows from Proposition 5.9 that $C_0 \cap R = 0$ and

 $Q_2(rm, n) = 0$ for invertible homogeneous $n \in M$. Also, $Q_2(rm) = 0$ by Proposition 5.4(iii). Thus, (1)

We also know from Lemma 5.8 that M = Cu or C_0 does not contain nonzero homogeneous elements with trivial square. But if M = Cu then $Q_2(rm, M) = 0$ by Proposition 5.4(vi), hence again (1) follows. We can therefore assume that C_0 does not have nonzero homogeneous elements with trivial square. We will use our assumption (b) to prove (1) in this case. We claim that in fact C_0 does not contain nonzero elements with trivial square: Let $x = \sum x^{\lambda_i} \in C_0$, with $0 \neq x^{\lambda_i} \in C_0^{\lambda_i}$, such that $x^2 = 0$. Since Λ is torsion-free, it can be ordered (as a group) and we can therefore consider $(x^{\lambda})^2$ for $\lambda = \max{\lambda_i}$. But $x^2 = 0$ implies $(x^{\lambda})^2 = 0$, hence $x^{\lambda} = 0$ by the absence of nonzero homogeneous elements of trivial square, contradiction. Since $(J_1, e_1) \cong_A (C_0, 1)$ as triple systems with tripotents, the subspace J_1 does not contain nonzero elements with trivial square. But then $Q_2(rm, M) = 0 = Q_2(rm)$ follows from (vi) and (iii) of Proposition 5.4.

(II) *u* is *C*-faithful. By (I), we have that *C* is $(\pi, \bar{})$ -graded-simple. Now, $Z = \{z \in C: zu = 0\}$ is obviously a left ideal of *C*. It is also a right ideal since for $d \in C$ and $z \in Z$ we have $zCu = zC^{\pi}u$ (by 2.20) $= zC^*u$ (by 2.6) $= C^*zu = 0$. Also, *Z* is graded since $u \in M^0$, and finally it is $(\pi, \bar{})$ -invariant since $z^{\pi}u = (zu)^* = 0$ by 2.20 and $\bar{z}u = \bar{z}\bar{u} = \bar{z}\bar{u} = 0$. Then *Z* must be *C* or 0. But note that $Z \neq C$ since $1 \notin Z$. Hence Z = 0, that is, *u* is *C*-faithful.

We will now distinguish the two cases $\pi \neq Id$ and $\pi = Id$.

holds in case (a).

(III) $\pi \neq \text{Id}$: Then *C* is noncommutative. Indeed, since $C_0 \subset H(C, \pi)$ generates *C* as an algebra, *C* is commutative iff $\pi = \text{Id}$. Also, there exists a homogeneous $c \in C$ such that $c^{\pi} \neq c$, and then the $(\pi, -)$ -graded ideal $C' = C\{c - c^{\pi}: c \in C\}C$ (Lemma 5.6) is nonzero and hence equals *C*, in particular $1 \in C'$. By Lemma 5.6 again, this implies M = Cu. By Theorem 3.3 *J* is then graded isomorphic to the hermitian matrix system $H_2(C, C_0, \pi, -)$ as claimed in (i).

(IV) $\pi = \text{Id}$: Then *C* is commutative and -graded-simple. We will prove that in this case *J* is graded isomorphic to an ample Clifford system. By *C*-faithfulness, this will follow from Theorem 4.3 as soon as we have established $(x_1 - x_1^*) \cdot m = 0$ for all $x_1 \in J_1$ and $m \in M$. Now by 2.21 we know $(x_1 - x_1^*) \cdot m = \Gamma_1(x_1; m)u$. By linearity of Γ_1 in x_1 and *m*, it therefore remains to prove

$$\Gamma_1(x_1; m) = 0$$
 for homogeneous $x_1 \in J_1$ and $m \in M$. (2)

Since *C* is commutative, 2.22 shows $\Gamma_1(x_1; m)^2 m = 0$, so $\Gamma_1(x_1; m)$ is never invertible. If (C, -) is graded-simple, it is a division-graded algebra and so (2) holds. Otherwise, by Corollary 3.8, identify $C = A \boxplus A$ with the direct sum of two copies of a division-graded commutative algebra *A* and - is the exchange automorphism. Then we have that

$$\Gamma_1(x_1; m) = 0$$
 for all homogeneous ⁻-invariant $x_1 \in J_1$ and $m \in M$. (3)

Let $x_1 \in J_1$ and $m \in M$ be arbitrary homogeneous elements. Since $C = A \boxplus A$ we get $1 = \epsilon + \overline{\epsilon}$ for orthogonal idempotents ϵ and $\overline{\epsilon}$ in C^0 , namely $\epsilon = 1_A$. We now claim that

$$(x_1 - x_1^*) \cdot m = \epsilon (y_1 - y_1^*) \cdot n_1 + \overline{\epsilon} (z_1 - z_1^*) \cdot n_2$$
(4)

for some homogeneous $y_1 = \overline{y_1}$ and $z_1 = \overline{z_1}$ in J_1 and $n_i = \overline{n_i} \in M$. The proof of (4) given in the proof of [MN, 4.4] for $\Lambda = 0$ also works in our setting. But (4) together with (3) implies (2), finishing the proof of the theorem. \Box

From the previous Theorem 5.10 together with Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 4.4(iv) we get the following classification.

Corollary 5.11. (See [MN, 4.4] for $\Lambda = 0$.) A graded-simple-triangulated Jordan triple system satisfying (a) or (b) of Theorem 5.10 is graded isomorphic to one of the following triple systems: non-polarized

E. Neher, M. Tocón / Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78–113

- (I) diagonal H₂(A, A_0 , π , $^-$) for a graded-simple noncommutative A with graded involution π and automorphism $^-$;
- (II) $Mat_2(B)$ with $P(x)y = x\overline{y}x$ for a noncommutative graded-simple associative unital B with graded automorphism $\overline{y_{ij}} = (\overline{y_{ij}})$ for $(y_{ij}) \in Mat_2(B)$;
- (III) $Mat_2(B)$ with $P(x)y = x\overline{y}^t x$ for a noncommutative graded-simple associative unital B with graded involution ι and $\overline{(y_{ij})} = (y_{ij}^t)$ for $(y_{ij}) \in Mat_2(B)$;
- (IV) $AC(q, S, F_0)$ for a graded-nondegenerate q over a graded-field F with Clifford-ample subspace F_0 ;

or polarized

- (V) $H_2(B, B_0, \pi) \oplus H_2(B, B_0, \pi)$ for a diagonal hermitian matrix system $H_2(B, B_0, \pi)$ with graded-simple noncommutative *B*;
- (VI) $Mat_2(B) \oplus Mat_2(B)$ for a noncommutative graded-simple associative unital B with P(x)y = xyx;
- (VII) $AC(q, S, F_0) \oplus AC(q, S, F_0)$ for $AC(q, S, F_0)$ as in (IV).

Conversely, the Jordan triple systems in (I)-(VII) are graded-simple-triangulated.

Corollary 5.12. For a graded-triangulated Jordan triple system J the following are equivalent:

- (i) J is graded-simple and every homogeneous $0 \neq m \in M$ is invertible,
- (ii) J is division-triangulated,
- (iii) *J* is graded isomorphic to one of the following:
 - (I) diagonal hermitian matrix system $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, \bar{})$ for a noncommutative division-graded A.
 - (II) $AC(q, S, F_0)$ for a graded-anisotropic q over a graded-field F with Clifford-ample subspace F_0 .

Proof. If (i) holds we can apply Theorem 5.10: *J* is graded isomorphic to a hermitian matrix system or to an ample Clifford system, and *C* is *u*-faithful. The assumption on *M* together with Corollaries 3.12 and 4.5 then show that *J* is graded isomorphic to one of the two cases in (iii) and that *J* is division-triangulated. The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is trivial, and (iii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from the quoted corollaries. \Box

Corollary 5.13. A graded Jordan triple system J over a field k is a triangulated Jordan triple torus iff J is graded isomorphic to

- (I) a diagonal hermitian matrix system $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, \bar{})$ for a noncommutative torus A, or to
- (II) an ample Clifford system AC(D, q, M) with D, M as described in Corollary 4.5(b).

Proof. This follows from Corollary 5.12 and the description of tori in Lemma 3.12 and Corollary 4.5. \Box

Corollary 5.14. *J* is a \mathbb{Z}^n -triangulated Jordan triple torus iff J is graded isomorphic to

- (I) a diagonal hermitian matrix system $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, \overline{})$ where A is a quantum \mathbb{Z}^n -torus, see Example 3.13 and $\pi = \pi_{rev}$ is the reversal involution, or to
- (II) an ample Clifford system as described in Example 4.6.

Proof. All statements follow from the quoted references. Note that by construction D_0 generates D in the Clifford case, so that we are indeed in the setting of Example 4.6. \Box

6. Graded-simple-triangulated Jordan algebras and Jordan pairs

In this section we specialize our results on graded-triangulated Jordan triple systems to Jordan algebras and Jordan pairs: We classify graded-simple-triangulated Jordan algebras (Theorem 6.3) and

Jordan pairs (Theorem 6.12), and we deduce from these theorems the classifications of division-triangulated Jordan algebras and pairs (Corollaries 6.5, 6.14). As an example, we describe the classification of \mathbb{Z}^n -triangulated Jordan algebra tori (Corollary 6.6) and Jordan pair tori (Corollary 6.15).

In this paper all Jordan algebras are assumed to be unital, with unit element denoted 1 or 1_J if we need to be more precise, and with Jordan product written as $U_x y$. A homomorphism of Jordan algebras is a *k*-linear map $f: J \to J'$ satisfying $f(U_x y) = U_{f(x)}f(y)$ and $f(1_J) = 1_{J'}$.

In order to apply our results we will view Jordan algebras as Jordan triple systems with identity elements. Thus, to a Jordan algebra J we associate the Jordan triple system T(J) defined on the k-module J with Jordan triple product $P_x y = U_x y$. The element $1_J \in J$ satisfies $P(1_J) = Id$. Conversely, every Jordan triple system T containing an element $1 \in T$ with P(1) = Id is a Jordan algebra with unit element 1 and multiplication $U_x y = P_x y$.

For many concepts there is no or not a big difference between J and T(J). For example, a Jordan algebra J is graded by Λ if and only if T(J) is graded by Λ , in which case $1_J \in J^0$. In this case, a graded ideal of J is the same as a graded ideal of T(J), and we will call J graded-simple if T(J) is so. Moreover, if $e \in J$ is an idempotent, i.e., $e^2 = e$, then e is a tripotent of T(J) and the Peirce spaces of J and T(J) with respect to e coincide, i.e., $T(J_i(e)) = T(J)_i(e)$, i = 0, 1, 2. In particular, the Peirce spaces $J_i(e)$ are graded if $e \in J^0$. We thus get the following corollary from Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 6.1. If *J* is a graded-simple Jordan algebra with an idempotent $0 \neq e \in J^0$, then the Peirce space $J_2(e)$ is a graded-simple Jordan algebra, and if $J_0(e) \neq 0$ then $J_0(e)$ is graded-simple too.

A graded Jordan algebra *J* is called *graded-triangulated* by $(u; e_1, e_2)$ if $e_i = e_i^2 \in J^0$, i = 1, 2, are supplementary orthogonal idempotents and $u \in J_1(e_1)^0 \cap J_1(e_2)^0$ with $u^2 = 1$ and $u^3 = u$. It is called Λ -triangulated if it is graded-triangulated and $\operatorname{supp}_{\Lambda} J$ generates Λ as a group. Note that any $x \in J$ with $x^2 = 1$ satisfies $2x^3 = x^2 \circ x = 2x$ and $U_{x^3-x} = U_x U_{x^2-1} = 0$ by [J, (1.5.4) and (3.3.4)], whence $x^2 = 1$ implies $x^3 = x$ in case $\frac{1}{2} \in k$ or x is homogeneous and J is graded-nondegenerate. Of course, we also have $x^2 = 1 \Rightarrow x^3 = x$ if J is special. In any case, with our definition of a triangle in a Jordan algebra, J is graded-triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$ iff T(J) is graded-triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$. Also, J is Λ -triangulated iff T(J) is so.

This close relation to graded-triangulated Jordan triple systems also indicates how to get examples of graded-triangulated Jordan algebras: We take a Jordan triple system which is graded-triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$ and require P(e) = Id for $e = e_1 + e_2$. Doing this for our two basic examples, yields the following examples of graded-triangulated Jordan algebras.

Definition 6.2. (A) *Hermitian matrix algebras*: This is the graded Jordan triple system $H_2(A, A_0, \pi, \overline{})$ of Definition 3.1 with automorphism $\overline{} = Id$, which we will write as $H_2(A, A_0, \pi)$. Note that this is a Jordan algebra with product U(x)y = P(x)y = xyx and identity element $1_J = E_{11} + E_{22}$. If for example $A = B \boxplus B^{op}$ and π is the exchange involution, then $H_2(A, A_0, \pi) \cong_A Mat_2(B)$ where $Mat_2(B)$ is the Jordan algebra with product $U_x y = xyx$.

(B) *Quadratic form Jordan algebras*: This is the graded ample Clifford system AC($q, M, S, D, -, D_0$) of Definition 4.2 with automorphism - = Id and $S|_M = \text{Id}$. Since then P(e) = Id we get indeed a graded-triangulated Jordan algebra denoted AC_{alg}(q, M, D, D_0) or just AC_{alg}(q, D, D_0) if M is unimportant. Note that this Jordan algebra is defined on $D_0e_1 \oplus M \oplus D_0e_2$ and has product $U_x y = q(x, \tilde{y})x - q(x)\tilde{y}$ where $q(d_1e_1 \oplus m \oplus d_2e_2) = d_1d_2 - q(m)$ and $(d_1e_1 \oplus m \oplus d_2e_2) = d_2e_1 \oplus -m \oplus d_1e_1$. (If $\frac{1}{2} \in k$ it is therefore a reduced spin factor in the sense of [M2, II, §3.4].)

Theorem 6.3. A graded-simple-triangulated Jordan algebra satisfying

(a) every nonzero $m \in M$ is a linear combination of invertible homogeneous elements, or

(b) the grading group Λ is torsion-free,

is graded isomorphic to one of the following Jordan algebras:

E. Neher, M. Tocón / Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78-113

- (I) diagonal $H_2(A, A_0, \pi)$ for a graded-simple noncommutative A;
- (II) $Mat_2(B)$ for a noncommutative graded-simple associative unital B;
- (III) $AC_{alg}(q, F, F_0)$ for a graded-nondegenerate q over a graded-field F with Clifford-ample subspace F_0 .

Conversely, all Jordan algebras in (I)–(III) are graded-simple-triangulated.

Proof. Let *J* be a graded-simple-triangulated Jordan algebra that satisfies (a) or (b). Then T(J) is a graded-simple-triangulated Jordan triple system with $e = 1_J$ satisfying (a) or (b) of Theorem 5.10, hence graded isomorphic as Jordan triple system to $H_2(C, C_0, \pi)$, where (C, π) is graded-simple, or to $AC_{alg}(q, C, C_0)$, where *C* is division-graded. But because the graded isomorphisms appearing in Theorem 5.10 preserve the triangles, they are in fact isomorphisms of Jordan algebras. Therefore *J* is graded isomorphic to $H_2(C, C_0, \pi)$, where (C, π) is graded-simple, or to $AC_{alg}(q, C, C_0)$, where *C* is a graded-field. In the second case *J* is of type (III) of the statement for $F_0 = C_0$ and F = C. On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 3.7 that (C, π) is graded-simple iff either *C* is graded-simple or $C \cong_A B \boxplus B$ for a graded-simple, is as in (I) or (II) of the statement. The converse follows from Corollary 5.11. \Box

Definition 6.4. As in the Jordan triple system case, a graded Jordan algebra J is called *division-graded* if every nonzero homogeneous element is invertible in J.

We say that *J* is *division-triangulated* if it is graded-triangulated, the Jordan algebras J_i , i = 1, 2, are division-graded and every homogeneous $0 \neq m \in M$ is invertible in *J*. It is called *division-* Λ *-triangulated* if it is division-triangulated as well as Λ -graded.

A division-(Λ)-triangulated Jordan algebra J is called a (Λ)-triangulated Jordan algebra torus if J is defined over a field k and dim_k $J_i^{\lambda} \leq 1$ and dim_k $M^{\lambda} \leq 1$.

Thus, *J* is a division-(Λ)-triangulated Jordan algebra iff T(J) is a division-(Λ)-triangulated Jordan triple system. We therefore get the Jordan algebra versions of the Corollaries 5.12–5.14. We formulate the first and last of them, and leave the translation of the second, Corollary 5.13, to the reader.

Corollary 6.5. For a graded-triangulated Jordan algebra *J* the following are equivalent:

- (i) J is graded-simple and every homogeneous $0 \neq m \in M$ is invertible,
- (ii) J is division-triangulated,
- (iii) J is graded isomorphic to one of the following:
 - (I) a diagonal hermitian matrix algebra $H_2(A, A_0, \pi)$ for a noncommutative division-graded A;
 - (II) a quadratic form Jordan algebra $AC_{alg}(q, F, F_0)$ for a graded-anisotropic q over a graded-field F with *Clifford-ample subspace* F_0 .

Corollary 6.6. A graded Jordan algebra J over a field k is a \mathbb{Z}^n -triangulated Jordan algebra torus iff J is graded isomorphic to

- (I) a diagonal hermitian matrix algebra $H_2(A, A_0, \pi)$ where A is a noncommutative quantum \mathbb{Z}^n -torus and π_{rev} is the reversal involution, see Example 3.13, or to
- (II) a quadratic form Jordan algebra $AC_{alg}(q, M, D, D_0) = D_0 e_1 \oplus M \oplus D e_2$, where
 - (a) $D = k[\Gamma]$ is the group algebra of a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $2\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \Gamma$, hence Γ is free of rank n and D is isomorphic to a Laurent polynomial ring in n variables,
 - (b) D_0 is a Clifford-ample subspace, hence $D_0 = D$ if char $k \neq 2$,
 - (c) *M* is a \mathbb{Z}^n -graded *D*-module which is free of finite rank, with a homogeneous basis, say $\{u_0, \ldots, u_l\}$, and the u_i have degree $\delta_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ with $\delta_0 = 0$ and $\delta_i + \Gamma \neq \delta_j + \Gamma$ for $i \neq i$,
 - (d) the D-quadratic form $q: M \to D$ satisfies $q(u_0) = 1$, $0 \neq q(u_i) \in D^{2\delta_i}$ and $q(u_i, u_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Remark 6.7. For $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ Corollary 6.6 is proven in [AG, Propositions 4.53 and 4.80] and in an equivalent form (structurable algebras instead of Jordan algebras) in [F, §3, Theorem 9], assuming char $k \neq 2$, 3 [F] or $k = \mathbb{C}$ in [AG].

104

Let now $V = (V^+, V^-)$ be a Jordan pair. A grading of *V* by *A* is a decomposition $V^{\sigma} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} V^{\sigma}[\lambda]$, $\sigma = \pm$, such that the associated polarized Jordan triple system T(V) is graded with homogeneous spaces $T(V)^{\lambda} = V^+[\lambda] \oplus V^-[\lambda]$. The properties of graded Jordan triple systems we have considered so far in this paper make sense for graded Jordan pairs too. For example, a graded Jordan pair *V* is graded-nondegenerate if every homogeneous absolute zero divisor of V^+ or V^- vanishes. It is immediate that *V* is graded-nondegenerate if and only if T(V) is graded-nondegenerate. As usual, *V* is said to be graded-simple if $Q(V^{\sigma})V^{-\sigma} \neq 0$ and every graded ideal is either trivial or equal to *V*; *V* is graded-prime if it does not contain nonzero graded ideals *I* and *K* such that $Q(I^{\sigma})K^{-\sigma} = 0$ and graded-semiprime if $Q(I^{\sigma})I^{-\sigma} = 0$ implies I = 0. For properties defined in terms of ideals we have the following lemma, whose proof is again a straightforward adaptation of the proof in the ungraded situation.

Lemma 6.8. (See [N1, 1.5] for $\Lambda = 0$.) Let V be a graded Jordan pair.

(i) If $I = (I^+, I^-)$ is a graded ideal of V, then $I^+ \oplus I^-$ is a graded ideal of T(V). (ii) Let $\pi^{\sigma} : T(V) \to V^{\sigma}$, $\sigma = \pm$, be the canonical projection. If J is a graded ideal of T(V), then

 $J := (J \cap V^+, J \cap V^-) \text{ and } \widetilde{J} := (\pi^+(J), \pi^-(J)),$

are graded ideals of V satisfying $J^+ \oplus J^- \subseteq J \subseteq \widetilde{J}^+ \oplus \widetilde{J}^-$ and

$$Q(\widetilde{J}^{\sigma})V^{-\sigma} + Q(V^{\sigma})\widetilde{J}^{-\sigma} + \{V^{\sigma}V^{-\sigma}\widetilde{J}^{\sigma}\} \subseteq \underline{J}^{\sigma}.$$

(iii) V is graded-(semi)prime or graded-simple if and only if T(V) is, respectively, graded-(semi)prime or graded-simple.

Idempotents in a Jordan pair *V* and tripotents in T(V) correspond to each other naturally: Any idempotent $e = (e^+, e^-)$ of *V*, i.e., $Q(e^{\sigma})e^{-\sigma} = e^{\sigma}$, gives rise to the tripotent $e^+ \oplus e^-$ of T(V), and conversely any tripotent of T(V) arises in this way. Moreover, we have the following obvious though fundamental fact. If $V = V_2(e) \oplus V_1(e) \oplus V_0(e)$ is the Peirce decomposition of *V* with respect to an idempotent $e = (e^+, e^-)$, then the Peirce spaces of T(V) with respect to $e^+ \oplus e^-$ are $T(V)_i(e^+ \oplus e^-) = T(V_i(e))$, i = 0, 1, 2. The following corollary is a consequence of Lemma 6.8(iii) and Theorem 1.4.

Corollary 6.9. If $0 \neq e \in V[0]$ is an idempotent of a graded-simple Jordan pair V, then the Peirce space $V_2(e)$ is graded-simple and if $V_0(e) \neq 0$, then $V_0(e)$ is also graded-simple.

Definition 6.10. Recall [N3] that a triple of nonzero idempotents $(u; e_1, e_2)$ of a Jordan pair V is a *triangle* if $e_i \in V_0(e_j)$, $i \neq j$, $e_i \in V_2(u)$, i = 1, 2, $u \in V_1(e_1) \cap V_1(e_2)$, and the following multiplication rules hold for $\sigma = \pm$: $Q(u^{\sigma})e_i^{-\sigma} = e_j^{\sigma}$, $i \neq j$, and $Q(e_1^{\sigma}, e_2^{\sigma})u^{-\sigma} = u^{\sigma}$.

A graded Jordan pair *V* is said to be *graded-triangulated* if *V* contains a triangle $(u; e_1, e_2)$ in *V*[0] and $V = V_1 \oplus M \oplus V_2$, where $V_i = V_2(e_i)$, i = 1, 2, and $M = V_1(e_1) \cap V_1(e_2)$. It is then immediate that *V* is graded-triangulated if and only if *T*(*V*) is so. Naturally, we call *V A*-triangulated if *T*(*V*) is so. However, rather than applying the Jordan triple classification to graded-(*A*)-triangulated Jordan pairs, we will use the Jordan algebra Classification Theorem 6.3. Namely, it is well known [N3, p. 470] that *V* is covered by a triangle $(u; e_1, e_2)$ if and only if $V \cong (J, J)$ where *J* is the homotope algebra $J = V^{+(e^-)}$, $e = e_1 + e_2$, with multiplication $U_x y = Q(x)Q(e^-)y$ and unit element e^+ , which is covered by the triangle $(u^+; e_1^+, e_2^+)$. If *V* is graded-(*A*)-triangulated then so is *J*, and $V \cong_A (J, J)$, since the isomorphism $V \cong (J, J)$ is given by (Id, $Q(e^-)$). Conversely, if *J* is a graded-(*A*)-triangulated Jordan algebra (or Jordan triple system), then the associated Jordan pair (J, J) is graded-(*A*)-triangulated. We therefore obtain the following two types of examples of graded-triangulated Jordan pairs. E. Neher, M. Tocón / Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78–113

Example 6.11.

- (A') Hermitian matrix pairs: V = (J, J) where $J = H_2(A, A_0, \pi)$ is a hermitian matrix algebra as in example (A) of Definition 6.2.
- (B') *Quadratic form pairs*: V = (J, J) where $J = AC_{alg}(q, D, D_0)$ is a quadratic form Jordan algebra as in example (B) of Definition 6.2. We note that $V \cong_A (AC(q, Id, D_0), AC(q, Id, D_0))$ where $AC(q, Id, D_0)$ is the corresponding ample Clifford system.

Theorem 6.12. A graded-simple-triangulated Jordan pair satisfying

- (a) every nonzero $m \in M^{\sigma}$ is a linear combination of invertible homogeneous elements, or
- (b) the grading group Λ is torsion-free,

is graded isomorphic to a Jordan pair (J, J) where J is a graded-simple-triangulated Jordan algebra as described in Theorem 6.3. Conversely, all these Jordan pairs (J, J) are graded-simple-triangulated.

Proof. Let *V* be graded-simple-triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$. Then *V* is graded isomorphic to the Jordan pair of the unital Jordan algebra $J = V^{+(e^{-})}$. The algebra *J* is then graded-simple with $J^{\lambda} = V^{+}[\lambda]$, and graded-triangulated by $(u^+; e_1^+, e_2^+)$. Thus *J* is graded isomorphic to an algebra described in Theorem 6.3. Conversely, let V = (J, J) be the Jordan pair for *J* as in (I)–(III). It follows from Theorem 5.11 that the associated Jordan triple system T(V) is graded-simple-triangulated, hence *V* is graded-simple-triangulated by Lemma 6.8(iii). \Box

Definition 6.13. A graded Jordan pair *V* is said to be *division-graded* if it is nonzero and every nonzero element in $V^{\sigma}[\lambda]$ is invertible in $V[\lambda]$. A graded-triangulated Jordan pair *V* will be called *division-triangulated*, respectively *division-* Λ *-triangulated*, if the associated Jordan triple system $T(V) = V^+ \oplus V^-$ is so. Similarly, a division-triangulated Jordan pair defined over a field *k* is a *triangulated Jordan pair torus* if dim_k $V_i^{\sigma}[\lambda] \leq 1$, i = 1, 2, and dim_k $M^{\sigma}[\lambda] \leq 1$ for $\sigma = \pm$. The notion of a Λ *-triangulated Jordan pair torus* is the obvious one.

Since invertibility in V is equivalent to invertibility in the unital Jordan algebra associated to V, we get the following corollaries.

Corollary 6.14. For a graded-triangulated Jordan pair V the following are equivalent:

- (i) *V* is graded-simple and every homogeneous $0 \neq m \in M^{\sigma}$, $\sigma = \pm$, is invertible,
- (ii) V is division-triangulated,
- (iii) V is graded isomorphic to the Jordan pair (J, J) where J is one of the Jordan algebras of Corollary 6.5.

Corollary 6.15. *V* is a triangulated Jordan pair torus iff V is graded isomorphic to a Jordan pair (J, J) where *J* is one of the following:

- (I) $J = H_2(A, A_0, \pi)$ is a diagonal hermitian matrix algebra of a noncommutative torus A;
- (II) $J = AC_{alg}(q, F, F_0)$ for a graded-anisotropic q over a graded-field F with Clifford-ample subspace F_0 , with F = D and M as described in Corollary 4.5(b).

7. Graded-simple Lie algebras of type B₂

In this section we apply our results on graded-simple-triangulated Jordan algebras and pairs from the previous Section 6 and obtain a classification of (B_2, Λ) -graded-simple and centreless division- (B_2, Λ) -graded Lie algebras in Theorem 7.12 and Theorem 7.13. In particular, we classify centreless Lie tori of type (B_2, Λ) in Corollary 7.14.

We begin by recalling the relevant definitions from the theory of root-graded Lie algebras (Definition 7.1 and Definition 7.3). The link to triangulated Jordan structures is given by the Tits-Kantor-

Since we realize B_2 -graded Lie algebras as central extensions of Tits–Kantor–Koecher algebras of triangulated Jordan pairs, we assume in this section that all Lie algebras, Jordan pairs and related algebraic structures are defined over a ring k in which $2 \cdot 1_k$ and $3 \cdot 1_k$ are invertible.

Definition 7.1. Let *R* be a finite reduced root system (*R* could even only be locally finite in the sense of [LN1]). We suppose that $0 \in R$, and denote by Q(R) the root lattice of *R*. A Lie algebra *L* over *k* is called (*R*, Λ)-graded if

(1) *L* has a compatible Q(R)- and Λ -gradings, i.e., $L = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L^{\lambda}$ and $L = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q(R)} L_{\alpha}$ such that for $L_{\alpha}^{\lambda} = L^{\lambda} \cap L_{\alpha}$ we have

$$L_{\alpha} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L_{\alpha}^{\lambda}, \qquad L^{\lambda} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}(R)} L_{\alpha}^{\lambda}, \quad \text{and} \quad \left[L_{\alpha}^{\lambda}, L_{\beta}^{\kappa}\right] \subseteq L_{\alpha+\beta}^{\lambda+\kappa},$$

for $\lambda, \kappa \in \Lambda$, $\alpha, \beta \in Q(R)$.

- (2) $\{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}(R): L_{\alpha} \neq 0\} \subseteq R.$
- (3) For every $0 \neq \alpha \in R$ the homogeneous space L^0_{α} contains an element $e \neq 0$ that is *invertible* in the following sense: There exists $f \in L^0_{-\alpha}$ such that h = [e, f] acts on L_{β} , $\beta \in R$, by

$$[h, x_{\beta}] = \langle \beta, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle x_{\beta}, \quad x_{\beta} \in L_{\beta}$$
(7.1)

where $\langle \alpha, \beta^{\vee} \rangle$ denotes the Cartan integer of the two roots $\alpha, \beta \in R$.

(4) $L_0 = \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{R}} [L_\alpha, L_{-\alpha}]$, and $\{\lambda \in \Lambda : L_\alpha^\lambda \neq 0 \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ spans Λ as abelian group.

It follows that $\{\alpha \in Q(R): L_{\alpha} \neq 0\} = R$. Also, any invertible element *e* generates an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (e, h, f). If Λ is not spanned by the support, we will simply speak of an *R*-graded Lie algebra with a compatible Λ -grading. An (R, Λ) -graded or *R*-graded Lie algebra with a compatible Λ -grading is graded-simple if it is graded-simple with respect to the Λ -grading. A Lie algebra is (R, Λ) -graded or *R*-graded and graded-simple, respectively *R*-graded with a compatible graded-simple Λ -grading.

The definition of a root-graded Lie algebra is taken from [N3]. Originally, root-graded Lie algebras were defined over fields of characteristic 0 by a different system of axioms, [BM] and [BZ]. As explained in [N3, Remark 2.1.2], an *R*-graded Lie algebra in the sense of [BZ] and [BM] is the same as an *R*-graded Lie algebra as defined above. A lot is known about the structure of root-graded Lie algebras, see [N4, 5.10] for a summary of results. We will use here that *L* is a Lie algebra graded by a 3-graded root system *R* iff *L* is a central covering of the Tits–Kantor–Koecher algebra TKK(*V*) of a Jordan pair *V* covered by a grid whose associated root system is *R* [N3, 2.7].

7.2. Review of TKK-algebras. Recall, see e.g. [N3, 1.5], that the Tits–Kantor–Koecher algebra TKK(V) of a Jordan pair V, in short the *TKK-algebra of* $V = (V^+, V^-)$, is a \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie algebra defined on the k-module

$$\mathsf{TKK}(V) = V^{-} \oplus \delta(V^{+}, V^{-}) \oplus V^{+}$$

where $\delta(V^+, V^-)$ is the span of all inner derivations $\delta(x, y) = (D(x, y), -D(y, x))$, $(x, y) \in V$, of V. The \mathbb{Z} -grading TKK $(V) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} TKK(V)_{(i)}$ is a 3-grading in the sense that it has support $\{0, \pm 1\}$, namely TKK $(V)_{(\pm 1)} = V^{\pm}$ and TKK $(V)_{(0)} = \delta(V^+, V^-)$. The Lie algebra product is determined by $[x^+, y^-] = \delta(x^+, y^-)$ and by the natural action of $\delta(V^+, V^-)$ on V^{\pm} : $[\delta(x^+, y^-), u^+] = \{x^+, y^-, u^+\}$ and $[\delta(x^+, y^-), v^-] = -\{y^-, x^+, v^-\}$. It is important for the connection between Jordan theory

E. Neher, M. Tocón / Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78-113

and Lie algebras that, conversely, for any 3-graded Lie algebra $L = L_{(1)} \oplus L_{(0)} \oplus L_{(-1)}$ the "wings" $(L_{(1)}, L_{(-1)})$ form a Jordan pair V_L with Jordan triple product

$$\left\{x^{\sigma}, y^{-\sigma}, z^{\sigma}\right\} = \left[\left[x^{\sigma}, y^{-\sigma}\right], z^{\sigma}\right]$$
(7.2)

for $x^{\sigma}, z^{\sigma} \in V^{\sigma} = L_{(\sigma 1)}$ and $y^{-\sigma} \in V^{-\sigma} = L_{(-\sigma 1)}$, $\sigma = \pm$. Moreover, the ideal $L' = L_{(-1)} \oplus [L_{(-1)}, L_{(1)}] \oplus L_{(1)}$ of *L* is a central extension of the TKK-algebra TKK(*V*_{*L*}), namely $L'/C \cong$ TKK(*V*_{*L*}) for

$$C = \left\{ d \in [L_{(-1)}, L_{(1)}] \colon [d, L_{\pm(1)}] = 0 \right\}.$$

We note that because of $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{3} \in k$, a Jordan pair can be defined by the Jordan triple products {.,.,.}. Formula (7.2) is crucial: It allows one to transfer properties between the Jordan pair and the associated Lie algebras. An example is Proposition 7.4 below.

A grading of *V* by Λ extends to a grading of TKK(*V*) by Λ using the canonical grading of $\delta(V^+, V^-)$. The gradings of TKK(*V*) used in the following will all be induced in this way from gradings of *V*. We point out that supp_{Λ} *V* \subseteq supp_{Λ} TKK(*V*), but both span the same subgroup of Λ .

Definition 7.3. To define special cases of root-graded Lie algebras, we extend the definition of an *invertible element* to any $e \in L^{\lambda}_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \neq 0$, requiring the *inverse* $f \in L^{-\lambda}_{-\alpha}$ and Eq. (7.1) for h = [e, f]. Then, an (R, Λ) -graded or R-graded Lie algebra L with a compatible Λ -grading is *division-graded* if every nonzero element in L^{λ}_{α} , $\alpha \neq 0$, is invertible, and a *Lie torus* if it is division-graded, k is a field and $\dim_k L^{\lambda}_{\alpha} \leq 1$ for all $0 \neq \alpha \in R$. As usual, in this case we will speak of a *division-(R, \Lambda)-graded* Lie algebra and a *Lie torus of type (R, \Lambda)*, or *division-R-graded* Lie algebras and *Lie tori of type R* if Λ is not necessarily spanned by the Λ -support.

We now specialize $R = B_2 = C_2 = \{0\} \cup \{\pm \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2\} \cup \{\pm 2\varepsilon_1, \pm 2\varepsilon_2\}$ and observe that R is 3-graded with 1-part $R_1 = \{2\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2, 2\varepsilon_2\}$ (an isomorphic realization of this 3-graded root system will be used in Example 7.11).

Proposition 7.4.

(a) The TKK-algebra TKK(V) of a graded-triangulated Jordan pair $V = V_1 \oplus M \oplus V_2$ is B₂-graded with compatible Λ -grading. Its homogeneous spaces are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{TKK}(V)_{\pm 2\varepsilon_{i}}^{\lambda} &= V_{i}^{\pm}[\lambda],\\ \mathrm{TKK}(V)_{\pm(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2})}^{\lambda} &= M^{\pm}[\lambda],\\ \mathrm{TKK}(V)_{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{j}}^{\lambda} &= \delta\left(e_{i}^{+}, M^{-}[\lambda]\right), \quad (i, j) \in \left\{(1, 2), (2, 1)\right\},\\ \mathrm{TKK}(V)_{0}^{\lambda} &= \sum_{i=1,2} \delta\left(e_{i}^{+}, V_{i}^{-}[\lambda]\right) + \delta\left(u^{+}, M^{-}[\lambda]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if *L* is a B₂-graded Lie algebra with compatible Λ -grading, then its centre *Z*(*L*) is contained in *L*₀, namely *Z*(*L*) = { $x \in L_0$: [x, L_α] = 0 for $\alpha \in (\pm R_1)$ }, and *L*/*Z*(*L*) is graded isomorphic to the TKKalgebra of the graded-triangulated Jordan pair $V = (V^+, V^-)$ given by

$$V_i^{\pm}[\lambda] = L_{\pm 2\varepsilon_i}^{\lambda}$$
 and $M^{\pm}[\lambda] = L_{\pm(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}^{\lambda}$

(b) Let *L* be a B_2 -graded Lie algebra with compatible Λ -grading and let *V* be the associated gradedtriangulated Jordan pair defined in (a). Then *L* is

(i) graded-simple if and only if L = TKK(V) and V is graded-simple;

109

- (ii) division-graded if and only if V is division-triangulated;
- (iii) a Lie torus if and only if V is a triangulated Jordan pair torus;
- (iv) (B₂, Λ)-graded iff V is Λ-triangulated. In particular, L is division-(B₂, Λ)-graded iff V is division-Λ-triangulated, and a Lie torus of type (B₂, Λ) iff V is a Λ-triangulated Jordan pair torus.

Proof. (a) is the graded version of [N3, 2.3–2.6]. The generalization from $\Lambda = \{0\}$ to arbitrary Λ is immediate. We note that for a root-graded Lie algebra *L* we have L/L' and the centre of *L* coincides with the central subspace *C* defined above.

In (b) the equivalence of graded-simplicity is a general fact [GN, 2.5], and (iii) is immediate from (ii) and the formulas in (a). For the proof of (ii) one shows that $e \in L_{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in R_{\pm 1}$, is invertible in the sense of Definition 7.1, say with inverse $f \in L_{-\alpha}$, iff e has the appropriate invertibility property in the Jordan pair V, again with inverse f. This proves in particular the implication \Longrightarrow . For the other direction, it then suffices to show that for a root-graded Lie algebra L invertibility in the spaces L_{α} , $\alpha \in R_1$, forces invertibility in L_{γ} , $\gamma \in R_0$. This can be done by using $L_{\gamma} = [L_{\alpha}, L_{-\beta}]$ for appropriate $\alpha, \beta \in R_1$. We leave the details to the reader, in particular in view of Remark 7.5. \Box

Remark 7.5. In characteristic 0, a centreless Lie torus of type (B_2, \mathbb{Z}^n) is the same as the centreless core of an extended affine Lie algebra of type B_2 . The latter has been studied in [AG, §4] for $k = \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, in this setting the torus part of the theorem above is implicit in [AG, §4].

One can also define Lie algebras graded by non-reduced root systems. A B₂-graded Lie algebra is then a special type of a BC₂-graded Lie algebra. In the setting of BC₂-graded Lie algebras the torus version of Proposition 7.4 has been proven in [F, Theorem 3], where Jordan pairs and Jordan algebras are replaced by structurable algebras, and a triangulated Jordan algebra torus by a so-called quasitorus.

Remark 7.6. We have formulated Proposition 7.4 in terms of triangulated Jordan pairs, since it is in this setting that the proposition can be generalized to describe division-R-graded Lie algebras and Lie tori of type R for any 3-graded root system R. We will however not need this here.

Remark 7.7. We have seen in Theorem 6.12 that a graded-triangulated Jordan pair $V = (V^+, V^-)$ is isomorphic to the Jordan pair (J, J) associated to a graded-triangulated Jordan algebra J. Since isomorphic Jordan pairs lead to isomorphic TKK-algebras, one also has the Jordan algebra version of Proposition 7.4. We leave the formulation to the reader.

Remark 7.8. For easier comparison with the literature [AG,BY,F] we indicate how to "find" the Λ -triangulated Jordan algebra J in a B₂-graded Lie algebra L, using the notation of above. As a k-module, $J = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (L_{2\varepsilon_1}^{\lambda} \oplus L_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}^{\lambda} \oplus L_{2\varepsilon_2}^{\lambda})$. For $a, b \in J$, the Jordan algebra product is given by $a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}[[a, 1^-], b]$ where $1^- = f_1 + f_2$ and $f_i \in L_{2\varepsilon_i}^0$ is the inverse of the invertible element $e_i \in L_{2\varepsilon_i}$ whose existence is guaranteed by condition (3) in Definition 7.1. The identity element of J is $1_J = e_1 + e_2$, the elements e_i are idempotents of J, and J is triangulated by $(u; e_1, e_2)$ for u the invertible element in $L_{\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2}^0$.

Proposition 7.4 reduces the classification of the various types of B₂-graded Lie algebras to determining the TKK-algebras of the corresponding triangulated Jordan pairs and Jordan algebras, which we have described in the previous Section 6. Models for these TKK-algebras were given in [N3] for arbitrary triangulated Jordan pairs over arbitrary rings. A more precise description can be obtained in the graded-simple and division-graded case. To this end, we re-visit the description of the TKK-algebras of the two types of Jordan pairs and algebras that appear in the classification of division-triangulated Jordan pairs and algebras in Corollary 6.14 and Corollary 6.5.

Example 7.9. The TKK-algebra of the Jordan pair (J, J) for $J = H_2(A, \pi)$, equivalently, of the Jordan algebra J [N3, 4.2]. Note that the ample subspace A_0 of the hermitian matrix algebra is $A_0 = H(A, \pi)$ since $\frac{1}{2} \in k$. Let

E. Neher, M. Tocón / Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78–113

$$\mathfrak{p}_2(A,\pi) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a^{\pi t} \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{Mat}_4(A) \colon a \in \operatorname{Mat}_2(A), b, c \in J \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{p}_2(A, \pi)$ is the -1-eigenspace of an involution of the associative algebra $\operatorname{Mat}_4(A)$, hence a subalgebra of the general Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_4(A)$. The natural 3-grading of $\mathfrak{gl}_4(A)$ induces one of $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathfrak{p}_2(A, \pi)$: We have $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathfrak{p}_{2,(1)} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{2,(0)} \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{2,(-1)}$, where

$$\mathfrak{p}_{2,(1)} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \colon b \in \mathcal{H}_2(A, \pi) \right\},$$
$$\mathfrak{p}_{2,(0)} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a^{\pi t} \end{bmatrix} \colon a \in \mathcal{M}_2(A) \right\},$$
$$\mathfrak{p}_{2,(-1)} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{bmatrix} \colon c \in \mathcal{H}_2(A, \pi) \right\}.$$

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{p} has a compatible Λ -grading $\mathfrak{p} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{p}^{\lambda}$ for which \mathfrak{p}^{λ} consists of the matrices with all entries in A^{λ} . The Jordan pair associated to this 3-graded Lie algebra, see the review 7.2, is V = (J, J). We put

$$\mathfrak{sp}_2(A,\pi) = \mathfrak{p}_{2,(1)} \oplus [\mathfrak{p}_{2,(1)}, \mathfrak{p}_{2,(-1)}] \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{2,(-1)},$$

called the *symplectic Lie algebra associated to* (A, π) . The proof of [AABGP, III, Proposition 4.2(a), (b)] also works in our more general setting and yields

$$\mathfrak{sp}_2(A,\pi) = \left[\mathfrak{p}_2(A,\pi),\mathfrak{p}_2(A,\pi)\right] = \left\{X \in \mathfrak{p}_2(A,\pi): \operatorname{tr}(X) \in [A,A]\right\}$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_2(A, \pi)$ is C₂-graded with root spaces indicated in the following tableau:

□	ε_1	$-\varepsilon_2$	$2\varepsilon_1$	$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$
$\varepsilon_2 -$	ε_1	0	$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$	$2\varepsilon_2$
-2ε	$1 -\varepsilon_1$	$-\varepsilon_2$	0	$\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1$
$ -\varepsilon_1-$	$\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_2$	$2\varepsilon_2$	$\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$	0

It follows from Proposition 7.4 that

$$\mathsf{TKK}(V) \cong \mathfrak{sp}_2(A,\pi)/Z\big(\mathfrak{sp}_2(A,\pi)\big).$$

However, one has the following criterion for $\mathfrak{sp}_2(A, \pi)$ to be centreless (again [AABGP, III, Proposition 4.2(d)] works in our more general setting):

Lemma 7.10. If $A = Z(A) \oplus [A, A]$, e.g. if A is a torus [NY, Proposition 3.3], then $\mathfrak{sp}_2(A, \pi)$ is centreless and hence is (isomorphic to) the TKK-algebra of the Jordan algebra $J = H_2(A, \pi)$ and the Jordan (J, J).

Example 7.11. The TKK-algebra of the Jordan pair V = (J, J) for $J = AC_{alg}(q, D)$ [N3, 5.1, 5.3]. As in Example 7.9 the Clifford-ample subspace $D_0 = D$ since $\frac{1}{2} \in k$. Thus $J = De_1 \oplus M \oplus De_2$ for a graded commutative associative *k*-algebra *D* and $q: M \to D$ is a *D*-quadratic form.

For a *D*-quadratic form $q_N : N \to D$ on a *D*-module *N* we define the *orthogonal Lie algebra* of q_N as $\mathfrak{o}(q_N) = \{X \in \operatorname{End}_D(N): q_N(Xn, n) = 0 \text{ for all } n \in N\}$, and the *elementary orthogonal Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{eo}(q_N)$ as $\mathfrak{eo}(q_N) = \operatorname{Span}_D\{n_1n_2^* - n_2n_1^*: n_1, n_2 \in N\}$ where n_1^* is the *D*-linear form on *N* defined by $n_1^*(n) = q_N(n_1, n)$.

110

To describe the TKK-algebra of *V* or, equivalently of *J*, we put $h_1 = e_1$, $h_{-1} = e_2$ and define a *D*-quadratic form q_{∞} on

$$J_{\infty} = Dh_2 \oplus Dh_1 \oplus M \oplus Dh_{-1} \oplus Dh_{-2}$$

by requiring $q_{\infty}|M = -q$, $(Dh_2 \oplus Dh_{-2}) \perp (Dh_1 \oplus Dh_{-1}) \perp M$, and $q_{\infty}(h_i, h_{-i}) = 1$, $q_{\infty}(h_{\pm i}) = 0$ for i = 1, 2. It follows from [N3, (5.3.6)] that

$$\mathsf{TKK}(V) \cong \mathfrak{eo}(q_{\infty}),$$

in particular, $\text{TKK}(V) \cong \mathfrak{o}(q_{\infty})$ if M is free of finite rank. To obtain a more detailed description of the TKK-algebra, we assume in the following that M has a homogeneous D-basis $\{u_i : i \in I\}$, an assumption which by Corollary 4.5 is always fulfilled if J is division-triangulated. Then J_{∞} is free too and endomorphisms of J_{∞} can be identified with column-finite $(4 + |I|) \times (4 + |I|)$ -matrices over D, which we do with respect to the basis $h_2, h_1, (u_i)_{i \in I}, h_{-1}, h_{-2}$. Let G be the $|I| \times |I|$ -matrix representing q with respect to the basis $(u_i)_{i \in I}$. Then

			- a	b	$-m_2^t G$	-s	0 -
			С	d	$-m_1^t G$	0	S
$X\in\mathfrak{eo}(q_\infty)$	\iff	<i>X</i> =	<i>n</i> ₁	<i>n</i> ₂	X_M	m_1	<i>m</i> ₂
			t	0	$-n_1^t G$	d	b
			_ 0	-t	$-n_2^t G$	С	a _

where $a, b, c, d, s, t \in D$, $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in D^{(I)} \cong M$ and $X_M \in \mathfrak{co}(q)$ (if M has finite rank the latter condition is equivalent to $GX_M + X_M^t G = 0$). The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{co}(q_\infty)$ has a B₂-grading for B₂ = {0} \cup { $\pm \varepsilon_i, \pm \varepsilon_2, \pm \varepsilon_1 \pm \varepsilon_2$ } whose homogeneous spaces $\mathfrak{o}(q_\infty)_\alpha$, $\alpha \in B_2$, are symbolically indicated by the matrix below.

Γ 0	$\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1$	ε_2	$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$	• -
$\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$	0	ε_1	•	$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$
$-\varepsilon_2$	$-\varepsilon_1$	0	ε_1	£2
$-(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)$	•	$-\varepsilon_1$	0	$\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1$
L ·	$-(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)$	$-\varepsilon_2$	$\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$	0

Here 0 is the 0-root space, while \cdot indicates an entry 0 in the matrices in $\mathfrak{o}(q_{\infty})$. The isomorphism TKK(*V*) $\cong \mathfrak{eo}(q_{\infty})$ is given by considering the 3-grading of the root system B₂ whose 1-part is { $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2 \pm \varepsilon_1$ }. Hence

$$V^{\pm} = \mathfrak{o}(q_{\infty})_{\pm(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)} \oplus \mathfrak{o}(q_{\infty})_{\pm\varepsilon_2} \oplus \mathfrak{o}(q_{\infty})_{\pm(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1)}$$

are the right respectively left columns of the matrices in $\mathfrak{eo}(q_{\infty})$.

Before we state the main results of this section, we remind the reader that we assume $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3} \in k$ in this section.

Theorem 7.12. For a torsion-free Λ the following are equivalent:

(i) *L* is a B₂-graded-simple Lie algebra,

E. Neher, M. Tocón / Journal of Algebra 344 (2011) 78–113

- (ii) L is graded isomorphic to the TKK-algebra of a graded-simple-triangulated Jordan algebra,
- (iii) *L* is graded isomorphic to one of the following Lie algebras:
 - (I) $\mathfrak{sp}_2(A,\pi)/Z(\mathfrak{sp}_2(A,\pi))$ for a graded-simple A with involution π ,
 - (II) $\mathfrak{sl}_4(B)/Z(\mathfrak{sl}_4(B))$ where $\mathfrak{sl}_4(B) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}_4(B): \operatorname{tr}(X) \in [B, B]\}$, and B is a noncommutative graded-simple associative algebra,
 - (III) $eo(q_{\infty})$ in the notation of Example 7.11 for D = F a graded-field and $q: M \to F$ a graded-nondegenerate *F*-quadratic form on a graded *F*-module *M* with base point $u \in M^0$.

Proof. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) follows from Proposition 7.4 and Theorem 6.12. If (ii) holds, the cases (I) and (III) of Theorem 6.3 correspond to the Lie algebras (I) and (III) above, as follows from Example 7.9 and Example 7.11. That in case (II) of Theorem 6.3 one gets case (II) above is shown in [N3, (3.4.3)]. The remaining implication (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is easy. \Box

With an analogous proof we obtain the classification of B₂-division-graded Lie algebras.

Theorem 7.13. For a Lie algebra L the following are equivalent:

- (i) *L* is a centreless division- (B_2, Λ) -graded Lie algebra,
- (ii) L is graded isomorphic to the TKK-algebra of a division- Λ -triangulated Jordan algebra,
- (iii) *L* is graded isomorphic to one of the following Lie algebras:
 - (I) $\mathfrak{sp}_2(A, \pi)/Z(\mathfrak{sp}_2(A, \pi))$ where A is a noncommutative division- Λ -graded associative algebra with involution π and generated by $H(A, \pi)$,
 - (II) $eo(q_{\infty})$ in the notation of Example 7.11 for D = F a graded-field, $q: M \to F$ a graded-anisotropic quadratic form on a graded F-module M with base point $u \in M^0$ and whose Λ -support generates Λ .

In particular, using Lemma 7.10 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 7.14. A Lie algebra L is a centreless Lie torus of type (B_2, Λ) iff L is graded isomorphic to one of the following:

- (I) A symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_2(A, \pi)$ as in Example 7.9, where A is a noncommutative A-torus with involution π and generated by $H(A, \pi)$.
- (II) An elementary orthogonal Lie algebra $\mathfrak{eo}(q_{\infty})$ as in Example 7.11 with D a torus, M as described in Corollary 4.5(b), $q: M \to D$ graded-anisotropic and Λ spanned by $\operatorname{supp}_{\Lambda} M$.

Remark 7.15. Centreless division-(B₂, Λ)-graded Lie algebras over fields of characteristic 0 and centreless Lie tori of type (B₂, Λ) are also described in [BY, Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 5.9], using a different method. Our approach gives a more precise description of these Lie algebras. The special case $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ had been established before in [AG, Theorem 4.87]. It could also be deduced from the results in [F, Theorem 9], see Remark 6.7.

The data *A*, *D*, *M* and *q* occurring for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ in Corollary 7.14 are described in detail in Corollary 6.6.

References

- [AG] B.N. Allison, Y. Gao, The root system and the core of an extended affine Lie algebra, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 7 (2001) 149–212.
- [AABGP] B. Allison, S. Azam, S. Berman, Y. Gao, A. Pianzola, Extended affine Lie algebras and their root systems, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 603 (1997).
- [A] J.A. Anquela, Local nilpotency of the McCrimmon Radical of a Jordan System, paper 233 of the Jordan Theory Preprint Archives (233) http://homepage.uibk.ac.at./~c70202/jordan/index.html.
- [BY] G. Benkart, Y. Yoshii, Lie G-tori of symplectic type, Q. J. Math. 57 (2006) 425-448.
- [BZ] G. Benkart, Efim Zelmanov, Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and intersection matrix algebras, Invent. Math. 126 (1) (1996) 1–45.

- [BM] S. Berman, R. Moody, Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and the intersection matrix algebras of Slodowy, Invent. Math. 108 (1992) 323–347.
- [F] J. Faulkner, Lie tori of type BC₂ and structurable quasitori, Comm. Algebra 36 (2008) 2593–2618.
- [GN] E. García, E. Neher, Tits-Kantor-Koecher superalgebras of Jordan superpairs covered by grids, Comm. Algebra 31 (7) (2003) 3335-3375.
- [J] N. Jacobson, Structure Theory of Jordan Algebras, University of Arkansas Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 5, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, 1981, 317 pp.
- [KZ] A. Kostrikin, E. Zelmanov, A theorem on sandwich algebras, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 183 (1988) 142–149.
- [L] O. Loos, Jordan Pairs, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 460, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [LN1] O. Loos, E. Neher, Locally finite root systems, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 811 (171) (2004).
- [LN2] O. Loos, E. Neher, Reflection systems and partial root systems, Forum Math. 23 (2011) 349-412.
- [M1] K. McCrimmon, Peirce ideals in Jordan triple systems, Pacific J. Math. 83 (2) (1979) 415–439.
- [M2] K. McCrimmon, A Taste of Jordan Algebras, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004, xxvi+562 pp.
- [MN] K. McCrimmon, E. Neher, Coordinatization of triangulated Jordan systems, J. Algebra 114 (2) (1998) 411–451.
- [N1] E. Neher, Involutive gradings of Jordan structures, Comm. Algebra 9 (6) (1981) 575–599.
- [N2] E. Neher, Jordan Triple Systems by the Grid Approach, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1987.
- [N3] E. Neher, Lie algebras graded by 3-graded root systems and Jordan pairs covered by grids, Amer. J. Math. 118 (1996) 439-491.
- [N4] E. Neher, Extended affine Lie algebras and other generalizations of affine Lie algebras a survey, in: Developments and Trends in Infinite-Dimensional Lie Theory, in: Progr. Math., vol. 288, Birkhäuser, 2011, pp. 53–126.
- [NY] E. Neher, Y. Yoshii, Derivations and invariant forms of Jordan and alternative tori, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003) 1079–1108.
- [P] D.S. Passman, The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings, Pure Appl. Math., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1977, xiv+720 pp.