

Cohomological Invariants of degree 3 and Chow ring of a versal flag.

Alexander Neshitov
joint work with Alexander Merkurjev and Kirill Zainoulline.

November 18, 2013

Introduction: Cohomological invariants

- F - a base field. For simplicity let's restrict to the case $\text{char } F = 0$
- G - algebraic group over F .
- **Fields $_F$** - category of field extensions L/F .
- Functor $H^1(*, G)$

$$H^1(*, G): \mathbf{Fields}_F \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$$

$$L/F \mapsto H^1(L, G)$$

Introduction: Cohomological invariants

Let H be another functor

$$H: \text{Fields}_F \rightarrow \text{Abelian Groups}$$

Definition

Cohomological invariant a with values in H is a natural transformation

$$a: H^1(*, G) \rightarrow H, \text{ i.e.}$$

For any L/F a map $a_L: H^1(L, G) \rightarrow H(L)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(L_1, G) & \xrightarrow{a_{L_1}} & H(L_1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(L_2, G) & \xrightarrow{a_{L_2}} & H(L_2) \end{array}$$

commutes for any $L_1 \rightarrow L_2$.

Introduction: Cohomological invariants

Invariants with values in H form an abelian group

$$\text{Inv}(G, H)$$

Definition

Invariant a is normalized if $a_L(E) = 0$ for every trivial torsor E over L .

Normalized invariants form a subgroup

$$\text{Inv}(G, H)_{\text{norm}}$$

By functorial property,

$$\text{Inv}(G, H) = \text{Inv}(G, H)_{\text{norm}} \oplus H(F)$$

Introduction: Galois cohomology

- F^{sep} denotes a separable closure of F
- $\Gamma = Gal(F^{sep}/F)$ denotes the absolute Galois group
- A is a discrete Γ -module

Galois cohomology $H^n(F, A)$ is the cohomology of the profinite group Γ with coefficients in A , i.e. the homology group of the complex $C^\bullet(\Gamma, A)$ with $C^n(\Gamma, A) = Map_{cont}(\Gamma^n, A)$

$$\dots \rightarrow C^{n-1}(\Gamma, A) \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} C^n(\Gamma, A) \xrightarrow{d^n} C^{n+1}(\Gamma, A) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$d^n(f)(g_1 \dots g_{n+1}) = g_1 f(g_2 \dots g_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(g_1 \dots g_i g_{i+1} \dots g_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} f(g_1 \dots g_n)$$

Introduction: Galois modules $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d)$

For a prime p

$$\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(d) = \varinjlim_m (\mu_{p^m})^{\otimes d}$$

Set

$$H^{d+1}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d)) = \prod_{p\text{-prime}} H^{d+1}(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(d))$$

Examples:

- $H^1(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(0)) = \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(\Gamma_F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$
- $H^2(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1)) = \text{Br}(F)$

Introduction: Cup product and residue maps

Definition

For a field F with discrete valuation v and the residue field $F(v)$ there is the residue map homomorphism

$$\partial_v: H^{d+1}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d)) \rightarrow H^d(F(v), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d-1))$$

Definition

The cup product

$$\cup: H^{p_1}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d_1)) \times H^{p_2}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d_2)) \rightarrow H^{p_1+p_2}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d_1+d_2))$$

In particular this gives rise to

$$F^\times \times H^d(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d-1)) \rightarrow H^{d+1}(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d))$$

Introduction: Invariants of degree d

Definition

Degree d invariants are invariants with values in $H^d(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d-1))$

$$\text{Inv}^d(G, d-1) = \text{Inv}(G, H^d(F, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(d-1)))$$

For $d=1$ and a connected group G

$$\text{Inv}^1(G, 0)_{\text{norm}} = 0$$

Introduction: Invariants of degree 2

Let G be a semisimple algebraic group. Consider a simply-connected cover

$$1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

For every E/F this gives an exact sequence of pointed sets

$$H^1(E, \tilde{G}) \rightarrow H^1(E, G) \xrightarrow{d} H^2(E, C)$$

for every character $\chi: C \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ this gives composition

$$\beta_\chi: H^1(E, G) \xrightarrow{d} H^2(E, C) \xrightarrow{\chi_*} H^2(E, \mathbb{G}_m) = Br(E)$$

This gives rise to a group homomorphism (in fact an isomorphism)

$$C^* \rightarrow Inv^2(G, 1)_{norm}, \chi \mapsto \beta_\chi$$

Introduction: (Semi-)decomposable invariants

We consider two subgroups of $Inv^3(G, 2)_{norm}$:

- The subgroup $Inv^3(G, 2)_{dec}$ of decomposable invariants is generated by invariants a of the form

$$a_L(E) = (\alpha) \cup \beta_\chi(E) \text{ for } E \in H^1(G, L)$$

where α is a fixed element in F^\times , $\chi \in C^*$

- The subgroup $Inv^3(G, 2)_{semi}$ of semi-decomposable invariants consisting of invariants a such that for every L/F and $E \in H^1(L, G)$ there is $a_\chi \in L^\times$ such that

$$a(E) = \sum_{\chi \in C^*} (a_\chi) \cup \beta_\chi(E)$$

So, $Inv^3(G, 2)_{dec} \subseteq Inv^3(G, 2)_{semi} \subseteq Inv^3(G, 2)_{norm}$

Introduction: (Semi-)decomposable invariants

We consider two subgroups of $Inv^3(G, 2)_{norm}$:

- The subgroup $Inv^3(G, 2)_{dec}$ of decomposable invariants is generated by invariants a of the form

$$a_L(E) = (\alpha) \cup \beta_\chi(E) \text{ for } E \in H^1(G, L)$$

where α is a fixed element in F^\times , $\chi \in C^*$

- The subgroup $Inv^3(G, 2)_{semi}$ of semi-decomposable invariants consisting of invariants a such that for every L/F and $E \in H^1(L, G)$ there is $a_\chi \in L^\times$ such that

$$a(E) = \sum_{\chi \in C^*} (a_\chi) \cup \beta_\chi(E)$$

So, $Inv^3(G, 2)_{dec} \subseteq Inv^3(G, 2)_{semi} \subseteq Inv^3(G, 2)_{norm}$

Introduction: Classifying variety

Definition

Let V be a G -representation, U an open subset of V such that $\text{codim } V \setminus U \geq 3$ and G acts freely on U . Then $U \rightarrow U/G$ is called a classifying torsor G -torsor.

Classifying property: For every field extension L/F and G -torsor $E \in H^1(G, L)$ there is a morphism $x: \text{Spec } L \rightarrow U/G$ such that $E = U \times_{U/G} \text{Spec } L$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec } L & \xrightarrow{x} & U/G \end{array}$$

Introduction: Versal torsor and versal flag

Let ξ be the generic point of U/G

Definition

The versal torsor Y_ξ is the generic fiber of $U \rightarrow U/G$:

$$Y_\xi = U \times_{U/G} \xi$$

The versal flag X_ξ is the generic fiber of $U/T \rightarrow U/G$:

$$X_\xi = U/T \times_{U/G} \xi$$

Main result: Statement

Theorem

There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Inv}^3(G, 2)_{\text{semi}} \rightarrow \text{Inv}^3(G, 2)_{\text{norm}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{CH}^2(X_\xi)_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow 0$$

Main result: Difference

Theorem

The difference between decomposable and semidecomposable invariants can be computed as

$$\frac{\text{Inv}^3(G, 2)_{\text{semi}}}{\text{Inv}^3(G, 2)_{\text{dec}}} = \frac{(\tilde{I}^W \cap \mathbb{Z}[T^*]) + I^3}{I^W + I^3}$$

- T is a maximal split torus of G
- Λ denotes the weight lattice, $T^* \subseteq \Lambda$
- W denotes the Weyl group of G .
- $\mathbb{Z}[T^*] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ are the group rings of lattices T^* and Λ
- \tilde{I} is the kernel of the augmentation map $\text{aug}: \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, $e^\omega \mapsto 1$
- $I = \mathbb{Z}[T^*] \cap \tilde{I}$
- \tilde{I}^W denotes the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ generated by W -invariant elements of \tilde{I}
- I^W denotes the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[T^*]$ generated by W -invariant elements of I

Main result: Some corollaries

By the classification of degree 3 invariants made by Merkurjev, $Inv^3(G, 2) = Inv^3(G, 2)_{dec}$ in the following cases:

- G of type A_n
- G of type B_n
- G of type C_n and $n \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod{4}$
- G of type D_n and $n \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod{4}$

Then immediately in all these cases $CH^2(X_\xi)$ is torsion-free.

Idea of the proof

- By the results of Rost, the assignment

$$\Theta: \text{Inv}^3(G, 2) \rightarrow H^3(F(U/G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)), a \mapsto a_{F(U/G)}(Y_\xi)$$

is injective

- The image of Θ coincides with the kernel of the residue map

$$\partial =: H^3(F(U/G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \coprod_{z \in U/G^{(1)}} H^2(F(z), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(1))$$

Idea of the proof: Rost cycle modules

For a scheme X Consider the cycle complex

$$\coprod_{z \in X^{(0)}} K_n(F(z)) \rightarrow \coprod_{z \in X^{(1)}} K_{n-1}(F(z)) \rightarrow \coprod_{z \in X^{(2)}} K_{n-2}(F(z)) \rightarrow \dots$$

Its p cohomology group $A^p(X, K_n)$ is called cycle module cohomology. Note that $A^n(X, K_n) = CH^n(X)$.

Idea of the proof: Map ρ

Let $\tilde{H}^3(F(U/G), 2)$ denote the kernel of the pullback map

$$\tilde{H}^3(F(U/G), 2) = \ker[H^3(F(U/G), 2) \rightarrow H^3(F(X_\xi), 2)]$$

Note that $C^* = \Lambda/T^*$, for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ $\bar{\lambda}$ denotes the image of λ in C^* . Then there is the map

$$\rho: F(U/G) \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \tilde{H}^3(F(U/G), 2)$$

$$\phi \otimes \lambda \mapsto (\phi) \cup \beta_{\bar{\lambda}}(Y_\xi)$$

Idea of the proof: Map α

For a prime p let

$$\tilde{H}^3(X_\xi, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}) = \ker[H_{et}^3(X_\xi, \mu_{p^n}) \rightarrow H^3(F(X_\xi), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2})]$$

By the Leray spectral sequence and Bloch-Ogus theorem it coincides with the homology of the cycle module complex

$$H^2(F(X_\xi), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow \coprod_{z \in X_\xi^{(1)}} H^1(F(z), \mu_{p^n}) \rightarrow \coprod_{z \in X_\xi^{(2)}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$$

Idea of the proof: Map α

By Merkurjev-Suslin norm residue isomorphism theorem

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 K_2(F(X_\xi)) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{z \in X_\xi^{(1)}} F(z)^\times & \longrightarrow & \prod_{z \in X_\xi^{(1)}} \mathbb{Z} \\
 \downarrow \cdot p^n & & \downarrow \cdot p^n & & \downarrow \cdot p^n \\
 K_2(F(X_\xi)) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{z \in X_\xi^{(1)}} F(z)^\times & \longrightarrow & \prod_{z \in X_\xi^{(1)}} \mathbb{Z} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^2(F(X_\xi), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{z \in X_\xi^{(1)}} H^1(F(z), \mu_{p^n}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{z \in X_\xi^{(2)}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

$$\alpha_{p^n}: \tilde{H}^3(F(U/G), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}^3(X_\xi, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow CH^2(X_\xi)_{p^n\text{-tors}}$$

Taking limit in p, n we get $\alpha: \tilde{H}^3(F(U/G), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow CH^2(X_\xi)_{tors}$

Idea of the proof: The key diagram

For any point z in U/G X_z denotes the fiber product $X_z = U/T \times_{U/G} z$. There is the following diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & A^1(X_\xi, K_2) & \longrightarrow & F(U/G)^\times \otimes \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \tilde{H}^3(F(U/G), 2) \xrightarrow{\alpha} CH^2(X_\xi)_{tors} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \partial^X & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow \partial \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{z \in U/G^{(1)}} Pic X_z & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{z \in U/G^{(1)}} \Lambda & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{z \in U/G^{(1)}} \tilde{H}^2(F(z), 1) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

$$d_z: \phi \otimes \chi \mapsto v_z(\phi)\chi \text{ for } \phi \in F(U/G)^\times, \chi \in \Lambda.$$

Note that d is surjective since $Pic U/G = 0$

$$Inv^3(G, 2)_{norm} = \ker \partial, \quad im(\rho) \cap \ker \partial = Inv^3(G, 2)_{semi}$$

Then the diagram chase gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Inv^3(G, 2)_{semi} \rightarrow Inv^3(G, 2)_{norm} \rightarrow CH^2(X_\xi)_{tors} \rightarrow 0$$

Idea of the proof: Decomposable and semidecomposable invariants

In the previous diagram $Inv^3(G, 2)_{dec} = \rho(F \otimes \Lambda)$. Then the following sequence is exact

$$0 \rightarrow Inv^3(G, 2)_{dec} \rightarrow Inv^3(G, 2)_{semi} \rightarrow \text{coker}(\partial^X) \rightarrow 0$$