Probing the structural and magnetic properties of a new family of centrosymmetric dinuclear lanthanide complexes†
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A series of centrosymmetric dinuclear lanthanide complexes, including GdIII (1), DyIII (2), HoIII (3), ErIII (4) and YbIII (5), have been synthesized and studied for the effects of lanthanide contraction on their magnetic properties. As a result of probing the magnetic properties of the GdIII analogue, 1, a weak antiferromagnetic intramolecular interaction was confirmed, with J = −0.01 cm⁻¹ and g = 2.01. The DyIII complex, 2, was found to exhibit larger values of g⊥ and g∥ in the ground doublet of individual DyIII ions via ab initio calculations, and thus did not act as a SMM. Interestingly, the ErIII (4) and YbIII (5) complexes exhibited slow relaxation of the magnetization under an applied dc field with effective energy barriers (Une) of 21 K and 22 K, respectively.

Introduction

The emergence of lanthanide Single-Molecule Magnets (SMMs) in the past decade has significantly revamped the area of molecular nanomagnetism.1–4 This is primarily due to the development of SMMs with the significant inherent magnetic anisotropy of lanthanide ions. Despite the extensive work performed on lanthanide complexes, there are very few reports of early lanthanide metal ions exhibiting SMM behaviour,5–9 thus, this research field is primarily dominated by late, heavier lanthanide ions as they possess significantly larger spin–orbit coupling constants (ζ), as well as larger total electronic angular momentum quantum number (j) values. Currently, the best performing SMMs have been isolated with late lanthanide metals such as DyIII, TbIII and ErIII ions.10–14 Mononuclear lanthanide SMMs are ideal model systems as they provide unique insights into the factors that influence spin–orbit coupling constants and ground spin multiplets. Therefore, these systems have been developed over the last decade to provide experimentally powerful answers to those who continue their quest toward high-energy barrier SMMs. Recently, exciting results have been attained through fine-tuning the crystal field around the metal centre to achieve record breaking blocking temperatures for mononuclear SMMs,10,12–14 furthermore even greater barriers have been theoretically predicted for such systems.15–17 That being said, one major limiting factor is important to consider if we want SMMs to advance towards being operational at room temperature; mononuclear SMMs are limited to one single metal centre, which implies that, at the end of the day, the anisotropy and/or the total spin will be quantitatively limited. Therefore, polynuclear SMMs are likely the future of this field.

In order to efficiently construct multimetallic lanthanide SMMs, it is critical to gain design control over the interactions between metal ions. This is a continuous challenge, especially since the interactions between lanthanide ions are almost negligible in strength owing to their core 4f orbitals. Thus, dinuclear systems, in particular, are ideal models to probe interactions between metal ions, as well as the influence of structural changes on the overall magnetic behaviour of SMMs. This is especially true since dinuclear SMMs have been shown to possess the capability to be incredibly high performing magnets.10,16–25

With this in mind we have focused our attention on studying a new system; a family of centrosymmetric complexes isolated using a highly tuneable Schiff base system, which was based on an o-Vanillin moiety. We have previously demonstrated this strategy to be highly efficient towards isolating dinuclear complexes with non-negligible energy barriers. As an extension to our previous work, we have targeted the synthesis and use of (E)-2-(dimethylamino)-N²-(2-hydroxy-3-
methoxybenzylidene) acetoxyhydrazide (H₂ovgrd) (Chart 1) as a new ligand for the isolation of dinuclear lanthanide systems.

As aforementioned, we directed our efforts toward the isolation of molecules with heavier lanthanide ions (LnIII = Gd, Dy, Ho, Er and Yb) in order to probe the SMM properties, while also investigating the effects of lanthanide contraction and its influence on the structural changes. Herein, we report a family of centrosymmetric complexes synthesized using H₂ovgrd and LnIII ions with emphasis on the study of their structural, physical, and specifically their unique magnetic properties.

Experimental

Materials and methods

All chemicals were of reagent grade, purchased from TCI, Alfa Aesar, and Strem Chemicals and were used without any further purification.

Synthesis

(E)-2-(Dimethylamino)-N’-(2-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylidene) acetoxyhydrazide (H₂ovgrd). The employed ligand (Chart 1) was synthesized by adding Girard’s reagent D (380.12 mg, 2 mmol) and pyridine (314.4 mg, 4 mmol) into 20 mL of MeOH, and stirring the mixture until complete dissolution was achieved. Obtained Vanillin (304.3 mg, 2 mmol) in 5 mL MeOH was added to the previous solution and treated with stirring for 2 hours at room temperature. The resulting pale yellow coloured product was collected and washed with cold MeOH. Yield = 68%. Calc. (found): C, 12.01 (12.30); H, 1.01 (0.95); N, 14.01 (13.83); O, 16.00 (16.17); ¹H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz): δ 8.39 (s, 1H), 7.24 (d, 1H), 6.99 (d, 1H), 6.83 (t, 1H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 4.10 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.00 (s, 6H). Selected IR (cm⁻¹): 3367 (br), 2900 (w), 2627 (br), 1474 (s), 1409 (w), 1374 (m), 1276 (s), 1259 (m), 1241 (m), 1205 (w), 1149 (s), 1255 (s), 1226 (m), 1203 (w), 1184 (w), 1145 (w), 1474 (s), 1409 (w), 1374 (m), 1276 (s), 1259 (m), 1241 (m), 1205 (w), 1149 (s), 1255 (s), 1226 (m), 1203 (w), 1184 (w), 1145 (w), 1052 (s), 1009 (m), 975 (m), 965 (m), 912 (w), 859 (w), 764 (s), 725 (s), 610 (m).

[Ln₃(ovgrd)₃(acac)₃(H₂O)₂]·2EtOH, where Ln = Gd (1), Dy (2), Ho (3), Er (4) and Yb (5). H₂ovgrd (50.26 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 10 mL MeCN was treated with tetraethylammonium hydroxide (TEAOH, 0.4 mmol) and pyridine (314.4 mg, 4 mmol) into 20 mL of MeOH, and stirring the mixture until complete dissolution was achieved. Obtained Vanillin (304.3 mg, 2 mmol) in 5 mL MeOH was added to the previous solution and treated with stirring for 2 hours at room temperature. The resulting pale yellow coloured product was collected and washed with cold MeOH. Yield = 68%. Calc. (found): C, 12.01 (12.30); H, 1.01 (0.95); N, 14.01 (13.83); O, 16.00 (16.17); ¹H NMR (MeOD, 400 MHz): δ 8.39 (s, 1H), 7.24 (d, 1H), 6.99 (d, 1H), 6.83 (t, 1H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 4.10 (s, 1H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.00 (s, 6H). Selected IR (cm⁻¹): 3367 (br), 2900 (w), 2627 (br), 1474 (s), 1409 (w), 1374 (m), 1276 (s), 1259 (m), 1241 (m), 1205 (w), 1149 (s), 1255 (s), 1226 (m), 1203 (w), 1184 (w), 1145 (w), 1052 (s), 1009 (m), 975 (m), 965 (m), 912 (w), 859 (w), 764 (s), 725 (s), 610 (m).

Characterization

X-ray crystallography. For complexes 1–5, single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction measurements were mounted on a glass fibre. Unit cell measurements and intensity data collections were performed on a Bruker-AXS SMART 1 k CCD diffractometer using graphite monochromated MoKα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The data reduction included a correction for Lorentz and polarization effects, with an applied multi-scan absorption correction (SADABS). The crystal structure was solved and refined using the SHELXTL program suite. Direct methods yielded all non-hydrogen atoms, which were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. All hydrogen atom positions were calculated geometrically and were riding on their respective atoms.

Magnetic measurements. The magnetic susceptibility measurements were obtained using a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer MPMS-XL7 in the temperature range of 1.8–300 K for dc-applied fields ranging from −7 to 7 T. Dc analyses were performed on polycrystalline samples of 7.8, 7.7, 19.5, 11.9, 7.8 mg for 1–5, respectively. All samples were wrapped in a polyethylene wrap and measured under a field ranging from 0 to 7 T in the temperature range of 1.8–300 K. Ac susceptibility measurements were carried out under an oscillating ac field of 3 Oe and at frequencies ranging from 0.1 to 1500 Hz. The magnetization data was initially collected at 100 K in order to check for potential ferromagnetic impurities, found to be absent in all samples. A diamagnetic correction was applied for the sample holder and any grease employed to restrain microcrystals, thus preventing torquing under high fields.

Infrared spectrometry. IR spectra were collected on all samples in the solid state on a Varian 640 FT-IR spectrometer in the 525–4000 cm⁻¹ range.

X-ray powder diffraction. X-ray powder diffraction data for complex 5 was collected using RIGAKU Ultima IV, with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.541836 Å), and a graphite monochromator. Scanning of the 2θ range from 5 to 40° was performed. The structure of the sample was confirmed by comparison between the collected pattern and the simulated pattern from the single crystal XRD data of each complex.

NMR. NMR analyses were conducted on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz spectrometer equipped with an automatic sample holder and a 5 mm auto tuning broadband probe with Z gradient.

Results and discussion

Structural analysis

The single crystal X-ray crystallography studies reveal that complexes 1–3 are isostructural, and crystallize in either the monoclinic P2₁/n or P2₁/c space group. The analogous compound with ErIII, 4, shows a slightly different structural arrangement, specifically, its space group is P1 (Table S1†), and with PXRD measurements it was confirmed that the structure of complex 5, with YbIII, is in good agreement with that of complex
4 (Fig. S1†). Since the structures of each analogous complex are indeed so similar, this allows us to take the DyIII analogue, 2, as an example herein (Fig. 1), in order to describe these structures fully. The dinuclear complex contains two eight-coordinate DyIII ions bridged by alkoxide groups (O3, O3a) from two antiparallel doubly-deprotonated ovgrd ligands, forming a centrosymmetric complex. The Dy1⋯Dy1a distance is 3.98 Å and the Dy1–O3⋯Dy1a angle is equal to 113.63° (Table S2†). In addition to the bridging alkoxide groups, the coordination sphere around DyIII ions is composed of nitrogen atoms forming the tertiary amine (N3), hydrazide nitrogen (N1) and a phenoxy oxygen (O1) from the ovgrd ligand. The remainder of the coordination environment is occupied by one acetylacetone group (O4, O5) and one water molecule (O6), binding on each side. Thus each DyIII exhibits an overall N2O6 coordination environment with distorted dodecahedral geometry (Fig. 1). The coordinated water molecules (O6) participate in intramolecular hydrogen bonding with the phenoxy and acac groups, as well as with non-coordinated EtOH, thus keeping the molecule structurally compact. Through careful inspection of the packing arrangement (Fig. S2†), the shortest intermolecular Dy⋯Dy distance is found to be 8.39 Å.

**Lanthanide contraction study**

Lanthanide chemistry generally affords structurally analogous complexes as a result of their common chemical attributes. However, in a lanthanide series there exist finite changes in the structure that can be seen due to lanthanide contraction. In some cases full structural rearrangements can be observed.26 This could subsequently affect the overall physical properties of the molecule. In order to investigate such structural changes, lanthanide contraction studies were performed. The average Ln–X (X = O, N) distances for complexes 1–4 were found to be 2.59, 2.56, 2.55 and 2.54 Å, respectively. These values were then used to extract the shielding constants of the 4f electrons.27 As expected, they follow the trend of lanthanide contraction, that is, with increasing atomic number n, the average distance decreases. From previous reports, the decay of bond lengths with this contraction follows a quadratic function corresponding to the number, n, of f electrons.28 Based on our systems, the centrosymmetry allows us to simply study the Ln–X distances by taking the average value of Ln–O and Ln–N bond distances. The best-fit parameters were found to be: a = 2.6429, b = −0.0038 and c = −0.0005 with R2 = 0.9946, which were employed within the quadratic function F(n) = a + bn + cn2 (Fig. 3).

The d(O–O), which represents the distance between oxygen atoms (O3⋯O3a) that are shared by the coordination polyhedra, was also analysed (Fig. 2). Consequently, it also results in a quadratic decay, with the best-fit parameters of a = 2.7148, b = −0.0061 and c = −0.0007, with R2 = 0.9923. Such an observation could be attributed to the manifestation of lanthanide contraction on parameters affected by two lanthanide atoms simultaneously.29 This result necessitated further corroboration by plotting the dependence of Ln1⋯Ln1a distances on n, which exhibits similar behaviour. The quadratic function parameters for d(Ln1⋯Ln) are: a = 3.8793, b = 0.0414 and c = −0.0034 with R2 = 0.9921.

**Magnetic properties**

In order to correlate the lanthanide contraction study and coordination environment change with the magnetic properties of all complexes, direct current (dc) and alternating current (ac) magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on crushed polycrystalline samples. The observed room temperature χT value for 1 of 15.84 cm3 K mol⁻¹ is in good agreement with the expected spin only value for two uncoupled GdIII ions (6S7/2, S = 7/2, χT = 15.76 cm3 K mol⁻¹). Upon decreasing the temperature, the χT product remains constant ~20 K before decreasing rapidly at lower temperatures (Fig. 3). Such behaviour is indicative of dominant antiferromagnetic interactions between the metal centres. Moreover, thanks to the isotropic nature of GdIII ions, by applying the Van Vleck equation to Kambe’s vector coupling scheme, the magnetic interaction between the two spin centers could be quantified, and was calculated to be f = −0.01 cm⁻¹ with g = 2.01. This interaction is rather weak, as is expected for a dinuclear system with 4f ions.28

![Graph of Ln...Ln distances, O...O distances and average Ln...X (X = O, N) bond lengths versus the number of 4f electrons n for complexes 1 to 4. The solid lines are best fits to quadratic functions F(n) = a + bn + cn^2, demonstrating that these parameters follow quadratic decays with n. Best-fit parameters: a = 3.8793, b = 0.0414 and c = −0.0034 with R^2 = 0.9921; a = 2.7148, b = −0.0061 and c = −0.0007 with R^2 = 0.9923; a = 2.6429, b = −0.0038 and c = −0.0005 with R^2 = 0.9946, for d(Ln...Ln), d(O...O) and x(Ln...X), respectively.](https://example.com/graph.png)
shows that the magnetization rapidly increases with applied dc field to approach magnetic saturation above \(7\,\text{T}\), at which a value of \(14\,\mu_B\) is reached (Fig. S3†). This true saturation reveals the absence of magnetic anisotropy within this complex. The superimposition of the \(M\) vs. \(\text{HT}^{-1}\) data on a single master curve further confirms this result, which is expected for isotropic systems such as \(\text{Gd}^{lll}\). Direct current magnetic susceptibility studies for complexes 2–5 were also carried out, and can also be seen in Fig. 3. The room temperature \(\chi T\) values of 26.19 (2), 27.39 (3), 22.11 (4) and 5.03 (5) \(\text{cm}^3\,\text{K}\,\text{mol}^{-1}\) are close to the expected values for two uncoupled lanthanide ions: \(\text{Dy}^{lll}\) (8\(\text{H}^{15/2}\), \(S = 5/2\), \(\chi T = 28.34\,\text{cm}^3\,\text{K}\,\text{mol}^{-1}\)), \(\text{Ho}^{lll}\) (8\(\text{I}^2\), \(S = 2\), \(\chi T = 28.14\,\text{cm}^3\,\text{K}\,\text{mol}^{-1}\)), \(\text{Er}^{lll}\) (8\(\text{I}^{13/2}\), \(S = 5/2\), \(\chi T = 22.96\,\text{cm}^3\,\text{K}\,\text{mol}^{-1}\)), and \(\text{Yb}^{lll}\) (8\(\text{F}^{7/2}\), \(S = 1/2\), \(\chi T = 5.12\,\text{cm}^3\,\text{K}\,\text{mol}^{-1}\)).

For the \(\text{Dy}^{lll}\) analogue, 2, as the temperature decreases, the \(\chi T\) product decreases gradually until reaching a minimum value of 22.41 \(\text{cm}^3\,\text{K}\,\text{mol}^{-1}\) at 11 K, followed by a sharp increase to a maximum value of 25.46 \(\text{cm}^3\,\text{K}\,\text{mol}^{-1}\) at 1.8 K. The decrease is most likely caused by the depopulation of the Stark sublevels and/or significant magnetic anisotropy, while the latter increase can be attributed to weak ferromagnetic interactions between the \(\text{Dy}^{lll}\) ions. The origin of this interaction will be discussed further in the following section from the perspective of the performed \textit{ab initio} calculations. In systems 3–5, upon lowering the temperature, the \(\chi T\) product decreases continuously until reaching a minimum value at 1.8 K. These results may point towards weak antiferromagnetic intramolecular interactions and/or thermal depopulation of the Stark sublevels. The field dependent magnetization data for complexes 2–5 are shown in the ESI (Fig. S4†), plotted as \(M\) vs. \(H\) and \(M\) vs. \(\text{HT}^{-1}\). The resulting magnetization curves do not saturate (\(M\) vs. \(H\)), nor superimpose on a single master curve (\(M\) vs. \(\text{HT}^{-1}\)), thus indicating the presence of significant anisotropy and/or low-lying excited states present in all complexes.

To probe potential slow magnetic relaxation, ac susceptibility measurements were carried out for complexes 2–5. The \(\text{Ho}^{lll}\) analogue did not exhibit an out-of-phase signal under the measurement conditions, hence it does not behave as a SMM. For complex 2, significant quantum tunnelling of the magnetization (QTM) was observed under zero applied dc field, evident from the lack of clear full peaks. In order to minimize the QTM, ac susceptibility measurements were performed under an optimal applied dc field of 1800 Oe in the temperature range of 1.8–7.5 K (Fig. 4).

The presence of peaks shifting to lower frequency with decreasing temperature for the out-of-phase (\(\chi''\)) susceptibility, indicates potential SMM behaviour. However, by fitting the magnetic data between 1.8 and 3.5 K with the Arrenius equation \((\tau = \tau_0\exp(U_{\text{eff}}/kT))\), a barrier of 3.3 K was obtained with a pre-exponential factor of \(\tau_0\) of 0.04336 s, suggesting that the QTM may be too efficient in this system, such that the height of the barrier is compromised. In order to acquire more insight into the electronic structure of the magnetic sites, \textit{ab initio} calculations were performed on 2. CASSCF/RASSI/SINGLE_ANISO calculations were completed on the individual \(\text{Dy}^{lll}\) sites, keeping the entire molecule “as is”, with only the computational substitution of one of the \(\text{Dy}^{lll}\) sites by the diamagnetic \(\text{Li}^{lll}\). Results of the \textit{ab initio} calculations can be seen in Table S3.† In particular, the large values of the \(g_x\) and \(g_y\) components of the \(g\) tensor in the ground Kramers doublet of individual \(\text{Dy}^{lll}\) ions are in line with the observed fast tunnelling of magnetization in 2. As was previously stated,† the large values of the perpendicular components \((x, y)\) in the ground state interact with the magnetic field present in the crystal and induce tunneling of the magnetization. The obtained values for 2 are at least two orders of magnitude larger than in current well-performing molecular magnets. The upturn of the magnetic susceptibility curve upon lowering of temperature is indicative of weak ferromagnetic coupling at low temperature. The nature of this interaction is mainly dipolar, given the small angle (≈12.2°) between the main anisotropy axes on \(\text{Dy}^{lll}\) sites and the Dy–Dy axis, thus favouring the stabilization of the ferromagnetic arrangement of the local moments (Fig. 5). As for the \(\text{Er}^{lll}\) compound, 4, frequency dependent signal (Fig. S5†) is clearly observed under an applied dc field of 1200 Oe, thus indicating its SMM nature. An effective barrier of 21 K (\(\tau_0 = 2.75 \times 10^{-6}\) s) was calculated by fitting the magnetic data via the

---

**Fig. 3** Temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility \(\chi T\) at 1000 Oe for complexes 1–5. For complex 1, the best-fit parameters (solid blue line) obtained for the \(\chi T\) curve are \(J = -0.01\,\text{cm}^{-1}\) and \(g = 2.01\).

**Fig. 4** Frequency \((\nu)\) dependence of the in-phase (\(\chi'\), left) and out-of-phase (\(\chi''\), right) magnetic susceptibility at the indicated temperatures for 2 under an applied optimum dc field of 1800 Oe.
Arrhenius equation (Fig. S5†). The observed overlapping of peaks at low frequency is attributed to the presence of QTM. The frequency scan of the ac susceptibility in zero dc field performed at 2 K for the YbIIΙ analogue, S, reveals the absence of any growing out-of-phase signal. However, the application of an external dc field of 1800 Oe successfully shifts the characteristic maximum of $\chi''$ values to lower frequencies where full peaks may be observed (Fig. 6). The relaxation time was extracted from the fit of the frequency dependence of the ac susceptibility data between 1.8 and 7 K.

The temperature dependence of the relaxation time follows the Arrhenius law between 3.5 and 5.5 K with an energy barrier $E_{\text{eff}} = 22$ K and $\tau_0 = 2.13 \times 10^{-6}$ s (Fig. S6†). Below 3.5 K, the relaxation time deviates from a thermally activated process possibly due to a direct process allowed in a low symmetry relaxation time deviates from a thermally activated process, with $J = -0.01$ cm$^{-1}$ and $g = 2.01$. The Dy analogue (2) did not exhibit SMM properties, which has been revealed by ab initio calculations to be due to the larger values of $g_x$ and $g_y$ in the ground doublet of individual DyIIΙ ions, as well as the weak magnetic interaction between DyIIΙ sites, mainly driven by ferromagnetic dipolar interaction. However, the EuIIΙ (4) and YbIIΙ (5) counterparts were found to exhibit slow relaxation of the magnetization under an applied dc field. This new family of Ln compounds reveal a further glimpse into the uniqueness of the lanthanide series, through the study of the changes in physical properties while retaining their structural similitudes.
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