

The Capelli eigenvalue problem for Lie superalgebras

Hadi Salmasian
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Ottawa

Joint with S. Sahi and V. Serganova

June 24, 2017

The TKK Construction

- Base field: \mathbb{C} .
- $J = J_{\bar{0}} \oplus J_{\bar{1}}$: Jordan superalgebra:
 - $a \circ b := (-1)^{|a||b|} b \circ a$.
 - $(-1)^{|a||c|} [L_{aob}, L_c] + (-1)^{|b||a|} [L_{boc}, L_a] + (-1)^{|c||b|} [L_{coa}, L_b] = 0$.

$$L_x : J \rightarrow J, L_x(y) := xy.$$

TKK Lie superalgebra (Kantor's functor)

$$\mathfrak{g}_J := \mathfrak{g}_J(-1) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_J(0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_J(1)$$

- $\mathfrak{g}_J(-1) := J$.
- $\mathfrak{g}_J(0) := \langle L_a, [L_a, L_b] : a, b \in J \rangle \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(J)$.
- $\mathfrak{g}_J(1) := \langle P, [L_a, P] : a \in J \rangle \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}^2(J), J)$, where

$$P : \mathcal{S}^2(J) \rightarrow J, P(x, y) := x \circ y.$$

The TKK Construction

- Base field: \mathbb{C} .
- $J = J_{\bar{0}} \oplus J_{\bar{1}}$: Jordan superalgebra:
 - $a \circ b := (-1)^{|a||b|} b \circ a$.
 - $(-1)^{|a||c|} [L_{aob}, L_c] + (-1)^{|b||a|} [L_{boc}, L_a] + (-1)^{|c||b|} [L_{coa}, L_b] = 0$.

$$L_x : J \rightarrow J, L_x(y) := xy.$$

TKK Lie superalgebra (Kantor's functor)

$$\mathfrak{g}_J := \mathfrak{g}_J(-1) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_J(0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_J(1)$$

- $\mathfrak{g}_J(-1) := J$.
- $\mathfrak{g}_J(0) := \langle L_a, [L_a, L_b] : a, b \in J \rangle \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(J)$.
- $\mathfrak{g}_J(1) := \langle P, [L_a, P] : a \in J \rangle \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}^2(J), J)$, where

$$P : \mathcal{S}^2(J) \rightarrow J, P(x, y) := x \circ y.$$

The TKK Construction

- Base field: \mathbb{C} .
- $J = J_{\bar{0}} \oplus J_{\bar{1}}$: Jordan superalgebra:
 - $a \circ b := (-1)^{|a||b|} b \circ a$.
 - $(-1)^{|a||c|} [L_{aob}, L_c] + (-1)^{|b||a|} [L_{boc}, L_a] + (-1)^{|c||b|} [L_{coa}, L_b] = 0$.

$$L_x : J \rightarrow J, L_x(y) := xy.$$

TKK Lie superalgebra (Kantor's functor)

$$\mathfrak{g}_J := \mathfrak{g}_J(-1) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_J(0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_J(1)$$

- $\mathfrak{g}_J(-1) := J$.
- $\mathfrak{g}_J(0) := \langle L_a, [L_a, L_b] : a, b \in J \rangle \subseteq \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(J)$.
- $\mathfrak{g}_J(1) := \langle P, [L_a, P] : a \in J \rangle \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{S}^2(J), J)$, where

$$P : \mathcal{S}^2(J) \rightarrow J, P(x, y) := x \circ y.$$

The TKK Construction

Kantor, Kac, Cantarini-Kac

Assume that J is unital. Then \mathfrak{g}_J is simple if and only if J is simple.

Remark

It is better to work with $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ rather than $\mathfrak{psl}(m|n)$, and with $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ rather than $\mathfrak{sq}(n)$.

Classification of unital simple Lie superalgebras

J	\mathfrak{g}^b
$\mathfrak{gl}(m, n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(2m 2n)$
$\mathfrak{osp}(n, 2m)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(4n 2m)$
$\mathfrak{p}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{p}(2n)$
$\mathfrak{q}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{q}(2n)$
$(m, 2n)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m+3 2n)$
$D_t, t \neq -1$	$D(2 1, t)$
F	$F(3 1)$
$JP(0, n)$	$H(n+3)$

The TKK Construction

Kantor, Kac, Cantarini-Kac

Assume that J is unital. Then \mathfrak{g}_J is simple if and only if J is simple.

Remark

It is better to work with $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ rather than $\mathfrak{psl}(m|n)$, and with $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ rather than $\mathfrak{sq}(n)$.

Classification of unital simple Lie superalgebras

J	\mathfrak{g}^J
$\mathfrak{gl}(m, n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(2m 2n)$
$\mathfrak{osp}(n, 2m)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(4n 2m)$
$\mathfrak{p}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{p}(2n)$
$\mathfrak{q}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{q}(2n)$
$(m, 2n)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m+3 2n)$
$D_t, t \neq -1$	$D(2 1, t)$
F	$F(3 1)$
$JP(0, n)$	$H(n+3)$

The TKK Construction

Kantor, Kac, Cantarini-Kac

Assume that J is unital. Then \mathfrak{g}_J is simple if and only if J is simple.

Remark

It is better to work with $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ rather than $\mathfrak{psl}(m|n)$, and with $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ rather than $\mathfrak{sq}(n)$.

Classification of unital simple Lie superalgebras

J	\mathfrak{g}^b
$\mathfrak{gl}(m, n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(2m 2n)$
$\mathfrak{osp}(n, 2m)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(4n 2m)$
$\mathfrak{p}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{p}(2n)$
$\mathfrak{q}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{q}(2n)$
$(m, 2n)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m + 3 2n)$
$D_t, t \neq -1$	$D(2 1, t)$
F	$F(3 1)$
$JP(0, n)$	$H(n + 3)$

The Quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}^b, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, V)$

- Short subalgebra: $e := 1_J \in \mathfrak{g}^b(-1)$, $h := -L_{1_J} \in \mathfrak{g}^b$, $f := P \in \mathfrak{g}^b(1)$.

$$[h, e] = -e, \quad [e, f] = h, \quad [h, f] = f.$$

- $\mathfrak{g}^b(\kappa) := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^b : [h, x] = \kappa x\}$.
- $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}^b(0)$.
- Action of $\mathfrak{s} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e, f, h\}$ on \mathfrak{g}^b is by trivial and adjoint modules.
- $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{g}^e := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [e, x] = 0\}$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : w.x = x\} \text{ where } W := \{1, w\} \text{ is the Weyl group of } \mathfrak{s}.$$

- $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a supersymmetric pair.
- $V := J$ is naturally a \mathfrak{g} -module.
- We can consider $\mathcal{P}(V) := S(V^*)$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module.

The Quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}^b, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, V)$

- Short subalgebra: $e := 1_J \in \mathfrak{g}^b(-1)$, $h := -L_{1_J} \in \mathfrak{g}^b$, $f := P \in \mathfrak{g}^b(1)$.

$$[h, e] = -e, \quad [e, f] = h, \quad [h, f] = f.$$

- $\mathfrak{g}^b(\kappa) := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^b : [h, x] = \kappa x\}$.
- $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}^b(0)$.
- Action of $\mathfrak{s} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e, f, h\}$ on \mathfrak{g}^b is by trivial and adjoint modules.
- $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{g}^e := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [e, x] = 0\}$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : w.x = x\} \text{ where } W := \{1, w\} \text{ is the Weyl group of } \mathfrak{s}.$$

- $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a supersymmetric pair.
- $V := J$ is naturally a \mathfrak{g} -module.
- We can consider $\mathcal{P}(V) := S(V^*)$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module.

The Quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}^b, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, V)$

- Short subalgebra: $e := 1_J \in \mathfrak{g}^b(-1)$, $h := -L_{1_J} \in \mathfrak{g}^b$, $f := P \in \mathfrak{g}^b(1)$.

$$[h, e] = -e, \quad [e, f] = h, \quad [h, f] = f.$$

- $\mathfrak{g}^b(\kappa) := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^b : [h, x] = \kappa x\}$.
- $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}^b(0)$.
- Action of $\mathfrak{s} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e, f, h\}$ on \mathfrak{g}^b is by trivial and adjoint modules.
- $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{g}^e := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [e, x] = 0\}$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : w.x = x\} \text{ where } W := \{1, w\} \text{ is the Weyl group of } \mathfrak{s}.$$

- $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a supersymmetric pair.
- $V := J$ is naturally a \mathfrak{g} -module.
- We can consider $\mathcal{P}(V) := S(V^*)$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module.

The Quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}^b, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, V)$

- Short subalgebra: $e := 1_J \in \mathfrak{g}^b(-1)$, $h := -L_{1_J} \in \mathfrak{g}^b$, $f := P \in \mathfrak{g}^b(1)$.

$$[h, e] = -e, \quad [e, f] = h, \quad [h, f] = f.$$

- $\mathfrak{g}^b(\kappa) := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^b : [h, x] = \kappa x\}$.
- $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}^b(0)$.
- Action of $\mathfrak{s} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e, f, h\}$ on \mathfrak{g}^b is by trivial and adjoint modules.
- $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{g}^e := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [e, x] = 0\}$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : w.x = x\} \text{ where } W := \{1, w\} \text{ is the Weyl group of } \mathfrak{s}.$$

- $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a supersymmetric pair.
- $V := J$ is naturally a \mathfrak{g} -module.
- We can consider $\mathcal{P}(V) := S(V^*)$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module.

The Quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}^b, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, V)$

- Short subalgebra: $e := 1_J \in \mathfrak{g}^b(-1)$, $h := -L_{1J} \in \mathfrak{g}^b$, $f := P \in \mathfrak{g}^b(1)$.

$$[h, e] = -e, \quad [e, f] = h, \quad [h, f] = f.$$

- $\mathfrak{g}^b(\kappa) := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^b : [h, x] = \kappa x\}$.
- $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}^b(0)$.
- Action of $\mathfrak{s} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e, f, h\}$ on \mathfrak{g}^b is by trivial and adjoint modules.
- $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{g}^e := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [e, x] = 0\}$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : w.x = x\} \text{ where } W := \{1, w\} \text{ is the Weyl group of } \mathfrak{s}.$$

- $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a supersymmetric pair.
- $V := J$ is naturally a \mathfrak{g} -module.
- We can consider $\mathcal{P}(V) := S(V^*)$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module.

The Quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}^b, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, V)$

- Short subalgebra: $e := 1_J \in \mathfrak{g}^b(-1)$, $h := -L_{1_J} \in \mathfrak{g}^b$, $f := P \in \mathfrak{g}^b(1)$.

$$[h, e] = -e, \quad [e, f] = h, \quad [h, f] = f.$$

- $\mathfrak{g}^b(\kappa) := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^b : [h, x] = \kappa x\}$.
- $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}^b(0)$.
- Action of $\mathfrak{s} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e, f, h\}$ on \mathfrak{g}^b is by trivial and adjoint modules.
- $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{g}^e := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [e, x] = 0\}$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : w.x = x\} \text{ where } W := \{1, w\} \text{ is the Weyl group of } \mathfrak{s}.$$

- $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a supersymmetric pair.
- $V := J$ is naturally a \mathfrak{g} -module.
- We can consider $\mathcal{P}(V) := S(V^*)$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module.

The Quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}^b, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, V)$

- Short subalgebra: $e := 1_J \in \mathfrak{g}^b(-1)$, $h := -L_{1,J} \in \mathfrak{g}^b$, $f := P \in \mathfrak{g}^b(1)$.

$$[h, e] = -e, \quad [e, f] = h, \quad [h, f] = f.$$

- $\mathfrak{g}^b(\kappa) := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^b : [h, x] = \kappa x\}$.
- $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}^b(0)$.
- Action of $\mathfrak{s} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e, f, h\}$ on \mathfrak{g}^b is by trivial and adjoint modules.
- $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{g}^e := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [e, x] = 0\}$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : w.x = x\} \text{ where } W := \{1, w\} \text{ is the Weyl group of } \mathfrak{s}.$$

- $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a supersymmetric pair.
- $V := J$ is naturally a \mathfrak{g} -module.
- We can consider $\mathcal{P}(V) := S(V^*)$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module.

The Quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}^b, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, V)$

- Short subalgebra: $e := 1_J \in \mathfrak{g}^b(-1)$, $h := -L_{1_J} \in \mathfrak{g}^b$, $f := P \in \mathfrak{g}^b(1)$.

$$[h, e] = -e, \quad [e, f] = h, \quad [h, f] = f.$$

- $\mathfrak{g}^b(\kappa) := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}^b : [h, x] = \kappa x\}$.
- $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}^b(0)$.
- Action of $\mathfrak{s} := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e, f, h\}$ on \mathfrak{g}^b is by trivial and adjoint modules.
- $\mathfrak{k} := \mathfrak{g}^e := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [e, x] = 0\}$. Note that

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : w.x = x\} \text{ where } W := \{1, w\} \text{ is the Weyl group of } \mathfrak{s}.$$

- $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ is a supersymmetric pair.
- $V := J$ is naturally a \mathfrak{g} -module.
- We can consider $\mathcal{P}(V) := \mathcal{S}(V^*)$ as a \mathfrak{g} -module.

The Quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}^b, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, V)$

J	\mathfrak{g}^b	\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{k}	V
$\mathfrak{gl}(m, n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(2m 2n)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$(\mathbb{C}^m n)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^m n$
$\mathfrak{osp}(n, 2m)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(4n 2m)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m 2n)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$	$\mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{C}^m 2n)^*$
$\mathfrak{p}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{p}(2n)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n n)$	$\mathfrak{p}(n)$	$\Pi(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^n n)^*$
$\mathfrak{q}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{q}(2n)$	$\mathfrak{q}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n)$	$\mathfrak{q}(n)$	$((\mathbb{C}^n n)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^n n)^{\Pi \otimes \Pi}$
$(m, 2n)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m+3 2n)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m+1 2n) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$	$\mathbb{C}^{m+1, 2n}$
$D_t, t \neq -1$	$D(2 1, t)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(1 2)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(1 2)$	$\mathbb{C}_t^{2 2}$
F	$F(3 1)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(2 4) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(1 2) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}(1 2)$	$\mathbb{C}^{6 4}$
$JP(0, n)$	$H(n+3)$	$H(n+1) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$H(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^{2n 2n}$

Natural Questions

Is $\mathcal{P}(V)$ a completely reducible \mathfrak{g} -module? Is it also multiplicity-free? Are the \mathfrak{g} -modules that arise \mathfrak{k} -spherical?

The Quadruple $(\mathfrak{g}^b, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, V)$

J	\mathfrak{g}^b	\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{k}	V
$\mathfrak{gl}(m, n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(2m 2n)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$(\mathbb{C}^m n)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^m n$
$\mathfrak{osp}(n, 2m)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(4n 2m)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m 2n)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$	$S^2(\mathbb{C}^m 2n)^*$
$\mathfrak{p}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{p}(2n)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n n)$	$\mathfrak{p}(n)$	$\Pi(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^n n)^*$
$\mathfrak{q}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{q}(2n)$	$\mathfrak{q}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n)$	$\mathfrak{q}(n)$	$((\mathbb{C}^n n)^* \otimes \mathbb{C}^n n)^{\Pi \otimes \Pi}$
$(m, 2n)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m+3 2n)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m+1 2n) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$	$\mathbb{C}^{m+1, 2n}$
$D_t, t \neq -1$	$D(2 1, t)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(1 2)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(1 2)$	$\mathbb{C}_t^{2 2}$
F	$F(3 1)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(2 4) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(1 2) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}(1 2)$	$\mathbb{C}^{6 4}$
$JP(0, n)$	$H(n+3)$	$H(n+1) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$H(n)$	$\mathbb{C}^{2n 2n}$

Natural Questions

Is $\mathcal{P}(V)$ a completely reducible \mathfrak{g} -module? Is it also multiplicity-free? Are the \mathfrak{g} -modules that arise \mathfrak{k} -spherical?

Partitions

- $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$ such that $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for all i .
- $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i$
- $\ell(\lambda)$: Number of parts of λ .
- $\mathcal{H}_{m,n,d} := \{\lambda : |\lambda| = d \text{ and } \lambda_{m+1} \leq n\}$.
- $\mathcal{DP}_{n,d} := \{\lambda : \ell(\lambda) \leq n, |\lambda| = d \text{ and } \lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1} \text{ for every } i\}$.

$$D : \mathcal{DP}_{n,d} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n,n,2d}, \lambda \mapsto D(\lambda)$$

$(4, 2, 1) \mapsto$

4	4	4	4
4	2	2	
4	2	1	
4	2	1	
4			

Partitions and Representations

Partitions

- $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$ such that $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for all i .
- $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i$
- $\ell(\lambda)$: Number of parts of λ .
- $\mathcal{H}_{m,n,d} := \{\lambda : |\lambda| = d \text{ and } \lambda_{m+1} \leq n\}$.
- $\mathcal{DP}_{n,d} := \{\lambda : \ell(\lambda) \leq n, |\lambda| = d \text{ and } \lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1} \text{ for every } i\}$.

$$D : \mathcal{DP}_{n,d} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n,n,2d}, \lambda \mapsto D(\lambda)$$

$(4, 2, 1) \mapsto$

4	4	4	4
4	2	2	
4	2	1	
4	2	1	
4			

Partitions and Representations

Partitions

- $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$ such that $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for all i .
- $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i$
- $\ell(\lambda)$: Number of parts of λ .
- $\mathcal{H}_{m,n,d} := \{\lambda : |\lambda| = d \text{ and } \lambda_{m+1} \leq n\}$.
- $\mathcal{DP}_{n,d} := \{\lambda : \ell(\lambda) \leq n, |\lambda| = d \text{ and } \lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1} \text{ for every } i\}$.

$$D : \mathcal{DP}_{n,d} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n,n,2d}, \lambda \mapsto D(\lambda)$$

$(4, 2, 1) \mapsto$

4	4	4	4
4	2	2	
4	2	1	
4	2	1	
4			

Partitions and Representations

Partitions

- $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)})$ such that $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for all i .
- $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i$
- $\ell(\lambda)$: Number of parts of λ .
- $\mathcal{H}_{m,n,d} := \{\lambda : |\lambda| = d \text{ and } \lambda_{m+1} \leq n\}$.
- $\mathcal{DP}_{n,d} := \{\lambda : \ell(\lambda) \leq n, |\lambda| = d \text{ and } \lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1} \text{ for every } i\}$.

$$D : \mathcal{DP}_{n,d} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n,n,2d}, \lambda \mapsto D(\lambda)$$

$(4, 2, 1) \mapsto$

4	4	4	4
4	2	2	
4	2	1	
4	2	1	
4			

Irreducible representations from partitions

- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$.

Fundamental roots:

$$\{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq m-1\} \cup \{\delta_i - \delta_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

$\mu \in \mathcal{H}_{m,n,d} \rightsquigarrow V_\mu$ with h.w.

$$\mu := \mu_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \mu_m \varepsilon_m + \mu_{m+1} \delta_1 + \cdots + \mu_{m+n} \delta_n.$$

- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}(n)$.

Fundamental roots:

$$\{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$$

$\mu \in \mathcal{DP}_{n,d} \rightsquigarrow V_\mu$ with h.w. $\mu := \mu_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \mu_n \varepsilon_n$

Irreducible representations from partitions

- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$.

Fundamental roots:

$$\{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq m-1\} \cup \{\delta_i - \delta_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}.$$

$\mu \in \mathcal{H}_{m,n,d} \rightsquigarrow V_\mu$ with h.w.

$$\mu := \mu_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \mu_m \varepsilon_m + \mu_{m+1} \delta_1 + \cdots + \mu_{m+n} \delta_n.$$

- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{q}(n)$.

Fundamental roots:

$$\{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$$

$\mu \in \mathcal{DP}_{n,d} \rightsquigarrow V_\mu$ with h.w. $\mu := \mu_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \mu_n \varepsilon_n$

The \mathfrak{g} -module $\mathcal{P}(V)$ is completely reducible in the following cases. The irreducible components of $\mathcal{P}(V)$ are parametrized as shown.

J	\mathfrak{g}	$\mathcal{P}^d(V)$	
$\mathfrak{gl}(m, n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_{m,n,d}} V_\lambda \otimes V_\lambda^*$	
$\mathfrak{osp}(n, 2m)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m 2n)$	$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_{m,n,d}} V_{2\lambda}$	
$\mathfrak{p}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n n)$	$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}_{n,d}} V_{\mathcal{D}(\lambda)}$	New
$\mathfrak{q}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{q}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n)$	$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}_{n,d}} \frac{1}{2^{\delta(\ell(\lambda))}} U_\lambda \otimes U_\lambda^*$	
$(m, 2n)_+, \frac{m}{2} \in \mathbb{N} \text{ or } \frac{m}{2} \geq n$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m+1 2n) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_{2,0,d}} V_\lambda$	New
$D_t, \frac{t}{t+1} \notin \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$	$\mathfrak{gl}(1 2)$	$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_{1,1,d}} V_\lambda$	New
F	$\mathfrak{osp}(2 4) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_{2,1,d}} V_\lambda$	New

In addition, the irreducible components of $\mathcal{P}(V)$ are \mathfrak{k} -spherical.

- For $(m, 2n)_+$, the highest weight corresponding to $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{H}_{2,0,d}$ is:
 $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\varepsilon_1 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\zeta$.
- For D_t , the highest weight corresponding to $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{(d-\lambda_1)}) \in \mathcal{H}_{1,1,d}$ is:
 $(2d \frac{t}{1+t} - 4d + 2\lambda_1)\varepsilon_1 + (-d \frac{t}{1+t} + 3d - \lambda_1)(\delta_1 + \delta_2)$.
- For F, the highest weight corresponding to $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_r, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{d-\lambda_1-2r}) \in \mathcal{H}_{1,2,d}$ is:
 $(d + 2\lambda_1 - 4)\varepsilon_1 + (d - 2r - \lambda_1)(\delta_1 + \delta_2) + d\zeta$.

The fundamental system is $\{-\varepsilon_1 - \delta_1, \delta_1 - \delta_2, 2\delta_2\}$

The Capelli Basis

We remark that $\mathcal{P}(V)$ is a multiplicity-free \mathfrak{g} -module.

$$\mathcal{P}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(V) \cong \mathcal{S}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda^*$$

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}(V) \cong \mathcal{P}(V) \otimes \mathcal{S}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu^* \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} \text{Hom}(V_\mu, V_\lambda)$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_\mu, V_\lambda) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu. \end{cases} \quad D_\lambda \leftrightarrow 1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_\lambda, V_\lambda)$$

- $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V \Rightarrow D_\lambda : V_\mu \rightarrow V_\mu$ acts by $c_\lambda(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}$.
- **Problem (Kostant):** Give an explicit description of $c_\lambda(\mu)$.

The Capelli Basis

We remark that $\mathcal{P}(V)$ is a multiplicity-free \mathfrak{g} -module.

$$\mathcal{P}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(V) \cong \mathcal{S}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda^*.$$

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}(V) \cong \mathcal{P}(V) \otimes \mathcal{S}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu^* \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} \text{Hom}(V_\mu, V_\lambda)$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_\mu, V_\lambda) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu. \end{cases} \quad D_\lambda \leftrightarrow 1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_\lambda, V_\lambda)$$

- $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V \Rightarrow D_\lambda : V_\mu \rightarrow V_\mu$ acts by $c_\lambda(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}$.
- **Problem (Kostant):** Give an explicit description of $c_\lambda(\mu)$.

The Capelli Basis

We remark that $\mathcal{P}(V)$ is a multiplicity-free \mathfrak{g} -module.

$$\mathcal{P}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(V) \cong \mathcal{S}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda^*.$$

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}(V) \cong \mathcal{P}(V) \otimes \mathcal{S}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu^* \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} \text{Hom}(V_\mu, V_\lambda)$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_\mu, V_\lambda) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu. \end{cases} \quad D_\lambda \leftrightarrow 1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_\lambda, V_\lambda)$$

- $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V \Rightarrow D_\lambda : V_\mu \rightarrow V_\mu$ acts by $c_\lambda(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}$.
- **Problem (Kostant):** Give an explicit description of $c_\lambda(\mu)$.

The Capelli Basis

We remark that $\mathcal{P}(V)$ is a multiplicity-free \mathfrak{g} -module.

$$\mathcal{P}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(V) \cong \mathcal{S}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda^*.$$

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}(V) \cong \mathcal{P}(V) \otimes \mathcal{S}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu^* \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_\mu, V_\lambda)$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_\mu, V_\lambda) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu. \end{cases} \quad D_\lambda \leftrightarrow 1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_\lambda, V_\lambda)$$

- $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V \Rightarrow D_\lambda : V_\mu \rightarrow V_\mu$ acts by $c_\lambda(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}$.
- **Problem (Kostant):** Give an explicit description of $c_\lambda(\mu)$.

The Capelli Basis

We remark that $\mathcal{P}(V)$ is a multiplicity-free \mathfrak{g} -module.

$$\mathcal{P}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(V) \cong \mathcal{S}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda^*.$$

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}(V)^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong (\mathcal{P}(V) \otimes \mathcal{S}(V))^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} (V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_\mu, V_\lambda)$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_\mu, V_\lambda) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu. \end{cases} \quad D_\lambda \leftrightarrow 1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_\lambda, V_\lambda)$$

- $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V \Rightarrow D_\lambda : V_\mu \rightarrow V_\mu$ acts by $c_\lambda(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}$.
- **Problem (Kostant):** Give an explicit description of $c_\lambda(\mu)$.

The Capelli Basis

We remark that $\mathcal{P}(V)$ is a multiplicity-free \mathfrak{g} -module.

$$\mathcal{P}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(V) \cong \mathcal{S}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda^*.$$

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}(V)^\mathfrak{g} \cong (\mathcal{P}(V) \otimes \mathcal{S}(V))^\mathfrak{g} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} (V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu^*)^\mathfrak{g} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} \text{Hom}_\mathfrak{g}(V_\mu, V_\lambda)$$

$$\text{Hom}_\mathfrak{g}(V_\mu, V_\lambda) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu. \end{cases} \quad D_\lambda \leftrightarrow 1 \in \text{Hom}_\mathfrak{g}(V_\lambda, V_\lambda)$$

• $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V \Rightarrow D_\lambda : V_\mu \rightarrow V_\mu$ acts by $c_\lambda(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}$.

• Problem (Kostant): Give an explicit description of $c_\lambda(\mu)$.

The Capelli Basis

We remark that $\mathcal{P}(V)$ is a multiplicity-free \mathfrak{g} -module.

$$\mathcal{P}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}(V) \cong \mathcal{S}(V) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V} V_\lambda^*.$$

$$\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}(V)^\mathfrak{g} \cong (\mathcal{P}(V) \otimes \mathcal{S}(V))^\mathfrak{g} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} (V_\lambda \otimes V_\mu^*)^\mathfrak{g} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V} \text{Hom}_\mathfrak{g}(V_\mu, V_\lambda)$$

$$\text{Hom}_\mathfrak{g}(V_\mu, V_\lambda) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } \lambda = \mu, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \lambda \neq \mu. \end{cases} \quad D_\lambda \leftrightarrow 1 \in \text{Hom}_\mathfrak{g}(V_\lambda, V_\lambda)$$

- The basis $\{D_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V}$ is called the *Capelli basis* for $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}(V)^\mathfrak{g}$.

- $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V \Rightarrow D_\lambda : V_\mu \rightarrow V_\mu$ acts by $\mathbf{c}_\lambda(\mu) \in \mathbb{C}$.
- **Problem (Kostant):** Give an explicit description of $\mathbf{c}_\lambda(\mu)$.

Interpolation Jack Polynomials

Theorem (Sahi '94, Okounkov–Olshanski '97)

Assume that $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}^{\leq 0}$. Fix an integer $N \geq 1$. Then for every partition λ such that $\ell(\lambda) \leq N$, there exists a unique (up to scaling) polynomial $P_\lambda^*(z_1, \dots, z_N; \theta)$ such that:

- $\deg(P_\lambda^*) \leq |\lambda|$.
- $P_\lambda^*(z_1, \dots, z_N; \theta)$ is symmetric in $z_i + \theta(1 - i)$.
- $P_\lambda^*(\mu, \theta) = 0$ for all μ satisfying $\ell(\mu) \leq N$ and $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$.
- $P_\lambda^*(\lambda; \theta) \neq 0$.

The P_λ^* 's form a basis for the algebra $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$ of shifted symmetric polynomials in N -variables.

(Knop–Sahi '96) They are deformations of Jack polynomials in a precise sense.

Interpolation Jack Polynomials

Theorem (Sahi '94, Okounkov–Olshanski '97)

Assume that $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}^{\leq 0}$. Fix an integer $N \geq 1$. Then for every partition λ such that $\ell(\lambda) \leq N$, there exists a unique (up to scaling) polynomial $P_\lambda^*(z_1, \dots, z_N; \theta)$ such that:

- $\deg(P_\lambda^*) \leq |\lambda|$.
- $P_\lambda^*(z_1, \dots, z_N; \theta)$ is symmetric in $z_i + \theta(1 - i)$.
- $P_\lambda^*(\mu, \theta) = 0$ for all μ satisfying $\ell(\mu) \leq N$ and $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$.
- $P_\lambda^*(\lambda; \theta) \neq 0$.

The P_λ^* 's form a basis for the algebra $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$ of shifted symmetric polynomials in N -variables.

(Knop–Sahi '96) They are deformations of Jack polynomials in a precise sense.

Interpolation Jack Polynomials

Theorem (Sahi '94, Okounkov–Olshanski '97)

Assume that $\theta \notin \mathbb{Q}^{\leq 0}$. Fix an integer $N \geq 1$. Then for every partition λ such that $\ell(\lambda) \leq N$, there exists a unique (up to scaling) polynomial $P_\lambda^*(z_1, \dots, z_N; \theta)$ such that:

- $\deg(P_\lambda^*) \leq |\lambda|$.
- $P_\lambda^*(z_1, \dots, z_N; \theta)$ is symmetric in $z_i + \theta(1 - i)$.
- $P_\lambda^*(\mu, \theta) = 0$ for all μ satisfying $\ell(\mu) \leq N$ and $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$.
- $P_\lambda^*(\lambda; \theta) \neq 0$.

The P_λ^* 's form a basis for the algebra $\Lambda_{N, \theta}$ of shifted symmetric polynomials in N -variables.

(Knop–Sahi '96) They are deformations of Jack polynomials in a precise sense.

Sergeev–Veselov Polynomials

Remark

The polynomials $P_{\lambda}^*(z; \theta)$ are compatible with the inverse system

$$\cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{N+1, \theta} \rightarrow \Lambda_{N, \theta} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{0, \theta}.$$

Therefore we can consider $P_{\lambda}^*(z; \theta)$ as elements of $\Lambda_{\theta} := \varprojlim_N \Lambda_{N, \theta}$ in infinitely many variables z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots

The Algebra $\Lambda_{m, n, \theta}^{\natural}$ (Sergeev–Veselov, 2005)

- $\Lambda_{m, n, \theta}^{\natural}$: algebra of polynomials $f(x; y)$ in $m + n$ variables $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n$ such that
 - f is separately symmetric in the x_i 's and the y_j 's.
 - $f(x + \frac{1}{2}e_i, y - \frac{1}{2}e_j) = f(x - \frac{1}{2}e_i, y + \frac{1}{2}e_j)$ on the hyperplane $x_i + \theta y_j = 0$.
- Bernoulli sums:
 $b_k^{\natural}(z; \theta) := \sum_{i \geq 1} B_k(z_i + \frac{1}{2} + \theta(\frac{1}{2} - i)) - B_k(\frac{1}{2} + \theta(\frac{1}{2} - i)).$
- Twisted Kerov map:
 $\varphi^{\natural} : \Lambda_{\theta} \rightarrow \Lambda_{m, n, \theta}, \varphi^{\natural}(b_k^{\natural}(z; \theta)) := \text{twisted Bernoulli sum}$
- $SP_{\lambda}^* := \varphi^{\natural}(P_{\lambda}^*)$. Actually, if $\lambda \notin \mathcal{H}_{m, n, |\lambda|}$ then $SP_{\lambda}^* = 0$.

Sergeev–Veselov Polynomials

Remark

The polynomials $P_{\lambda}^*(z; \theta)$ are compatible with the inverse system

$$\cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{N+1, \theta} \rightarrow \Lambda_{N, \theta} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{0, \theta}.$$

Therefore we can consider $P_{\lambda}^*(z; \theta)$ as elements of $\Lambda_{\theta} := \varprojlim_N \Lambda_{N, \theta}$ in infinitely many variables z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots

The Algebra $\Lambda_{m, n, \theta}^{\natural}$ (Sergeev–Veselov, 2005)

- $\Lambda_{m, n, \theta}^{\natural}$: algebra of polynomials $f(x; y)$ in $m + n$ variables $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n$ such that
 - f is separately symmetric in the x_i 's and the y_j 's.
 - $f(x + \frac{1}{2}e_i, y - \frac{1}{2}e_j) = f(x - \frac{1}{2}e_i, y + \frac{1}{2}e_j)$ on the hyperplane $x_i + \theta y_j = 0$.
- Bernoulli sums:
$$b_k^{\natural}(z; \theta) := \sum_{i \geq 1} B_k(z_i + \frac{1}{2} + \theta(\frac{1}{2} - i)) - B_k(\frac{1}{2} + \theta(\frac{1}{2} - i)).$$
- Twisted Kerov map:
 $\varphi^{\natural} : \Lambda_{\theta} \rightarrow \Lambda_{m, n, \theta}^{\natural}, \varphi^{\natural}(b_k^{\natural}(z; \theta)) := \text{twisted Bernoulli sum}$
- $SP_{\lambda}^* := \varphi^{\natural}(P_{\lambda}^*)$. Actually, if $\lambda \notin \mathcal{H}_{m, n, |\lambda|}$ then $SP_{\lambda}^* = 0$.

Sergeev–Veselov Polynomials

Remark

The polynomials $P_{\lambda}^*(z; \theta)$ are compatible with the inverse system

$$\cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{N+1, \theta} \rightarrow \Lambda_{N, \theta} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{0, \theta}.$$

Therefore we can consider $P_{\lambda}^*(z; \theta)$ as elements of $\Lambda_{\theta} := \varprojlim_N \Lambda_{N, \theta}$ in infinitely many variables z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots

The Algebra $\Lambda_{m, n, \theta}^{\natural}$ (Sergeev–Veselov, 2005)

- $\Lambda_{m, n, \theta}^{\natural}$: algebra of polynomials $f(x; y)$ in $m + n$ variables $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n$ such that
 - f is separately symmetric in the x_i 's and the y_j 's.
 - $f(x + \frac{1}{2}e_i, y - \frac{1}{2}e_j) = f(x - \frac{1}{2}e_i, y + \frac{1}{2}e_j)$ on the hyperplane $x_i + \theta y_j = 0$.
- Bernoulli sums:
$$b_k^{\natural}(z; \theta) := \sum_{i \geq 1} B_k(z_i + \frac{1}{2} + \theta(\frac{1}{2} - i)) - B_k(\frac{1}{2} + \theta(\frac{1}{2} - i)).$$
- Twisted Kerov map:
 $\varphi^{\natural} : \Lambda_{\theta} \rightarrow \Lambda_{m, n, \theta}, \varphi^{\natural}(b_k^{\natural}(z; \theta)) := \text{twisted Bernoulli sum}$
- $SP_{\lambda}^{\natural} := \varphi^{\natural}(P_{\lambda}^*)$. Actually, if $\lambda \notin \mathcal{H}_{m, n, |\lambda|}$ then $SP_{\lambda}^{\natural} = 0$.

Sergeev–Veselov Polynomials

Remark

The polynomials $P_{\lambda}^*(z; \theta)$ are compatible with the inverse system

$$\cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{N+1, \theta} \rightarrow \Lambda_{N, \theta} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda_{0, \theta}.$$

Therefore we can consider $P_{\lambda}^*(z; \theta)$ as elements of $\Lambda_{\theta} := \varprojlim_N \Lambda_{N, \theta}$ in infinitely many variables z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots

The Algebra $\Lambda_{m, n, \theta}^{\natural}$ (Sergeev–Veselov, 2005)

- $\Lambda_{m, n, \theta}^{\natural}$: algebra of polynomials $f(x; y)$ in $m + n$ variables $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n$ such that
 - f is separately symmetric in the x_i 's and the y_j 's.
 - $f(x + \frac{1}{2}e_i, y - \frac{1}{2}e_j) = f(x - \frac{1}{2}e_i, y + \frac{1}{2}e_j)$ on the hyperplane $x_i + \theta y_j = 0$.
- Bernoulli sums:
$$b_k^{\natural}(z; \theta) := \sum_{i \geq 1} B_k(z_i + \frac{1}{2} + \theta(\frac{1}{2} - i)) - B_k(\frac{1}{2} + \theta(\frac{1}{2} - i)).$$
- Twisted Kerov map:
 $\varphi^{\natural} : \Lambda_{\theta} \rightarrow \Lambda_{m, n, \theta}, \varphi^{\natural}(b_k^{\natural}(z; \theta)) := \text{twisted Bernoulli sum}$
- $SP_{\lambda}^* := \varphi^{\natural}(P_{\lambda}^*)$. Actually, if $\lambda \notin \mathcal{H}_{m, n, |\lambda|}$ then $SP_{\lambda}^* = 0$.

Factorial Schur Q -functions

Recall that:

$$\mathcal{DP}_{n,d} := \{\lambda : |\ell(\lambda)| \leq n, |\lambda| = d \text{ and } \lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1} \text{ for every } i\}.$$

Let Γ_N be the algebra of N -variable symmetric polynomials $f(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ such that $f(t, -t, z_3, \dots, z_N)$ is independent of t .

Theorem (Ivanov '01)

For every $\lambda \in \mathcal{DP}_N := \bigcup_d \mathcal{DP}_{N,d}$, there exists a unique (up to scalar) polynomial $Q_\lambda^* \in \Gamma_N$ such that

- $\deg(Q_\lambda^*) = |\lambda|$.
- $Q_\lambda^*(\mu) = 0$ if $\mu \in \mathcal{DP}_N$, $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$, and $\mu \neq \lambda$.
- $Q_\lambda^*(\lambda) \neq 0$.

Further, the top homogeneous part of Q_λ^* is the Schur Q -function Q_λ .

Factorial Schur Q -functions

Recall that:

$$\mathcal{DP}_{n,d} := \{\lambda : |\ell(\lambda)| \leq n, |\lambda| = d \text{ and } \lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1} \text{ for every } i\}.$$

Let Γ_N be the algebra of N -variable symmetric polynomials $f(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ such that $f(t, -t, z_3, \dots, z_N)$ is independent of t .

Theorem (Ivanov '01)

For every $\lambda \in \mathcal{DP}_N := \bigcup_d \mathcal{DP}_{N,d}$, there exists a unique (up to scalar) polynomial $Q_\lambda^* \in \Gamma_N$ such that

- $\deg(Q_\lambda^*) = |\lambda|$.
- $Q_\lambda^*(\mu) = 0$ if $\mu \in \mathcal{DP}_N$, $|\mu| \leq |\lambda|$, and $\mu \neq \lambda$.
- $Q_\lambda^*(\lambda) \neq 0$.

Further, the top homogeneous part of Q_λ^* is the Schur Q -function Q_λ .

Factorial Schur Q -functions

Recall that:

$$\mathcal{DP}_{n,d} := \{\boldsymbol{\lambda} : |\ell(\boldsymbol{\lambda})| \leq n, |\boldsymbol{\lambda}| = d \text{ and } \lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1} \text{ for every } i\}.$$

Let Γ_N be the algebra of N -variable symmetric polynomials $f(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ such that $f(t, -t, z_3, \dots, z_N)$ is independent of t .

Theorem (Ivanov '01)

For every $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathcal{DP}_N := \bigcup_d \mathcal{DP}_{N,d}$, there exists a unique (up to scalar) polynomial $Q_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^* \in \Gamma_N$ such that

- $\deg(Q_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^*) = |\boldsymbol{\lambda}|$.
- $Q_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^*(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = 0$ if $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathcal{DP}_N$, $|\boldsymbol{\mu}| \leq |\boldsymbol{\lambda}|$, and $\boldsymbol{\mu} \neq \boldsymbol{\lambda}$.
- $Q_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^*(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \neq 0$.

Further, the top homogeneous part of $Q_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^*$ is the Schur Q -function $Q_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

The Capelli Eigenvalue Problem

Let \mathfrak{g} , V be as before. Also, let \mathcal{E}_V be the set of partitions that parametrize the irreducible summands of $\mathcal{P}(V)$.

$$\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V \iff \lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$$

Set $\mathfrak{a}^* :=$ Zariski closure of $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V$ in \mathfrak{h}^* .

- $\mathfrak{a} := \ker(\mathfrak{a}^{*\perp})$ where “ \perp ” is w.r.t. Killing form. (Dual to \mathfrak{a}^* .)
- Upon restriction to \mathfrak{a} , the natural ε_i and δ_j coordinates of \mathfrak{h}^* give rise to coordinates on \mathfrak{a}^* . Thus, $\mathfrak{a}^* \cong \mathbb{C}^N$, where $N := N_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Example

$J := \mathfrak{osp}(n|2m)_+$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|2n)$, $V = S^2(\mathbb{C}^{m|2n})^*$.

- $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V = \{ \sum_{i=1}^m 2\lambda_i \varepsilon_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda'_i - m)(\delta_{2i-1} + \delta_{2i}) \}$.
- $\mathfrak{a}^* = \text{Span}\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m, \delta_1 + \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{2n-1} + \delta_{2n}\}$.
- $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Span}\{E_{i,i} : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{E_{m+2i-1, m+2i-1} + E_{m+2i, m+2i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.
- Basis of \mathfrak{a}^* : $\{\bar{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \bar{\varepsilon}_m, \bar{\delta}_1, \dots, \bar{\delta}_n\}$ where

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_i := \varepsilon_i|_{\mathfrak{a}} \text{ and } \bar{\delta}_i := \delta_{2i-1}|_{\mathfrak{a}} = \delta_{2i}|_{\mathfrak{a}}$$

- $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V \rightsquigarrow \lambda|_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \bar{\varepsilon}_i + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \bar{\delta}_j \rightsquigarrow (x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

The Capelli Eigenvalue Problem

Let \mathfrak{g} , V be as before. Also, let \mathcal{E}_V be the set of partitions that parametrize the irreducible summands of $\mathcal{P}(V)$.

$$\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V \iff \lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$$

Set $\mathfrak{a}^* :=$ Zariski closure of $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V$ in \mathfrak{h}^* .

- $\mathfrak{a} := \ker(\mathfrak{a}^{\perp})$ where “ \perp ” is w.r.t. Killing form. (Dual to \mathfrak{a}^* .)
- Upon restriction to \mathfrak{a} , the natural ε_i and δ_j coordinates of \mathfrak{h}^* give rise to coordinates on \mathfrak{a}^* . Thus, $\mathfrak{a}^* \cong \mathbb{C}^N$, where $N := N_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Example

$J := \mathfrak{osp}(n|2m)_+$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|2n)$, $V = S^2(\mathbb{C}^{m|2n})^*$.

- $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V = \{ \sum_{i=1}^m 2\lambda_i \varepsilon_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda'_i - m)(\delta_{2i-1} + \delta_{2i}) \}$.
- $\mathfrak{a}^* = \text{Span}\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m, \delta_1 + \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{2n-1} + \delta_{2n}\}$.
- $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Span}\{E_{i,i} : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{E_{m+2i-1, m+2i-1} + E_{m+2i, m+2i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.
- Basis of \mathfrak{a}^* : $\{\bar{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \bar{\varepsilon}_m, \bar{\delta}_1, \dots, \bar{\delta}_n\}$ where

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_i := \varepsilon_i|_{\mathfrak{a}} \text{ and } \bar{\delta}_i := \delta_{2i-1}|_{\mathfrak{a}} = \delta_{2i}|_{\mathfrak{a}}$$

- $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V \rightsquigarrow \lambda|_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \bar{\varepsilon}_i + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \bar{\delta}_j \rightsquigarrow (x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

The Capelli Eigenvalue Problem

Let \mathfrak{g} , V be as before. Also, let \mathcal{E}_V be the set of partitions that parametrize the irreducible summands of $\mathcal{P}(V)$.

$$\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_V \iff \lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$$

Set $\mathfrak{a}^* :=$ Zariski closure of $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V$ in \mathfrak{h}^* .

- $\mathfrak{a} := \ker(\mathfrak{a}^{\perp})$ where “ \perp ” is w.r.t. Killing form. (Dual to \mathfrak{a}^* .)
- Upon restriction to \mathfrak{a} , the natural ε_i and δ_j coordinates of \mathfrak{h}^* give rise to coordinates on \mathfrak{a}^* . Thus, $\mathfrak{a}^* \cong \mathbb{C}^N$, where $N := N_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Example

$J := \mathfrak{osp}(n|2m)_+$, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|2n)$, $V = \mathcal{S}^2(\mathbb{C}^{m|2n})^*$.

- $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V = \{ \sum_{i=1}^m 2\lambda_i \varepsilon_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \lambda'_i - m \rangle (\delta_{2i-1} + \delta_{2i}) \}$.
- $\mathfrak{a}^* = \text{Span}\{ \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_m, \delta_1 + \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{2n-1} + \delta_{2n} \}$.
- $\mathfrak{a} = \text{Span}\{ E_{i,i} : 1 \leq i \leq m \} \cup \{ E_{m+2i-1, m+2i-1} + E_{m+2i, m+2i} : 1 \leq i \leq n \}$.
- Basis of \mathfrak{a}^* : $\{ \bar{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \bar{\varepsilon}_m, \bar{\delta}_1, \dots, \bar{\delta}_n \}$ where

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_i := \varepsilon_i|_{\mathfrak{a}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\delta}_i := \delta_{2i-1}|_{\mathfrak{a}} = \delta_{2i}|_{\mathfrak{a}}$$

- $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V \rightsquigarrow \lambda|_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \bar{\varepsilon}_i + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \bar{\delta}_j \rightsquigarrow (x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

The Capelli Eigenvalue Problem

Theorem (Sahi, S. Serganova)

Let \mathfrak{g} and V be as before, and let \mathcal{E}_V be the set of partitions that parametrize the irreducible components $V_\lambda \subset \mathcal{P}(V)$ and also the basis $\{D_\mu\}$ of $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}(V)^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V$, the action of D_μ on V_λ is by the scalar $F_\mu \circ \eta(\lambda|_{\mathfrak{a}})$, where

- $F_\mu(\cdot) = SP_\mu^*(\cdot, \theta)$ or $F_\mu(\cdot) = Q_\mu^*(\cdot)$, according to the table below.
- $\eta : \mathfrak{a}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ is an affine linear transformation, where $N := N_{\mathfrak{g}}$.
- $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V$ corresponds to λ .

J	\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{t}	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n), \varepsilon\varepsilon, \varepsilon\delta, \delta\delta$	θ
$\mathfrak{gl}(m, n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$m n, 2, 2, 2$	1.
$\mathfrak{osp}(n, 2m)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m 2n)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$	$m n, 1, 2, 4$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$D_t, t \neq -1$	$\mathfrak{gl}(1 2)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(1 2)$	$1 1, -, 2, -$	$-\frac{1}{t}$
F	$\mathfrak{osp}(2 4) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(1 2) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}(1 2)$	$1 2, -, 2, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}$

J	\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{t}	Q_n	θ
$\mathfrak{p}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n n)$	$\mathfrak{p}(n)$	$n, 2 2$	
$\mathfrak{q}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{q}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n)$	$\mathfrak{q}(n)$	$n, 2 2$	
$(m, 2n)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m+1 2n) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$	$2, m-1 2n$	$\frac{m-1}{2} - n$

Furthermore, identifying \mathfrak{a}^* with $\mathfrak{a} \cdot v_{\mathfrak{o}} \subset V$ where $v_{\mathfrak{o}} \in V$ is a spherical vector, we have

$$\text{top homog.}(F_\mu \circ \eta) = p_\mu|_{\mathfrak{a}},$$

where $p_\mu \in V_\mu$ is a suitably normalized spherical vector.

The Capelli Eigenvalue Problem

Theorem (Sahi, S. Serganova)

Let \mathfrak{g} and V be as before, and let \mathcal{E}_V be the set of partitions that parametrize the irreducible components $V_\lambda \subset \mathcal{P}(V)$ and also the basis $\{D_\mu\}$ of $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}(V)^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V$, the action of D_μ on V_λ is by the scalar $F_\mu \circ \eta(\lambda|_{\mathfrak{a}})$, where

- $F_\mu(\cdot) = SP_\mu^*(\cdot, \theta)$ or $F_\mu(\cdot) = Q_\mu^*(\cdot)$, according to the table below.
- $\eta : \mathfrak{a}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ is an affine linear transformation, where $N := N_{\mathfrak{g}}$.
- $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V$ corresponds to λ .

J	\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{gl}_{m n}, \varepsilon\varepsilon, \varepsilon\delta, \delta\delta$	θ
$\mathfrak{gl}(m, n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$m n, 2, 2, 2$	1.
$\mathfrak{osp}(n, 2m)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m 2n)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$	$m n, 1, 2, 4$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$D_t, t \neq -1$	$\mathfrak{gl}(1 2)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(1 2)$	$1 1, -, 2, -$	$-\frac{1}{t}$
F	$\mathfrak{osp}(2 4) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(1 2) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}(1 2)$	$1 2, -, 2, 3$	$\frac{2}{3}$

J	\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{k}	Q_n	θ
$\mathfrak{p}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n n)$	$\mathfrak{p}(n)$	$n, 2 2$	
$\mathfrak{q}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{q}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n)$	$\mathfrak{q}(n)$	$n, 2 2$	
$(m, 2n)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m+1 2n) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$	$2, m-1 2n$	$\frac{m-1}{2} - n$

Furthermore, identifying \mathfrak{a}^* with $\mathfrak{a} \cdot v_{\mathfrak{o}} \subset V$ where $v_{\mathfrak{o}} \in V$ is a spherical vector, we have

$$\text{top homog.}(F_\mu \circ \eta) = p_\mu|_{\mathfrak{a}},$$

where $p_\mu \in V_\mu$ is a suitably normalized spherical vector.

The Capelli Eigenvalue Problem

Theorem (Sahi, S. Serganova)

Let \mathfrak{g} and V be as before, and let \mathcal{E}_V be the set of partitions that parametrize the irreducible components $V_\lambda \subset \mathcal{P}(V)$ and also the basis $\{D_\mu\}$ of $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{D}(V)^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{E}_V$, the action of D_μ on V_λ is by the scalar $F_\mu \circ \eta(\lambda|_{\mathfrak{a}})$, where

- $F_\mu(\cdot) = SP_\mu^*(\cdot, \theta)$ or $F_\mu(\cdot) = Q_\mu^*(\cdot)$, according to the table below.
- $\eta : \mathfrak{a}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ is an affine linear transformation, where $N := N_{\mathfrak{g}}$.
- $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_V$ corresponds to λ .

J	\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{gl}_{m n}, \varepsilon\varepsilon, \varepsilon\delta, \delta\delta$	θ
$\mathfrak{gl}(m, n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m n)$	$m n, 2, 2, 2$	1.
$\mathfrak{osp}(n, 2m)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(m 2n)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$	$m n, 1, 2, 4$	$\frac{1}{2}$
$D_t, t \neq -1$	$\mathfrak{gl}(1 2)$	$\mathfrak{osp}(1 2)$	$1 1, -, 2, -$	$-\frac{1}{t}$
F	$\mathfrak{osp}(2 4) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(1 2) \oplus \mathfrak{osp}(1 2)$	$1 2, -, 2, 3$	$\frac{2}{3}$

J	\mathfrak{g}	\mathfrak{k}	Q_n	θ
$\mathfrak{p}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{gl}(n n)$	$\mathfrak{p}(n)$	$n, 2 2$	
$\mathfrak{q}(n)_+$	$\mathfrak{q}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{q}(n)$	$\mathfrak{q}(n)$	$n, 2 2$	
$(m, 2n)_+$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m+1 2n) \oplus \mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{osp}(m 2n)$	$2, m-1 2n$	$\frac{m-1}{2} - n$

Furthermore, identifying \mathfrak{a}^* with $\mathfrak{a} \cdot v_{\circ} \subset V$ where $v_{\circ} \in V$ is a spherical vector, we have

$$\text{top homog.}(F_\mu \circ \eta) = p_\mu|_{\mathfrak{a}},$$

where $p_\mu \in V_\mu$ is a suitably normalized spherical vector.