

Twisted L -Functions and Complex Multiplication

Abdellah Sebbar

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa, Ottawa,
Ontario K1N 6N5, Canada*

E-mail: sebbar@mathstat.uottawa.ca

Communicated by H. Darmon

Received November 17, 1999

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of algebraicity of special values of the L -function of a grossencharacter of an imaginary quadratic field K was first initiated by Eisenstein. His work appeared much later in a different formulation in the work of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer [1] and Damerell [2]. Shimura generalized this aspect to more general CM fields [7]. Using the same language, Goldstein and Schappacher [4] related the work of Eisenstein and Damerell to the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer on elliptic curves and to the Deligne conjecture.

The purpose of this article is to study, with the same methods, the special values of certain twisted L -functions as follows:

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} , of conductor N , and let $L(E, s)$ be its usual L -function. Let us write $L(E, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s}$ (for $\Re(s) > 3/2$).

For every $b \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$L(E, b, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{2\pi i b n / N} a_n n^{-s} \quad (\Re(x) > 3/2). \quad (1.1)$$

It seems reasonable to conjecture that the series $L(E, b, s)$ admit an analytic continuation to every $s \in \mathbb{C}$, and we would like to study the values at $s = 1$ of these Dirichlet series. For $b = 0$, this is essentially one aspect of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture. To be more precise, we need a conjecture of the form

$$L(E, b, 1) = \alpha_b \omega^+ + \beta_b \omega^-, \quad (1.2)$$

with α_b, β_b expressed in terms of the arithmetic of E , and b . The periods ω^+, ω^- are defined in a modular language. For example, they are the so-called u^\pm in the article of Shimura [8].

We study the algebraic properties of $L(E, b, 1)$ in the case when E has complex multiplications by O_K . There exists a finite number (say r) of torsion points x_i of \mathbb{C}/L (for a lattice L corresponding to E over \mathbb{C}) and a simple explicit function $C(E, s)$ (depending on the choice of x_i) such that

$$C(E, s) L_p(\chi, s) = \sum_{i=1}^r K_1(x_i, 0, s, L),$$

where χ is the grossencharacter associated to E , L_p is a certain partial Hecke L -function depending on an integral ideal of O_K relatively prime to $N.O_K$, and K_1 denotes the Kronecker series. The Hecke L -function is recovered by taking the sum of the partial L -series corresponding to integral ideals for which the Artin symbols describe the Galois group of the ray class field modulo N . Because of the complex multiplication, the ray class field is equal to the extension of K generated by the N -torsion points of E/K . Our results concerning $L(E, b, 1)$ follow then from the properties of the Hasse–Weil and Hecke L -functions and the relationship between the Kronecker and the Eisenstein series.

The next two sections deal with the necessary background concerning Hecke characters, L -functions, complex multiplication, and torsions points. The last section presents our study of the values at 1 of the twisted L -function.

2. HECKE CHARACTERS AND L -FUNCTIONS

In this section we recall some important properties which will be used in subsequent sections.

Let F be a number field with O_F its ring of integers, and let \mathfrak{m} be an integral ideal of K and $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the group of fractional ideals relatively prime to \mathfrak{m} . Let K be another number field in which F can be embedded. If G is the set of embeddings of F in K , we denote by $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ the free abelian group over G . A Hecke character χ of the field F with values in K^* having conductor \mathfrak{m} and of type at infinity $(n_{\sigma})_{\sigma}$ element of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is:

1. A homomorphism from the group of fractional ideals of F relatively prime to \mathfrak{m} into K^* .
2. For every $\alpha \in F^*$ such that the ideal αO_F is relatively prime to \mathfrak{m} and $\alpha = \beta/\gamma$, with $\beta, \gamma \in O_K$ relatively prime to \mathfrak{m} and $\beta \equiv \gamma \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ (we say $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$), we have

$$\chi(\alpha O_F) = \prod \sigma(\alpha)^{n_{\sigma}} \in K^*.$$

If χ_1 is defined over I_{m_1} and χ_2 is defined over I_{m_2} and if the two give the same map from $I_{m_1 m_2}$ to K^* , we identify them to one character χ . The conductor of χ is the smallest ideal \mathfrak{m} of O_F such that χ is identified with a Hecke character of $I_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a field F with complex multiplications by O_K where K is an imaginary quadratic field. There is an embedding of K into F which we fix, and we look at F as embedded into \bar{K} . We define a character attached to these data as a map

$$\chi : I_{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow K^*,$$

where \mathfrak{f} is an integral ideal which is divisible by all prime ideals at which E has bad reduction, as follows:

If \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in $I_{\mathfrak{f}}$, we consider the embedding

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{End}(E) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{End}_{\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}}(E_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

where $\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the residue field of K at \mathfrak{p} . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} : E_{\mathfrak{p}} &\rightarrow E_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto (x^{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{p}}, y^{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{p}}) \end{aligned}$$

be the Frobenius map at \mathfrak{p} . Here $\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{p} = |(O_K/\mathfrak{p}O_K)|$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is in the center of $\text{End}_{\kappa_{\mathfrak{p}}}(E)$ and hence is in the image of the above embedding. Therefore, there exists $\chi(\mathfrak{p})$ in $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \text{End}_F(E)$ such that the image of $\chi(\mathfrak{p})$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since E has complex multiplications, one can show that the map $\chi : I_{\mathfrak{f}} \rightarrow K^*$ is a Hecke character (the grossencharacter of E). We talk about $N_{F/K}$ as the type at infinity of χ since it acts on a principal fractional ideal (α) of F^* relatively prime to \mathfrak{f} by

$$\chi(\alpha) = \varepsilon(\alpha) N_{F/K}(\alpha), \quad (2.1)$$

where ε is a homomorphism of $(O_K/\mathfrak{f})^*$ into the groups of units of O_K and $N_{F/K}$ is the norm of the extension of F/K . In particular, if $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$ then $\chi(\alpha) = N_{F/K}(\alpha)$. Moreover, χ is unramified outside the places of bad reduction of E .

Let $L(\chi, s)$ denote the Hecke L -function attached to χ and $L(E/F, s)$ denote the Hasse–Weil L -function of the elliptic curve E/F . From the properties of χ one can show that

$$L(E/F, s) = L(\chi, s) L(\bar{\chi}, s), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\bar{\chi}$ is the complex conjugate of the character χ .

From now on we assume that E is defined over \mathbb{Q} and has complex multiplications by O_K . Using the fact that $\bar{\chi}(\mathfrak{p}) = \chi(\bar{\mathfrak{p}})$ for \mathfrak{p} prime in O_K and comparing the local factors of the L -functions according to whether \mathfrak{p} is ramified, inert, or splits in O_K , the relation (2.2) yields [3]

$$L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s) = L(\chi, s). \quad (2.3)$$

We finish this section by an important relation which will be useful later on. Let N_E be the conductor of E , \mathfrak{f} the conductor of the grossencharacter χ and d_K the discriminant of the field K . Then

$$|d_K| \cdot \mathbb{N}\mathfrak{f} = N_E. \quad (2.4)$$

This follows from the functional equations satisfied by the L -functions and from (2.3).

3. TORSION POINTS OF AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve with complex multiplications by the ring of integers O_K of a quadratic imaginary K . We fix an embedding $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. There is a lattice A of \mathbb{C} such that we have the Weierstrass isomorphism

$$\xi: \frac{\mathbb{C}}{A} \rightarrow E(\mathbb{C})$$

$$(z, A) \mapsto (\wp(z, A), \wp'(z, A)),$$

where \wp is the Weierstrass elliptic function. Since E/\mathbb{Q} has complex multiplications, then $A = \Omega \cdot O_K$ for $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^*$. In fact, we can choose Ω to be a nonzero real number.

Let N be a nonnegative integer; the N -torsion group of E over \mathbb{C} is the subgroup of E given by

$$E_N = \{ \xi(z, A), z \in N^{-1}A \} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

If we add the coordinates of all the points in E_N to K , we obtain a finite extension $K(E_N)$ of K . If S denotes the set of places of K at which E has bad reduction, then the extension $K(E_N)/K$ is abelian, nonramified outside the places in K dividing $N \cdot O_K$ and the places in S . Moreover, if \mathfrak{b} is an integral ideal of K relatively prime to N and to S , and if $\rho \in N^{-1}A/A$, then the action of the grossencharacter χ on torsion points is given by

$$\xi(\rho, A)^{(\mathfrak{b}, K(E_N)/K)} = \xi(\chi(\mathfrak{b}) \rho, A), \quad (3.1)$$

where $(\mathfrak{b}, K(E_N))$ is the Artin symbol of \mathfrak{b} and χ is the Hecke character attached to E .

Since E is defined over \mathbb{Q} with complex multiplications by O_K , the class number is $h_K = 1$, so that all fractional ideals are principal. Let N be the conductor of E/\mathbb{Q} and \mathfrak{f} the conductor of the grossencharacter of E . A representative αO_K of the principal ray modulo N satisfies $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, and from (2.4) we deduce that $N \cdot O_K = |d_K| \cdot \mathfrak{f} \subseteq \mathfrak{f}$; therefore $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$. Hence, any character of $(O_K/\mathfrak{f})^*$ acts trivially on an representative of the principal ray mod N . Using (2.1) and (3.1), we deduce that we have an abelian extension $K(E_N)/K$ nonramified outside N and such that the Artin symbols of ideals in the ray class group modulo N act trivially on $K(E_N)$. From class field theory we have $K(E_N) \subseteq K_N$, where K_N is the ray class field modulo N . On the other hand, using [6, 5.10, p. 124], we have $K_N = K(\Phi_E(P), P \in E_N)$ where Φ is the Weber function $\Phi_E(P) = x(p)^i$, with x being the x -coordinate and $i = \frac{1}{2} |O_K^*|$. Hence $K_N \subseteq K(E_N)$. Therefore, the field $K(E_N)$ is the ray class field mod N .

Let us denote by $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ the Artin symbol $(\mathfrak{a}, K(E_N)/K)$. Since χ is unramified outside N , then, for $\mathfrak{a} = (\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{b} = (\beta)$, $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}$ if and only if for some unit ε in O_K^* we have $\varepsilon\alpha/\beta \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. It follows that

$$\text{if } \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}} \quad \text{then } \mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a} \equiv \mathbb{N}\mathfrak{b} \pmod{N}. \quad (3.2)$$

Let μ_N be the group of the N th root of unity. Consider the Weil pairing $e_N: E_N \times E_N \rightarrow \mu_N$ (see [9]). The set $\{e_N(S, T), S, T \in E_N\}$ is a subgroup μ_d of μ_N . It follows that for every S and T , $1 = e_N(S, T)^d = e_N([d]S, T)$. By the nondegeneracy of the Weil pairing, we must have $[d]S = 0$; i.e., S is a d -torsion point. Since S was arbitrary, it follows that $d = N$. Moreover, the pairing e_N is equivariant under the Galois action. Then for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K(E_N)}/K(E_N))$, we have $e_N(S, T)^\sigma = e_N(S^\sigma, T^\sigma) = e_N(S, T)$ since S and T are in $K(E_N)$. It follows that $e_N(S, T) \in K(E_N)$ and therefore $\mu_N \subseteq K(E_N)^*$. Hence, we have the following inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q} &\xrightarrow{2} K \rightarrow K(E_N) \\ \mathbb{Q} &\xrightarrow{\phi(N)} \mathbb{Q}(\mu_N) \rightarrow K(E_N). \end{aligned}$$

Since the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)$ is the ray class field modulo N of \mathbb{Q} , then if ξ_N is a primitive N th root of unity, we have

$$\zeta_N^{(\mathfrak{a}, K(E_N)/K)} = \zeta_N^{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)/\mathbb{Q}} = \zeta_N^{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}}. \quad (3.3)$$

4. TWISTED L -FUNCTIONS

Let A be a lattice in \mathbb{C} . If (u, v) is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of A such that $\Im(u/v) > 0$ then the real number $(\bar{u}v - u\bar{v})/2i\pi$ is positive and independent of the basis; we denote it by $A(A)$. Let us consider the following homomorphisms of the additive group \mathbb{C} into the unit circle parametrized by the variable z_0 :

$$\psi(z, z_0, A) = \exp\left(\frac{\bar{z}_0 z - z_0 \bar{z}}{A(A)}\right).$$

For $k \geq 0$, we define the holomorphic functions on the domain $\Re(s) > 1 + k/2$ by:

$$\mathcal{K}_k(z, z_0, s, A) = \sum' \psi(\omega, z_0, A) \frac{(\bar{z} + \bar{\omega})^k}{|z + \omega|^{2s}}.$$

The sum is extended to every ω in A except $-z$ if $z \in A$. For i and j integers satisfying $j > i \geq 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}/A$ we set

$$E_{i,j}^*(z, A) = \mathcal{K}_{i+j}(z, 0, j, A)$$

and

$$E_k^*(z, A) = E_{0,k}^*(z, A).$$

The \mathcal{K}_k are the Kronecker double series and the E_{ij} are the Eisenstein series; see [11].

We consider now an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with complex multiplications by O_K , K being an imaginary quadratic field. Recall that

$$L(E/\mathbb{Q}, s) = L(\chi, s) = \sum_{(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{f})=1} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}^s},$$

where \mathfrak{f} is the conductor of the Hecke character χ . We are interested in nonprimitive L -functions in which the sums in the L -functions are extended over prime ideals which are relatively prime to NO_K where N is the conductor of E/\mathbb{Q} . Recall also that $N \in \mathfrak{f}$. For $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(E_N)/K)$, we define the partial L -series associated to χ and relative to σ by

$$L(\chi, \sigma, s) = \sum \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}^s},$$

where the sum ranges over integral ideals $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq O_K$ with $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sigma$. Each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K(E_N)/K)$ corresponds to a $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ for some integral ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq O_K$; let \mathcal{A} be a complete set of integral ideals in O_K representatives for all elements

in $\text{Gal}(K(E_N)/K)$. If we denote the series $L(\chi, \sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}, s)$ by $L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\chi, s)$, it is clear that

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{A}} L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\chi, s).$$

Let Ω be a fixed nonzero real number such that $E(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ with $\Lambda = \Omega O_K$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a} = p_0 O_K$ be an ideal relatively prime to \mathfrak{f} . Let $\rho \in \Omega K^* \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\rho \Omega^{-1} O_K = \mathfrak{f}^{-1}$. Then for $\text{Re}(s) > 3/2$, we have:*

$$\frac{\chi(p_0 O_K)}{\mathbb{N}(p_0 O_K)} \cdot \frac{\bar{p}_0 \rho}{|p_0 \rho|^{2s}} \cdot L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{\chi}, s) = \sum_{p O_K \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{K}_1(\chi(p) p_0 \rho, 0, s, \Lambda).$$

Proof. We note first that $p_0 \rho$ is an \mathfrak{f} -torsion point. We have $\chi(\mathfrak{a}) \bar{\chi}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}$ and if \mathfrak{b} is relatively prime to \mathfrak{f} , then $\chi(\mathfrak{b}) O_K = \mathfrak{b}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p O_K \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{K}_1(\chi(p) p_0 \rho, 0, s, \Lambda) &= \sum_{p \cdot O_K} \sum_{\omega} \frac{\overline{\chi(p) p_0 \rho + \omega}}{|\chi(p) p_0 \rho + \omega|^{2s}} \\ &= \frac{\bar{p}_0 \rho}{|p_0 \rho|^{2s}} \sum_{p \cdot O_K \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{\alpha \in p_0^{-1} \mathfrak{f}} \frac{\overline{\chi(p) + \alpha}}{|\chi(p) \rho + \alpha|^{2s}}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{\chi}, s) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{\alpha \in p_0^{-1} \mathfrak{f}} \frac{\bar{\chi}((\chi(p) + \alpha) \mathfrak{a})}{\mathbb{N}((\chi(p) + \alpha) \mathfrak{a})}.$$

For this, it is enough to check that for $p \cdot O_K \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in p_0^{-1} \mathfrak{f}$, we have $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sigma_{(\chi(p) + \alpha) \mathfrak{a}}$ ($\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \mathfrak{f}$). This follows from class field theory. ■

Remark 4.1. There is a similar decomposition in [5]. See also [4]. The series $L_{\mathfrak{a}}$ has an analytic continuation to the whole complex plane in the same way as the Hecke L -series. From the above proposition and the definition of $E_{\mathfrak{a}}^*$ we have

COROLLARY 4.2. *With the same notations, we have:*

$$\frac{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}{p_0 \rho} L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{\chi}, 1) = \sum_{p \cdot O_K \in \mathcal{A}} E_{\mathfrak{a}}^*(\chi(p \cdot O_K) p_0 \rho, \Lambda).$$

PROPOSITION 4.3. *We have*

1. $E_{\mathfrak{a}}^*(\chi(p_0 \cdot O_K) p_0 \rho, \Lambda) \in K(E_N)$.
2. $E_{\mathfrak{a}}^*(\chi(p_0 \cdot O_K) p_0 \rho, \Lambda) = E_{\mathfrak{a}}^*(\chi(O_K) \rho, \Lambda)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}}$.

This a particular case of [4, Theorem 6.2].

Since $\rho \in \Omega K^*$, the corollary implies that $\Omega^{-1}L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{\chi}, 1)$ and $\sum K_1^*(\chi(p_0) \rho, A)$ are K -proportional. And using the above proposition, we obtain:

PROPOSITION 4.4. *For every \mathfrak{a} , we have*

1. $L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{\chi}, 1)/\Omega \in K(E_N)$.
2. *If \mathfrak{a} is relatively prime to N , then*

$$\frac{L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{\chi}, 1)}{\Omega} = \left(\frac{L_{O_K}(\bar{\chi}, 1)}{\Omega} \right)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.5. *We have*

$$\frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega} = \text{Trace}_{K(E_N)/K} \left(\frac{L_{O_K}(\bar{\chi}, 1)}{\Omega} \right).$$

Proof. Since $\Omega^{-1}L_{O_K}(\bar{\chi}, 1) \in K(E_N)$, the expression makes sense. Since E is defined over \mathbb{Q} , for a real number s we have

$$L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{\chi}, s) = L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\chi, s).$$

Since $L(E, s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\chi, s)$, it follows that

$$\frac{L(E, 1)}{\Omega} = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \frac{L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{\chi}, 1)}{\Omega} = \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\frac{L_{O_K}(\bar{\chi}, 1)}{\Omega} \right)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}},$$

where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ describes $\text{Gal}(K(E_N)/K)$. This proves the proposition. ■

The quantity $L_{O_K}(\bar{\chi}, 1)/\Omega$ is real; indeed, $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega$ and $L_{O_K}(E, s) = \sum \chi(\mathfrak{a})/\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}^s$, where the sum is over all $\mathfrak{a} = (\alpha)$ such that $\alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = 1$. Hence

$$\overline{L_{O_K}(E, s)} = \sum_{\bar{\mathfrak{a}} = (\bar{\alpha})} \frac{\chi(\bar{\mathfrak{a}})}{\mathbb{N}\bar{\mathfrak{a}}^s} = L_{O_K}(E, s). \tag{4.1}$$

We now introduce the twisted L -function associated with E/\mathbb{Q} which still has complex multiplications by O_K and N is its conductor.

If the Hasse–Weil L -function of E is given by

$$L(E, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n n^{-s} \quad \text{for } \Re(s) > 3/2,$$

then, for every $b \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$L(E, b, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{2i\pi bn/N} a_n n^{-s}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.6. For $\Re(s) > 3/2$, we have

$$L(E, b, s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{A}} e^{2i\pi n \mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}/N} L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{\chi}, s).$$

Proof. This follows from the definition and from (3.2) which says that if $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}$, then $\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a} \equiv \mathbb{N}\mathfrak{b} \pmod{N}$. ■

Let $\zeta_N = e^{2i\pi/N}$ be a primitive N th root of unity. From (4.1) we have

$$\zeta_N^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}} = \zeta_N^{(\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)/\mathbb{Q})} = \zeta_N^{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}}.$$

Therefore, using (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L(E, b, 1)}{\Omega} &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} (\zeta_N^b)^{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}} \frac{L_{\mathfrak{a}}(\bar{\chi}, 1)}{\Omega} \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} (\zeta_N^b)^{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}} \left(\frac{L_{O_K}(\bar{\chi}, 1)}{\Omega} \right)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}} \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a}} \left(\zeta_N^b \frac{L_{O_K}(\bar{\chi}, 1)}{\Omega} \right)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The above sums are over ideals \mathfrak{a} such that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ runs over the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K(E_N)/K)$. Therefore, we have

THEOREM 4.7. The value $L(E, b, 1)$ is a K -rational multiple of the period Ω ; more precisely:

$$\frac{L(E, b, 1)}{\Omega} = \text{Trace}_{K(E_N)/K} \left(\zeta_N^b \frac{L_{O_K}(\bar{\chi}, 1)}{\Omega} \right).$$

Remark 4.2. We should note that Ω is well determined up to a scalar in K^* . It also has a homological interpretation; see [4]. The formula in the theorem gives the expression (1.2). It remains to express these quantities in terms of the arithmetic of E .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I thank Norbert Schappacher, who taught me the subject and inspired this work.

REFERENCES

1. B. J. Birch and H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, Notes on elliptic curves. II, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **218** (1965), 79–108.
2. R. Damerell, L -functions of elliptic curves with complex multiplication, I, *Acta Arith.* **17** (1970), 287–301.
3. M. Deuring, Die Zetafunktion einer algebraischen Kurve vom Geschlechte eins, I, II, III, IV, *Gott. Nach.* (1953, 1955, 1956, 1957).
4. C. Goldstein and N. Schappacher, Séries d'Eisenstein et fonctions L de courbes elliptiques à multiplication complexe, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **327** (1981), 184–218.
5. D. Rohrlich, Elliptic curves and values of L -functions, *Can. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc.* **7** (1987), 371–387.
6. G. Shimura, “Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions,” Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971.
7. G. Shimura, On some arithmetic properties of modular forms of one and several variables, *Ann. of Math.* **102** (1975), 491–515.
8. G. Shimura, On the periods of modular forms, *Ann. Math.* **229** (1977), 211–221.
9. J. Silvermann, “Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves,” Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1986.
10. J. Silvermann, “Advanced Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves,” Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1994.
11. A. Weil, “Elliptic Functions According to Eisenstein and Kronecker,” Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1976.